arXiv:hep-th/0505074v1 9 May 2005

The G_2 spinorial geometry of supersymmetric IIB backgrounds

U. Gran[1], J. Gutowski[2] and G. Papadopoulos[1]

[1] Department of Mathematics
King's College London
Strand
London WC2R 2LS, UK

[2] DAMTP, Centre for Mathematical Sciences
University of Cambridge
Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WA, UK

Abstract

We solve the Killing spinor equations of supersymmetric IIB backgrounds which admit one supersymmetry and the Killing spinor has stability subgroup G_2 in $Spin(9,1) \times U(1)$. We find that such backgrounds admit a time-like Killing vector field and the geometric structure of the spacetime reduces from $Spin(9,1) \times U(1)$ to G_2. We determine the type of G_2 structure that the spacetime admits by computing the covariant derivatives of the spacetime forms associated with the Killing spinor bilinears.

We also solve the Killing spinor equations of backgrounds with two supersymmetries and $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-invariant spinors, and four supersymmetries with $SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$- and with G_2-invariant spinors. We show that the Killing spinor equations factorize in two sets, one involving the geometry and the five-form flux, and the other the three-form flux and the scalars. In the $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ and $SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ cases, the spacetime admits a parallel null vector field and so the spacetime metric can be locally described in terms of Penrose coordinates adapted to the associated rotation free, null, geodesic congruence. The transverse space of the congruence is a $Spin(7)$ and a $SU(4)$ holonomy manifold, respectively. In the G_2 case, all the fluxes vanish and the spacetime is the product of a three-dimensional Minkowski space with a holonomy G_2 manifold.

1 Introduction

In the last few years there has been some progress towards a systematic understanding of supersymmetric solutions of IIB supergravity. The maximal supersymmetric solutions of IIB supergravity have been classified in [1]. It has been found that they are locally isometric to Minkowski space, $AdS_5 \times S^5$ [2] and the maximal supersymmetric plane wave [3]. More recently, it has been found that there are three types of supersymmetric IIB backgrounds with one supersymmetry characterized by the stability subgroup of the Killing spinor in $Spin(9,1) \times U(1)$ [4]. These stability subgroups are $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$, $SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ and G_2. The Killing spinor equations of IIB supergravity have been solved for the $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$- and $SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-invariant Killing spinors [4] using a method proposed in [5]. Some progress has also been made using the G-structures method [6].

The main aim of this paper is to solve the Killing spinor equations of IIB supergravity for supersymmetric backgrounds that admit a G_2-invariant Killing spinor. This result together with those in [4] complete the task of solving the Killing spinor equations for IIB backgrounds with one supersymmetry. To achieve this, we follow the steps suggested in [5]. First, we describe the spinors in terms of forms, and we use the gauge symmetry of the Killing spinor equations to bring the Killing spinor into a canonical or normal form. Then the Killing spinor equations are solved by utilizing a basis in the space of spinors given in [4], see also appendix A. It has been shown in [4] that the $Spin(9,1) \times U(1)$ gauge group of the IIB Killing spinor equations can be used to bring the G_2-invariant Killing spinor in the canonical form

$$\epsilon \;=\; f(1+e_{1234}) - ig(e_{15}+e_{2345}) \;, \tag{1.1}$$

where f, g are real functions of the spacetime[1].

The bosonic fields of IIB supergravity are the spacetime metric, two real scalars, the axion σ and the dilaton ϕ, which are combined into a complex one-form field strength P, two three-form field strengths G_1 and G_2 which are combined to a complex three-form field strength G, and a self-dual five-form field strength F. Substituting the spinor (1.1) into the Killing spinor equations of IIB supergravity and expanding the result in the spinor basis given in appendix A, we derive a linear system for the fluxes, geometry and spacetime derivatives of the functions f, g which determine the Killing spinor. It turns out that it is convenient to solve first this linear system for the complex field strengths G and P. The remaining equations are conditions on the self-dual five-form field strength F, the geometry as represented by the Levi-Civita connection Ω and the spacetime derivatives of f, g. These equations are also solved to express F in terms of the geometry. After this is achieved, there are some remaining equations which contain only the Levi-Civita connection Ω and the spacetime derivatives of f, g. These equations are interpreted as the restrictions on the geometry of the spacetime imposed by the Killing spinor equations and describe the geometry of the spacetime of a background that admits a G_2-invariant Killing spinor.

---

[1] This spinor can be simplified somewhat using the spinor transformation $e^{b\Gamma_{05}}$ which for an appropriate choice of b one can set $f^2 = g^2$. However this choice does not lead to a substantial simplification of the computation.

We investigate the geometric conditions that arise from the Killing spinor equations of IIB backgrounds with Killing spinor (1.1). In particular, we compute the spacetime form bi-linears of the Killing spinor ϵ and $\tilde{\epsilon} = C * \epsilon$, where C is the charge conjugation matrix. We find that the spacetime admits a timelike one-form, and two spacelike one-forms appropriately twisted with L^2, where L is the line bundle[2] of the axion-dilaton system of IIB supergravity. The spacetime also admits a G_2-invariant three-form ϕ and so a (geometric) G_2-structure. We identify the type of the G_2-structure of the spacetime by computing the covariant derivative of the spacetime form spinor bilinears mentioned above and we organize the geometric conditions in terms of G_2 representations. It turns out that the geometry is characterized by five conditions, three of which are conditions on the three one-forms. The other two are restrictions on the G_2-invariant three-form ϕ. One of the conditions implies that the timelike one-form is associated to a *Killing vector field* X. In addition one of the conditions on ϕ expresses the singlet of $d\phi$ in the decomposition of $\Lambda^4(\mathbb{R}^7) = \Lambda^4_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbb{R}^7) \oplus \Lambda^4_{\mathbf{7}}(\mathbb{R}^7) \oplus \Lambda^4_{\mathbf{27}}(\mathbb{R}^7)$ under G_2 in terms of derivatives of the one-forms and so resembles the condition of a *weak or nearly parallel* G_2-structure. However, this G_2-structure arises from a reduction of $Spin(9,1) \times U(1)$ to G_2 rather than the 'standard' reduction of $Spin(7)$ to G_2 which has been investigated in [7], see also [8, 9]. The other condition on ϕ implies that the seven-dimensional part of $\mathcal{L}_X \phi$, in the decomposition $\Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^7) = \Lambda^3_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbb{R}^7) \oplus \Lambda^3_{\mathbf{7}}(\mathbb{R}^7) \oplus \Lambda^3_{\mathbf{27}}(\mathbb{R}^7)$ under G_2, vanishes. The remaining conditions impose restrictions on the commutators of the vector fields associated with the one-forms.

Next we investigate backgrounds with N Killing spinors, $N > 1$. In particular, we focus on supersymmetric backgrounds for which the Killing spinors are invariant under some subgroup $H \subset Spin(9,1)$. In this case the Killing spinors can be written as

$$\epsilon_r = \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{ri} \eta_i \ , \tag{1.2}$$

where f_{ri} are functions on the spacetime and η_i is a basis in the space of H-invariant spinors. We analyze the Killing spinor equations and we demonstrate that they simplify whenever the background admits the maximal number of H-invariant Killing spinors, $k = N$. This is because the matrix of functions f is invertible and this can be used to arrange such that only the derivative term of the supercovariant derivative depends on f. We term these backgrounds as maximally supersymmetric H-backgrounds. In IIB supergravity, we find that there is an additional simplifying feature. For any $H \subset Spin(9,1)$, there is a basis in the space of H-invariant spinors whose elements come in complex conjugate pairs. This can be used to show that the Killing spinor equations of IIB supergravity *factorize* for all maximally supersymmetric H-backgrounds. In particular, the terms in the Killing spinor equations involving the complex fluxes P and G separate from those that contain the fluxes F and the geometry.

As an application of the above results to maximally supersymmetric H-backgrounds, we solve the Killing spinor equations of IIB backgrounds with two supersymmetries and $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-invariant spinors, and with four supersymmetries and $SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$- and G_2-invariant spinors. We find that in both the $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ and $SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ cases, the spacetime admits a *parallel*, *null*, vector field, X, and the *holonomy of the Levi-Civita connection* is reduced either to $Spin(7)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$ or to $SU(4)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$, respectively. The spacetime metric in both cases can be written as

---

[2] If the spacetime admits a $Spin(9,1)_c$ structure, L may not be well-defined but L^2 is.

$$ds^2 = 2dv(du + \alpha(y,v) + \beta_I(y,v)dy^I) + \gamma_{IJ}(y,v)dy^I dy^J \tag{1.3}$$

by adapting Penrose coordinates [10] along the rotation free, null, geodesic congruence generated by $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$. The transverse space B of the null congruence, $u, v =$ constant spanned by the coordinates y^I, is either a $Spin(7)$ or Calabi-Yau eight-dimensional manifolds, respectively. We also investigate the geometry of IIB backgrounds with four supersymmetries and G_2-invariant spinors. We find that the spacetime is the product of the three-dimensional Minkowski and a G_2 manifold B, i.e.

$$ds^2 = ds^2(\mathbb{R}^{1,2}) + ds^2(B)\ . \tag{1.4}$$

In addition, the Killing spinor equations imply that all the fluxes P, G, F vanish.

There are at most two $Spin(7)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-invariant spinors in the complex Weyl representation of $Spin(9,1)$. So there are IIB backgrounds with either one or two $Spin(7)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-invariant Killing spinors. The geometry of backgrounds with one supersymmetry has been determined in [4]. In this paper, we have also solved the Killing spinor equations for backgrounds with two supersymmetries. Thus we have completed the task of classifying the geometries of IIB supersymmetric backgrounds with $Spin(7)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-invariant Killing spinors.

This paper is organized as follows: In section two, we describe the Killing spinor equations of IIB supergravity, give the conditions on the geometry for backgrounds with one G_2-invariant Killing spinor and determine the G_2-structure that such backgrounds admit. The linear system and its solution for backgrounds with one G_2-invariant Killing spinor is presented in appendix B. In section three, we investigate the properties of the Killing spinor equations for backgrounds with extended supersymmetry and demonstrate a factorization of the Killing spinor equations of IIB supergravity for certain backgrounds. In section four, we determine the geometry of backgrounds with two $Spin(7)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-invariant Killing spinors, and in appendix C, we present the associated linear system and its solution. In section five, we investigate the geometry of backgrounds with four $SU(4)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-invariant Killing spinors, and in appendix D, we give the associated linear system and its solution. In section six, we determine the geometry of backgrounds with four G_2-invariant Killing spinors, and in appendix E, we present the associated linear system and its solution. In section seven, we give our conclusions. In appendix A, we summarize our spinor conventions and compute some spacetime form bilinears of G_2-invariant spinors.

2 Backgrounds with G_2-invariant Killing spinors

2.1 Killing spinor equations and a linear system

As we have mentioned in the introduction, the bosonic fields of IIB supergravity are the spacetime metric g, a complex one-form field strength, a complex three-form field strength G, and a self-dual five-form field strength F, $F_{M_1\dots M_5}=-\frac{1}{5!}\epsilon_{M_1\dots M_5}{}^{N_1\dots N_5}F_{N_1\dots N_5}$, where $\epsilon_{01\dots 9}=1$. The precise definition of the field strengths P, G and F is given in [2] and we shall not repeat the formulae here. The Killing spinor equations of IIB supergravity are the vanishing conditions of the gravitino and the supersymmetric partners of the scalars supersymmetry transformations [11, 2] evaluated on the bosonic fields of the theory[3]. These turn into equations for the supersymmetry parameter ϵ which we take to be a commuting spinor. The Killing spinor equations of IIB supergravity can be interpreted as a parallel transport equation for the supercovariant connection $\mathcal{D}$

$$\mathcal{D}_M\epsilon=\tilde{\nabla}_M\epsilon+\frac{i}{48}\Gamma^{N_1\dots N_4}\epsilon F_{N_1\dots N_4M}-\frac{1}{96}(\Gamma_M{}^{N_1N_2N_3}G_{N_1N_2N_3}-9\Gamma^{N_1N_2}G_{MN_1N_2})(C\epsilon)^*=0 \tag{2.1}$$

and an algebraic equation

$$A\epsilon=P_M\Gamma^M(C\epsilon)^*+\frac{1}{24}G_{N_1N_2N_3}\Gamma^{N_1N_2N_3}\epsilon=0\ , \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$\tilde{\nabla}_M=D_M+\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{M,AB}\Gamma^{AB}\ ,\qquad D_M=\partial_M-\frac{i}{2}Q_M$$

is the spin connection, $\nabla_M=\partial_M+\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{M,AB}\Gamma^{AB}$, twisted with $U(1)$ connection Q_M, $Q^*_M=Q_M$, ϵ is a (complex) Weyl spinor, $\Gamma^{0\dots 9}\epsilon=-\epsilon$, and C is a charge conjugation matrix[4]. (For our spinor conventions see appendix A.) For a superspace formulation of IIB supergravity see [13].

The gauge group of the supercovariant derivative $\mathcal{D}$ is $Spin(9,1)\times U(1)$. Under such local transformations the fluxes rotate up to a local Lorentz rotation and an appropriate $U(1)$ gauge transformation of the connection Q. As we have mentioned in the introduction, the Killing spinor can be written up to a $Spin(9,1)$ gauge transformation as (1.1). This spinor is G_2-invariant and exhibits a $SU(3)\subset G_2$ manifest invariance. This and the choice of the basis in the space of spinors given in (A.8) make it convenient to decompose the fluxes and geometry in $SU(3)$ representations. For this, we split the spacetime frame indices $A=(+,-,1,\bar{1},p,\bar{p})$, $p=1,2,3$. We then substitute the Killing spinor ϵ (1.1) into the Killing spinor equations (2.1) and (2.2) and perform the gamma matrix algebra such that these equations are expressed in the basis (A.8). Then we set every component in this basis to zero. In this way, we derive a linear system for the fluxes, spacetime geometry and spacetime derivatives of the functions f, g which determine the Killing spinor ϵ. This computation is described in more detail in appendix B.

Next we solve the linear system. As we have mentioned in the introduction, we first solve for the complex fluxes P and G. It turns out that not all components of these fluxes are specified by the Killing spinor equations. After choosing the independent components the linear system is solved for the remaining components of P and G in terms of F, Ω and the derivatives of f, g in (1.1). Having done this, the rest of the equations of the linear system become conditions for the components of F, the geometry represented by the Levi-Civita connection Ω, the $U(1)$ (real) connection Q and the spacetime derivatives of the functions f, g. Since F, Q, Ω, f and g are real, one has to also consider the complex conjugates of the equations for F, Q, Ω, f and g. It turns out that these equations do not determine all components of F. After choosing the independent ones, the linear system is solved for the remaining components of F in terms of the geometry Ω, Q and the derivatives of f, g. Some equations remain. These involve the geometry Ω, Q and the spacetime derivatives of f, g. We interpret these equations as conditions on the geometry of spacetime of a background that admits a G_2-invariant Killing spinor. The expressions for the fluxes mentioned above are given in appendix B. The computation described above is lengthy but routine and it is convenient to organize it in $SU(3)$ representations. In what follows, we shall investigate the conditions on the geometry in more detail.

---

[3] We use a mostly plus convention for the metric. To relate this to the conventions of [2], one takes $\Gamma^A\to i\Gamma^A$ and every time a index is lowered there is also an additional minus sign, see also [4].

[4] In the basis of gamma matrices chosen in [2], $C=1$, and so it has been neglected, see however [12].

2.2 Geometry

2.2.1 Geometric conditions

It is convenient to arrange the conditions on the geometry of the spacetime of backgrounds with a G_2-invariant Killing spinor in terms of $SU(3)$ representations. The geometric conditions that transform under the trivial representation of $SU(3)$ are

$$g^2\Omega_{\bar{1},-\bar{1}} - f^2\Omega_{\bar{1},+\bar{1}} = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

$$2\partial_- \log f + \Omega_{-,-+} = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

$$2f\partial_1 f + f^2\Omega_{1,-+} + g^2\Omega_{-,-1} - f^2\Omega_{-,+1} = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

$$2g\partial_1 g - g^2\Omega_{1,-+} - g^2\Omega_{+,-1} + f^2\Omega_{+,+1} = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

$$2f\partial_+ f - 2g\partial_- g + g^2\Omega_{-,-+} + f^2\Omega_{+,-+} = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

$$2\partial_+ \log g + \Omega_{+,-+} = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

$$g^2\Omega_{\bar{1},-1} - f^2\Omega_{\bar{1},+1} + g^2\Omega_{-,1\bar{1}} - f^2\Omega_{+,1\bar{1}} = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

$$g^2\Omega_{\bar{r},-}{}^{\bar{r}} - f^2\Omega_{\bar{r},+}{}^{\bar{r}} + g^2\Omega_{-,r}{}^{r} - f^2\Omega_{+,r}{}^{r} = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

$$\Omega_{-,+\bar{1}} - \Omega_{-,+1} - \Omega_{+,-\bar{1}} + \Omega_{+,-1} = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

$$g^2Q_- - f^2Q_+ + 2fg\Omega_{-,+1} - 2fg\Omega_{+,-1} = 0 \tag{2.12}$$

$$\Omega_{\bar{1},r}{}^{r} - \Omega_{1,r}{}^{r} + \epsilon^{\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2\bar{r}_3}\Omega_{\bar{r}_1,\bar{r}_2\bar{r}_3} + \epsilon^{r_1r_2r_3}\Omega_{r_1,r_2r_3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}+\Omega_{\bar{r},\bar{1}}{}^{\bar{r}} - \Omega_{r,\bar{1}}{}^{r} - \Omega_{\bar{r},1}{}^{\bar{r}} + \Omega_{r,1}{}^{r} - \frac{g^2}{2f^2}\Omega_{-,-\bar{1}} - \frac{g^2}{2f^2}\Omega_{-,-1} \\ +\frac{f^2}{2g^2}\Omega_{+,+\bar{1}} + \frac{f^2}{2g^2}\Omega_{+,+1} - \Omega_{+,-1} + \Omega_{-,+1} &= 0 \ . \qquad (2.13)\end{aligned}$$

The geometric conditions that transform under the fundamental representation of $SU(3)$ are

$$2f\partial_p f + f^2\Omega_{p,-+} + g^2\Omega_{-,-p} - f^2\Omega_{+,-p} = 0 \qquad (2.14)$$

$$2g\partial_p g - g^2\Omega_{p,-+} - g^2\Omega_{+,-p} + f^2\Omega_{+,+p} = 0 \qquad (2.15)$$

$$g^2\Omega_{1,-p} - f^2\Omega_{1,+p} + g^2\Omega_{p,-1} - f^2\Omega_{p,+1} = 0 \qquad (2.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned}g^2\Omega_{p,-\bar{1}} - f^2\Omega_{p,+\bar{1}} + g^2\Omega_{p,-1} - f^2\Omega_{p,+1} - g^2\Omega_{-,p\bar{1}} \\ -g^2\Omega_{-,p1} + f^2\Omega_{+,p\bar{1}} + f^2\Omega_{+,p1} &= 0 \qquad (2.17)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}g^2\Omega_{\bar{1},-p} - f^2\Omega_{\bar{1},+p} - g^2\Omega_{p,-1} + f^2\Omega_{p,+1} + g^2\Omega_{-,p\bar{1}} \\ +g^2\Omega_{-,p1} - f^2\Omega_{+,p\bar{1}} - f^2\Omega_{+,p1} &= 0 \qquad (2.18)\end{aligned}$$

$$\Omega_{-,+p} - \Omega_{+,-p} = 0 \qquad (2.19)$$

$$\begin{aligned}g^2\epsilon^{\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}{}_p\Omega_{\bar{r}_1,-\bar{r}_2} - f^2\epsilon^{\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}{}_p\Omega_{\bar{r}_1,+\bar{r}_2} + 2g^2\Omega_{p,-1} - 2f^2\Omega_{p,+1} \\ -g^2\epsilon^{\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}{}_p\Omega_{-,\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2} + f^2\epsilon^{\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}{}_p\Omega_{+,\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2} + 2g^2\Omega_{-,1p} - 2f^2\Omega_{+,1p} &= 0 \qquad (2.20)\end{aligned}$$

The conditions that transforms under the fundamental representation and its conjugate of $SU(3)$ are

$$-g^2\Omega_{\bar{q},-p} + f^2\Omega_{\bar{q},+p} - g^2\Omega_{p,-\bar{q}} + f^2\Omega_{p,+\bar{q}} = 0 \ , \qquad (2.21)$$

and the conditions that transform under the symmetric product of the fundamental representation are

$$g^2\Omega_{\bar{p},-\bar{q}} - f^2\Omega_{\bar{p},+\bar{q}} + g^2\Omega_{\bar{q},-\bar{p}} - f^2\Omega_{\bar{q},+\bar{p}} = 0 \ , \qquad (2.22)$$

The above conditions on the geometry can be simplified by going to the gauge $f = g$. In this gauge, the conditions (2.4), (2.7) and (2.8), imply that

$$\Omega_{-,-+} = 0 \ . \qquad (2.23)$$

Consequently, $\partial_- f = 0$. Some additional simplification also occurs because the dependence of the conditions on f can be eliminated apart from the terms containing spacetime derivatives. In what follows, we shall examine the geometric conditions without choosing the gauge $f = g$.

The conditions on the geometry (2.3)-(2.22) have been described in terms of $SU(3) \subset G_2$ representations. However, it should be possible to re-expressed them in terms of G_2 representations. This is because as one may expect the existence of a G_2-invariant spinor reduces the structure group of spacetime from $Spin(9,1) \times U(1)$ to G_2. To make this manifest, we shall examine the spacetime form bilinears that can be constructed from the Killing spinor ϵ of the background.

2.3 Spacetime forms from spinor bilinears

The Killing spinors of IIB supergravity are complex Weyl spinors. Because the charge conjugation matrix C acts non-trivially on such spinors, one can construct for any complex Weyl spinor ϵ another spinor $\tilde{\epsilon} = C * \epsilon$. If ϵ is a Killing spinor, $\tilde{\epsilon}$ may not be Killing but it is defined on the spacetime, see also [4]. It turns out that $\tilde{\epsilon}$ is needed to understand the geometric conditions which arise from the Killing spinor equations of IIB supergravity. After some inconsequential rescaling with a numerical factor, the Killing spinor can be written as

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[f(1+e_{1234}) - ig(e_{15}+e_{2345})] \ , \tag{2.24}$$

and

$$\tilde{\epsilon} = C * \epsilon = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[f(1+e_{1234}) + ig(e_{15}+e_{2345})] \ . \tag{2.25}$$

It is then straightforward from the results in appendix A.2 to find that the one-forms are

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(\epsilon,\epsilon) &= -f^2(-e^0+e^5) - g^2(e^0+e^5) + 2ifge^1 \\ \kappa(\epsilon,\tilde{\epsilon}) &= -f^2(-e^0+e^5) + g^2(e^0+e^5) \\ \kappa(\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon}) &= -f^2(-e^0+e^5) - g^2(e^0+e^5) - 2ifge^1 \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

the three-form is

$$\xi(\tilde{\epsilon},\epsilon) = -2ifg[\mathrm{Re}\,\hat{\chi} - e^6\wedge\hat{\omega} - e^0\wedge e^1\wedge e^5] \tag{2.27}$$

and the five-forms are

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\epsilon,\epsilon) &= -f^2(-e^0+e^5)\wedge[\mathrm{Re}\,\chi - \frac{1}{2}\omega\wedge\omega] \\ &+ g^2(e^0+e^5)\wedge[e^1\wedge\mathrm{Re}\,\hat{\chi} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\omega}\wedge\hat{\omega} - \hat{\omega}\wedge e^1\wedge e^6 + e^6\wedge\mathrm{Im}\,\hat{\chi}] \\ &+ 2ifg[e^0\wedge e^5\wedge\mathrm{Re}\,\hat{\chi} - e^1\wedge e^6\wedge\mathrm{Im}\,\hat{\chi} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}e^1\wedge\hat{\omega}\wedge\hat{\omega} + \hat{\omega}\wedge e^6\wedge e^0\wedge e^5] \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\tilde{\epsilon},\epsilon) &= -f^2(-e^0+e^5)\wedge[\mathrm{Re}\,\chi - \frac{1}{2}\omega\wedge\omega] \\ &- g^2(e^0+e^5)\wedge[e^1\wedge\mathrm{Re}\,\hat{\chi} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\omega}\wedge\hat{\omega} - \hat{\omega}\wedge e^1\wedge e^6 + e^6\wedge\mathrm{Im}\,\hat{\chi}] \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

and $\tau(\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon})$ can be derived from $\tau(\epsilon,\epsilon)$ after setting $g \to -g$, where $\hat{\chi}$ and $\hat{\omega}$ are given in appendix A.2.

The spacetime form bilinears of the pairs (ϵ,ϵ) and $(\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon})$ transform under the $U(1)$ subgroup of the $Spin(9,1)\times U(1)$ gauge group of IIB supergravity. So, there are line bundle valued forms on the spacetime. In fact, they carry equal but opposite charge. As a consequence the form $\kappa(\epsilon,\epsilon)\wedge\kappa(\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon})\wedge\kappa(\epsilon,\tilde{\epsilon})$ is a well-defined three form on the spacetime. These will be used later to investigate the conditions on the geometry of spacetime.

2.4 Analysis of the geometric conditions

To analyze the geometric conditions, we introduce a frame $e^+, e^-, e^\alpha, e^{\bar{\alpha}}$ such that the metric is written as

$$ds^2 = 2(e^+e^- + \delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}e^\alpha e^{\bar{\beta}}) \ . \tag{2.30}$$

It is convenient to what follows to suitably normalize the various forms that are associated with the spinor bilinears. In particular we shall focus on the three one-forms (2.26) and the three-form (2.27) which are used below to analyze the geometric conditions. First observe that the one-form $\kappa(\epsilon, \tilde{\epsilon})$ is timelike and denote with X the associated vector[5] $\kappa = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\kappa(\epsilon, \tilde{\epsilon})$, i.e.

$$X = f^2 e_+ - g^2 e_- \ , \tag{2.31}$$

where e_A is the coframe of e^A, $e^A{}_M e^M{}_B = \delta^A{}_B$. Then $g(X, X) = -4f^2g^2$. Next we define

$$\hat{\kappa} = \frac{1}{2i\sqrt{-g(X,X)}}(\kappa(\epsilon, \epsilon) - \kappa(\tilde{\epsilon}, \tilde{\epsilon})) = e^1 \tag{2.32}$$

and

$$\kappa' = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(\kappa(\epsilon, \epsilon) + \kappa(\tilde{\epsilon}, \tilde{\epsilon})) = f^2e^- + g^2e^+ \ . \tag{2.33}$$

it is also convenient to define the null one forms $\lambda = g^2e^+$ and $\mu = f^2e^-$. As we have mentioned, κ is timelike, while $\hat{\kappa}$ and κ' are spacelike.

Observe that the three form $\xi(\epsilon, \tilde{\epsilon})$ is the sum of two terms. The first term can be recognized as the standard G_2-invariant three-form ϕ. The second term is proportional to $\kappa \wedge \hat{\kappa} \wedge \kappa'$. Because of this, we can separate the two parts and after an appropriate normalization with the length of X, we write

$$\phi = \mathrm{Re}\hat{\chi} - e^6 \wedge \hat{\omega} \ . \tag{2.34}$$

Since the spacetime admits a no-where vanishing G_2-invariant three-form, it admits a G_2-structure.

The above geometric data impose some topological conditions on the spacetime. Suppose that the spacetime M is smooth, it admits a G_2-structure and the line bundle L associated with the IIB scalar fields is trivial. This implies that $f, g \neq 0$ and that $\hat{\kappa}, \kappa'$ are well-defined one-forms on M. The forms $\kappa, \hat{\kappa}, \kappa'$ do not vanish. As a result, the tangent bundle TM decomposes as $TM = I^3 \oplus F$, where I^3 is a trivial bundle of rank three. In addition the vector bundle $\Lambda^3 F$ admits a no-where vanishing section ϕ. If L is not trivial, there are again topological restrictions like for example that the bundle of three-forms of the spacetime, $\Lambda^3(M)$, admits for example two no-where vanishing sections ϕ and $\kappa \wedge \hat{\kappa} \wedge \kappa'$.

Next we turn to the geometric conditions implied by the Killing spinor equations. Since the spacetime M admits a G_2-structure, one expects that the conditions on the geometry can be expressed as vanishing conditions on the covariant derivative with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the invariant forms $\hat{\kappa}, \lambda, \mu$ and ϕ. This is an adaptation of the results of Gray-Hervella for manifolds with a $U(n)$-structure [14] to this case. However here there is an additional ingredient due to the fact that the spinors $\epsilon, \tilde{\epsilon}$ are twisted with respect to the scalar field $U(1)$ connection Q_M of the line bundle L. As a result, the one-forms are also twisted. In particular $\kappa(\epsilon,\epsilon), \kappa(\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon})$ are twisted with L^2 and its dual, respectively, but κ and ϕ are not. This implies that the covariant derivative of the form should be taken with the $U(1)$ twisted connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ instead of the Levi-Civita where appropriate. In particular observe that

---

[5] We have rescaled the one-form and changed the overall sign for convenience.

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}_M\kappa(\epsilon,\epsilon) = \nabla_M\kappa(\epsilon,\epsilon) - iQ_M\kappa(\epsilon,\epsilon)\\ \tilde{\nabla}_M\kappa(\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon}) = \nabla_M\kappa(\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon}) + iQ_M\kappa(\tilde{\epsilon},\tilde{\epsilon})\ .\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

These equations can be used to compute the (twisted) covariant derivatives of $\hat{\kappa}, \lambda$ and μ.

As we have mentioned, we have expressed the geometric conditions in terms of $SU(3)$ representations. Since $SU(3) \subset G_2$ and the spacetime admits a G_2-structure, one expects that the various geometric conditions will combine and organize themselves in G_2 representations. Indeed, we shall demonstrate that this is the case and in this way, we provide an additional check on our results. First, the six conditions (2.3)-(2.8) , (2.14), (2.15) (2.16), (2.21), (2.22), and

$$\begin{aligned}g^2\Omega_{\bar{1},-1} - f^2\Omega_{\bar{1},+1} + g^2\Omega_{1,-\bar{1}} - f^2\Omega_{1,+\bar{1}} &= 0\\ g^2(\Omega_{p,-\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{1},-p}) - f^2(\Omega_{\bar{1},+p} + \Omega_{p,+\bar{1}}) &= 0\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

which are derived from the real part of (2.9) and the sum of (2.17) and (2.18), respectively, imply that the vector field X associated with the one-form κ is Killing, i.e.

$$\nabla_A\kappa_B + \nabla_B\kappa_A = 0\ . \tag{2.37}$$

Next observe that

$$X^B(\tilde{\nabla}_A\hat{\kappa}_B - \tilde{\nabla}_B\hat{\kappa}_A) = 0\ , \quad A = \bar{1}, p \tag{2.38}$$

implies (2.9) and (2.17). Next consider

$$X^B\nabla^C\phi_{BCA} + \frac{1}{12}X^B\nabla_B\phi_{CDF}{}^*\phi^{CDF}{}_A = 0\ , \quad A = \bar{1}, p \tag{2.39}$$

where ${}^*\phi$ is the dual of ϕ with respect to the G_2-invariant (volume) form $d\mathrm{vol} = e^2\wedge e^3\wedge e^4\wedge e^6\wedge e^7\wedge e^8\wedge e^9$. This condition implies (2.10) and (2.20). Similarly, (2.13) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{12}{}^*\phi^{ABCD}\nabla_A\phi_{BCD} + \frac{g^2}{f^2}\tilde{\nabla}_-\hat{\kappa}_- - \frac{f^2}{g^2}\tilde{\nabla}_+\hat{\kappa}_+ = 0 \tag{2.40}$$

The remaining equations can be written as

$$f^2\tilde{\nabla}_+\lambda_A - g^2\tilde{\nabla}_-\mu_A = 0\ , \quad A = \bar{1}, p\ . \tag{2.41}$$

To conclude the geometric content of the Killing spinor equations of IIB supergravity for backgrounds with one G_2-invariant Killing spinor is described by the equations (2.37), (2.38), (2.39), (2.40) and (2.41).

The geometric interpretation of (2.37) is straightforward. In particular, one can adapt coordinates with respect to X, $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, and write the metric as

$$ds^2 = -4f^2g^2(dt+\beta)^2 + ds^2_{(9)} \tag{2.42}$$

where β is a one-form in the nine remaining directions independent of t and $ds^2_{(9)}$ is the metric in the space of orbits of X again independent of t. Using (2.37) and the orthogonality of κ and $\hat\kappa$, we can write the condition (2.38) as

$$\mathcal{L}_X \hat\kappa_A = 0 \ , \quad A = \bar{1}, p \ . \tag{2.43}$$

However since $\hat\kappa$ is twisted with respect to L^2, the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_X$ has an additional term involving the connection Q. Similarly, the condition (2.39) can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}_X \phi_{ABC} \ {}^*\phi^{ABC}{}_D = 0 \ , \quad D = \bar{1}, p \ . \tag{2.44}$$

Clearly, this condition implies that the seven-dimensional part of $\mathcal{L}_X\phi$, in the decomposition of three forms $\Lambda^3(\mathbb{R}^7) = \Lambda^3_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbb{R}^7) \oplus \Lambda^3_{\mathbf{7}}(\mathbb{R}^7) \oplus \Lambda^3_{\mathbf{27}}(\mathbb{R}^7)$ in terms of G_2 representations, vanishes. The Lie derivative of ϕ along X may be interpreted as an infinitesimal deformation of ϕ. Then (2.44) implies that this deformation is a deformation along the moduli of a seven-dimensional submanifold since it vanishes along some diffeomorphism directions. The condition (2.40) implies that the singlet of $d\phi$, in the decomposition of four forms $\Lambda^4(\mathbb{R}^7) = \Lambda^4_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbb{R}^7) \oplus \Lambda^4_{\mathbf{7}}(\mathbb{R}^7) \oplus \Lambda^4_{\mathbf{27}}(\mathbb{R}^7)$ in terms of G_2 representations, is expressed in terms of derivatives of the one-form $\hat\kappa$. The condition (2.40) resembles the *weak or nearly parallel* G_2-structure that one finds in the standard reduction of a *Spin*(7)-structure to G_2 [7]. Of course here, the reduction that takes place is from $Spin(9,1) \times U(1)$ to G_2 and there are far more G_2 classes than those investigated for the standard case.

3 Backgrounds with extended supersymmetry

3.1 Invariant spinors and extended supersymmetry

A class of supersymmetric backgrounds are those for which the Killing spinors are invariant under a subgroup H of the gauge group G of the Killing spinor equations of a supergravity theory. Typically H includes some of the Berger holonomy groups like $SU(n)$, G_2 and $Spin(7)$. Let Δ^H be the space of H-invariant spinors i.e. $H\eta = \eta$ for every $\eta \in \Delta^H$. Δ^H is a subspace of some representation Δ of the gauge group G, and Δ is the space of spinors of the supergravity theory. Next define the group $\hat H$ as the subgroup of G which preserves the subspace Δ^H but not necessarily the individual spinors in Δ^H, i.e. $\hat H \Delta^H \subset \Delta^H$. It turns out that H is a normal subgroup of $\hat H$ and $\hat G = \hat H / H$ is the factor group. Clearly $\hat G$ preserves the subspace Δ^H, $\hat G \Delta^H \subset \Delta^H$.

Let η_i, $i = 1, \dots, k$, be a basis in the space of H-invariant spinors, Δ^H. The Killing spinors of a background with N supersymmetries and H-invariant Killing spinors[6] can be written as

$$\epsilon_r = \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{ri}\eta_i \ , \qquad r = 1, \dots, N \ , \tag{3.1}$$

where f_{ri} are functions of the spacetime and $r = 1, \dots, N$, $N \leq k$. For backgrounds with exactly N supersymmetries the rank of the matrix $f = (f_{ri})$ of coefficients is N. If a background admits $N = k$ supersymmetries, then $f = (f_{ri})$ is invertible. We term such backgrounds as maximally supersymmetric with H-invariant spinors or maximally supersymmetric H-backgrounds for short.

The Killing spinor equations of maximally supersymmetric H-backgrounds exhibit some simplification. To see this, suppose that the Killing spinor equations of a supergravity theory are a parallel transport equation of some supercovariant connection $\mathcal{D}$ and a set of algebraic equations collectively denoted by A. In such case, the Killing spinor equations can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_M\epsilon_r = \sum_{i=1}^{N}(D_M f_{ri}\eta_i + f_{ri}\mathcal{D}_M\eta_i) = 0 \ , \\ A\epsilon_r = \sum_{i=1}^{N} f_{ri}A\eta_i = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Since $f = (f_{ri})$ is invertible, these equations imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{N}(f^{-1}D_M f)_{ij}\eta_j + \mathcal{D}_M\eta_i = 0 \\ A\eta_i = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The simplification consists of eliminating the dependence of the $\mathcal{D}_M\eta_i$ term in the first Killing spinor equation and of the second Killing spinor equation on the functions f_{ri}. Observe that this simplification is not possible for backgrounds with H-invariant spinors which are not maximally supersymmetric, i.e. $N < k$. This is because the matrix $f = f_{ri}$ cannot be inverted and so some dependence on the functions f_{ri} may remain. However some simplification can still be made by an appropriate choice of the basis η_i.

Suppose that we apply the method of [5] to solve the parallel transport equation in (3.3). In such a case apart from a set of vanishing conditions for the fluxes and geometry, one finds that there is a parallel transport equation for the functions f of the type

$$(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{ij} + (C_M)_{ij} = 0 \ , \tag{3.4}$$

where C is a connection constructed from the Levi-Civita connection of the spacetime and the fluxes of the supergravity theory. The connection C can be thought of as the restriction of the supercovariant connection of the supergravity theory on the subbundle of the Killing spinors. It is well-known for such parallel transport equations that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a solution f is that the holonomy of C to be the identity, $\mathrm{hol}(C) = 1$. This in particular implies that the curvature $K = dC - C \wedge C$ should vanish, $K = 0$. For backgrounds with one supersymmetry this is a 'mild' condition. However, it becomes rather restrictive for backgrounds with extended supersymmetry. Assuming that $\mathrm{hol}(C) = 1$, the solution of (3.4) can be written as

---

[6] If $N < k$, the Killing spinors may exhibit a larger symmetry than H which in some cases is an extension of H with a discrete group [15, 16].

$$f(x) = f_0 \, P\exp(-\int_\gamma C) \ , \tag{3.5}$$

where f_0 is a constant matrix and the integral is over a path γ from a fixed point in spacetime to x. In fact one can choose f_0 to be the identity matrix using the property of (3.4) to be invariant under the transformation $f \to gf$, where g is an invertible constant $N \times N$ matrix.

It remains to determine whether there is a gauge such that the matrix f can be taken to be the identity matrix, $f = 1$, and so Killing spinors of a maximally supersymmetric H-background can be chosen such that $\epsilon_i = \eta_i$. It is well-known that this is always the case for Riemannian backgrounds with parallel spinors but it is not apparent in the context of supergravity because the holonomy and the gauge group of the supercovariant connection can be different. To give a sufficient condition for the existence of the gauge $f = 1$, let s be the Lie algebra that C takes values in. Typically s is a subalgebra of the Lie algebra of the holonomy group of the supercovariant connection. It is clear that one can choose the gauge $f = 1$, if this gauge can be reached with a gauge transformation in G which preserves Δ^H, i.e. with a $\hat{G}$ gauge transformation of the supercovariant derivative. This implies that a sufficient condition for choosing the gauge $f = 1$ is that s is a subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\hat{g}$ of $\hat{G}$.

3.2 Maximally supersymmetric H-backgrounds in IIB supergravity

The considerations of the previous section have a straightforward application in IIB supergravity. In this case $G = Spin(9,1) \times U(1)$. We shall consider three cases of backgrounds with H-invariant spinors. These are $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$, $SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ and G_2. In addition, we shall show that the terms which contain the F and G fluxes in the Killing spinor equation of the supercovariant connection of any IIB maximally supersymmetric H-background factorize, $H \subset Spin(9,1)$.

There are two $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ invariant spinors in the (complex) Weyl representation of $Spin(9,1)$. So there may exist backgrounds with $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-invariant Killing spinors with either one or two supersymmetries. The Killing spinor equations of backgrounds with one $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-invariant Killing spinors have been solved in [4]. So it remains to investigate the case with two $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-invariant Killing spinors. These are the maximally supersymmetric $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-backgrounds. One can choose the basis in the space of $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-invariant spinors to be

$$\eta_1 = (1 + e_{1234}) \ , \qquad \eta_2 = i\eta_1 = i(1 + e_{1234}) \ . \tag{3.6}$$

Substituting these into (3.3), we find after a straightforward computation using $C*\eta_1=\eta_1$ and $C*\eta_2=-\eta_2$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{11}+(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{22}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{12}-i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{21}]\eta_1\\
&\qquad\qquad+\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{M,AB}\Gamma^{AB}\eta_1+\frac{i}{48}\Gamma^{N_1\dots N_4}\eta_1F_{N_1\dots N_4M}=0\\
&\frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{11}-(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{22}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{12}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{21}]\eta_1\\
&\qquad\qquad-\frac{1}{96}(\Gamma_M{}^{N_1N_2N_3}G_{N_1N_2N_3}-9\Gamma^{N_1N_2}G_{MN_1N_2})\eta_1=0\\
&\qquad\qquad P_M\Gamma^M\eta_1=0\\
&\qquad\qquad G_{N_1N_2N_3}\Gamma^{N_1N_2N_3}\eta_1=0\ .
\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

The second condition can be further simplified using the last. In particular acting with a gamma matrix on the last equation in (3.7) and using the resulting expression after skew-symmetrization, we find that the second condition can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{11}-(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{22}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{12}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{21}]\eta_1\\
&\qquad\qquad+\frac{1}{4}\Gamma^{N_1N_2}G_{MN_1N_2}\eta_1=0\ .
\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

This condition is a parallel transport type of equation for the spinor η_1. It is surprising that parts of the gravitino Killing spinor equation which contain the F and G fluxes factorize. This is a profound simplification in the Killing spinor equations because the main difficulty in the cases studied in [4] and in earlier sections is the mixing of these two terms in the Killing spinor equations.

There are four $SU(4)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-invariant spinors. Therefore, there are IIB backgrounds with one, two, three and four $SU(4)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-invariant Killing spinors. The Killing spinor equations of backgrounds with one $SU(4)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-invariant Killing spinor have been solved in [4]. Here, we shall investigate the Killing spinor equations of maximally supersymmetric $SU(4)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-backgrounds. A basis in the space of $SU(4)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-invariant spinors is

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_1=1+e_{1234}\ ,\quad \eta_2&=i(1-e_{1234})\ ,\\
\eta_3=i\eta_1=i(1+e_{1234})\ ,\quad \eta_4&=i\eta_2=-(1-e_{1234})\ .
\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Substituting these into (3.3) and using $C*\eta_1=\eta_1$, $C*\eta_2=\eta_2$, $C*\eta_3=-\eta_3$ and $C*\eta_4=-\eta_4$, we find after some straightforward computation that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{11}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{13}+(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{33}-i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{31}]\eta_1\\
&+\frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{12}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{14}+(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{34}-i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{32}]\eta_2\\
&\qquad\qquad+\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{M,AB}\Gamma^{AB}\eta_1+\frac{i}{48}\Gamma^{N_1\dots N_4}\eta_1F_{N_1\dots N_4M}=0\\
&\frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{21}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{23}+(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{43}-i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{41}]\eta_1\\
&+\frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{22}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{24}+(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{44}-i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{42}]\eta_2\\
&\qquad\qquad+\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{M,AB}\Gamma^{AB}\eta_2+\frac{i}{48}\Gamma^{N_1\dots N_4}\eta_2F_{N_1\dots N_4M}=0
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&[(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{11}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{13}-(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{33}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{31}]\eta_1\\
&+[(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{12}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{14}-(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{34}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{32}]\eta_2\\
&\qquad\qquad+\frac{1}{4}\Gamma^{N_1N_2}G_{MN_1N_2}\eta_1=0\\
&[(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{21}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{23}-(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{43}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{41}]\eta_1\\
&+[(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{22}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{24}-(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{44}+i(f^{-1}D_Mf)_{42}]\eta_2\\
&\qquad\qquad+\frac{1}{4}\Gamma^{N_1N_2}G_{MN_1N_2}\eta_2=0\\
&P_M\Gamma^M\eta_1=0\ ,\quad P_M\Gamma^M\eta_2=0\ ,\\
&G_{N_1N_2N_3}\Gamma^{N_1N_2N_3}\eta_1=0\ ,\quad G_{N_1N_2N_3}\Gamma^{N_1N_2N_3}\eta_2=0\ .
\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

Again the parts of the gravitino Killing spinor equation which contain the F and G fluxes of the maximally supersymmetric $SU(4)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$ backgrounds factorize. We shall show that this is a generic property of maximally supersymmetric H-backgrounds in IIB supergravity.

There are four G_2-invariant spinors in the (complex) Weyl representation of $Spin(,1)$. Therefore, there are IIB backgrounds with one, two, three and four G_2-invariant Killing spinors. The Killing spinor equations of backgrounds with one G_2-invariant Killing spinor have been solved in section two and the appendices. Here, we shall investigate the Killing spinor equations of maximally supersymmetric G_2-backgrounds. A basis in the space of G_2-invariant spinors is

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_1=1+e_{1234}\ ,\quad \eta_2&=e_{15}+e_{2345}\ ,\\
\eta_3=i\eta_1=i(1+e_{1234})\ ,\quad \eta_4&=i\eta_2=i(e_{15}+e_{2345})\ .
\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

Substituting these into (3.3) and using $C*\eta_1=\eta_1$, $C*\eta_2=\eta_2$, $C*\eta_3=-\eta_3$ and $C*\eta_4=-\eta_4$, we find after some straightforward computation the same Killing spinor equations as for the maximally supersymmetric $SU(4)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-backgrounds (3.10) but now $\eta_1=1+e_{1234}$ and $\eta_2=e_{15}+e_{2345}$.

It remains to show that the F and G terms of the Killing spinor equation associated with the supercovariant derivative factorize for any IIB maximally supersymmetric H-background, $H\subset Spin(9,1)$. It is clear from the special cases we have investigated above that to show this, it is sufficient to show that there is a basis in Δ^H of the type $(\eta_i, i\eta_i, i=1,\dots,k)$, where η_i are Majorana spinors. Let $\eta\in\Delta^H$. Then $C*\eta$ is also in Δ^H because $C*$ commutes with the elements of $Spin(9,1)$ and so with the elements $H\subset Spin(9,1)$. Since $(C*)^2=1$, a basis can be chosen in Δ^H for which the basis elements have eigenvalues either $+1$ or -1. If we denote with $(\eta_i, i=1,\dots,k)$ the basis elements in Δ^H with eigenvalue $+1$, then those with eigenvalue -1 are given by $i\eta_i$, where η_i are Majorana spinors. Using this, one can show the factorization of the Killing spinor equation associated with the supercovariant derivative using arguments similar to those we have demonstrated above for the three special cases.

4 Maximally supersymmetric $Spin(7)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-backgrounds

4.1 The conditions on the geometry

The conditions on the geometry of spacetime for maximally supersymmetric $Spin(7)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$ backgrounds derived in appendix C can be listed as

$$\begin{aligned}
(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{11}-(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{22} &= 0\ , \quad A=-,+,\alpha,\bar\alpha\\
(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{12}+(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{21} &= 0\ , \quad A=-,+,\alpha,\bar\alpha\\
(f^{-1}\partial_+ f)_{11}+(f^{-1}\partial_+ f)_{22}+\Omega_{+,-+} &= 0\ ,\\
(f^{-1}\partial_+ f)_{12}-(f^{-1}\partial_+ f)_{21}-Q_+ &= 0\ ,\\
(f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{11}+(f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{22}+\Omega_{-,-+} &= 0\ ,\\
(f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{12}-(f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{21}-Q_- &\\
+\frac{1}{2}F_{-\gamma}{}^{\gamma}{}_{\delta}{}^{\delta}+\frac{1}{6}F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4} &= 0\ ,\\
(f^{-1}\partial_\alpha f)_{11}+(f^{-1}\partial_\alpha f)_{22}+\Omega_{\alpha,-+} &= 0\\
(f^{-1}\partial_\alpha f)_{12}-(f^{-1}\partial_\alpha f)_{21}-Q_\alpha &= 0\ ,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{+,+\alpha}=0\ ,\quad \Omega_{+,\alpha}{}^{\alpha} &= 0\ ,\\
\Omega_{+,\bar\alpha\bar\beta}-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta}{}^{\gamma\delta}\Omega_{+,\gamma\delta} &= 0\ ,\\
\Omega_{-,+\alpha}=0\ ,\quad \Omega_{-,\alpha}{}^{\alpha} &= 0\ ,\\
\Omega_{-,\alpha\beta}-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\bar\gamma\bar\delta}\Omega_{-,\bar\gamma\bar\delta} &= 0\ ,\\
\Omega_{\bar\alpha,+\beta}=0\ ,\quad \Omega_{\alpha,+\beta} &= 0\ ,\\
\Omega_{\bar\alpha,\beta_1\beta_2}-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\beta_1\beta_2}{}^{\bar\gamma_1\bar\gamma_2}\Omega_{\bar\alpha,\bar\gamma_1\bar\gamma_2} &= 0\ ,\\
\Omega_{\alpha,\beta}{}^{\beta} &= 0\ .
\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

Most of the above conditions have the interpretation of a parallel transport equation. The conditions for the fluxes will be summarized at the end of the section.

4.2 The geometry of spacetime

To investigate the geometry of maximally supersymmetric $Spin(7)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-backgrounds, let us focus on the equations for the functions f in (4.1). It is straightforward to see that these can be rewritten as

$$\partial_A f+fC=0\ ,\tag{4.3}$$

where $C=\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{A,-+}\tau_0+\frac{1}{2}\hat Q_A\tau_1$, $\hat Q_A=Q_A$ for $A=+,\alpha,\bar\alpha$ and

$$\hat Q_-=Q_--\frac{1}{2}F_{-\gamma}{}^{\gamma}{}_{\delta}{}^{\delta}-\frac{1}{6}F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\ ,\tag{4.4}$$

τ_0 is the identity 2×2 matrix and τ_1 is the 2×2 skew-symmetric matrix with $(\tau_1)_{12}=-1$. Therefore, the connection C takes values in the abelian Lie algebra $s=\mathbb{R}\oplus u(1)$. As we have mentioned in section three, the parallel transport equation (4.3) has a solution iff the holonomy of the connection C is the identity. In particular the curvature of K should vanish and this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{A,-+} &= \partial_A a \\ \hat{Q}_A &= \partial_A b \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

for some functions a, b of spacetime. Solving the parallel transport equation to express f in terms of a, b, one finds that

$$f(x) = f_0 e^{-\frac{1}{2}[a(x)\tau_0 + b(x)\tau_1]} = e^{-\frac{1}{2}a(x)} f_0[\cos(\frac{1}{2}b(x))\tau_0 - \sin(\frac{1}{2}b(x))\tau_1] \ , \tag{4.6}$$

where f_0 is a constant 2×2 real matrix. As we have explained in section three, one can use the invariance $f \to gf$, g constant 2×2 matrix, to set $f_0 = 1$. In such case, the Killing spinors can be written[7] as

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_1 &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}a(x)} e^{\frac{i}{2}b(x)} \eta_1 \\ \epsilon_2 &= i e^{-\frac{1}{2}a(x)} e^{\frac{i}{2}b(x)} \eta_1 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

It turns out that in this case, there is a gauge for which the functions $f = 1$. In particular, the exponential factor can be gauged away with the gauge transformation $e^{-\frac{1}{2}a(x)\Gamma^{+-}}$ which is in the $Spin(9,1)$ gauge symmetry of the supercovariant connection and the phase can also be gauged away with the $U(1)$ gauge transformation $e^{\frac{i}{2}b(x)}$. The result is that the Killing spinors are constant and are given in this gauge by $\epsilon_1 = \eta_1$ and $\epsilon_2 = i\eta_1$.

In the gauge where the the Killing spinors are constant, it becomes apparent that *the holonomy of the Levi-Civita connection* of backgrounds with maximal $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ supersymmetry *is contained* in $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$. This follows from the conditions in (4.1) of the Killing spinor equations which in this gauge imply that $\Omega_{A,-+} = 0$. In addition the conditions in (4.2) imply that the non-vanishing components of the Levi-Civita connection are $\Omega_{A,\alpha\beta}$, $\Omega_{A,\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ with $\Omega_{A,\delta}{}^{\delta} = 0$ and $\Omega_{A,\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\bar{\gamma}\bar{\delta}}\Omega_{A,\bar{\gamma}\bar{\delta}}$, and $\Omega_{A,-\alpha}$. Clearly the Levi-Civita connection takes values in Lie algebra of $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$.

The spacetime form spinor bilinears are a one-form $\kappa = e^-$ and a five-form τ. From these, after an appropriate normalization, one can construct the familiar $Spin(7)$-invariant form

$$\psi = i_\kappa \tau = \mathrm{Re}\chi - \frac{1}{2}\omega \wedge \omega \ , \tag{4.8}$$

where χ and ω are given in appendix A. To investigate the properties of the one-form κ, it is convenient to work in the gauge where the Killing spinors are constant. Of course the geometry of the spacetime does not depend on the specific gauge we use. It turns out that the null vector field $X = e_+$ associated with κ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection ∇. In particular this implies that X is Killing, self-parallel and that the associated null geodesic congruence is rotation free. Because of this, we can introduce Penrose coordinates [10], see also [17], and write the metric of the spacetime as

---

[7] If one does not use $f_0 = 1$, then ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 in (4.7) are in addition multiplied by the constant matrix f_0.

$$ds^2 = 2dv(du + \alpha dv + \beta_I dy^I) + \gamma_{IJ} dy^I dy^J \ , \tag{4.9}$$

where $X = e_+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ and v is the Hamilton-Jacobi function of the null geodesics [18]. Since in addition X is Killing, then $\alpha = \alpha(v, y)$, $\beta_I = \beta_I(v, y)$ and $\gamma_{IJ} = \gamma_{IJ}(v, y)$. In this coordinate system, it is natural to introduce the frame

$$e^- = dv \ , \quad e^+ = du + \alpha dv + \beta_I dy^I \ , \quad e^\alpha = e^\alpha{}_I dy^I \ , \quad e^{\bar\alpha} = e^{\bar\alpha}{}_I dy^I \ . \tag{4.10}$$

The associated coframe is

$$e_- = \frac{\partial}{\partial v} - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \ , \ \ e_+ = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \ , \quad e_\alpha = e^I{}_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y^I} - \beta_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \ , \quad e_{\bar\alpha} = e^I{}_{\bar\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^I} - \beta_{\bar\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \ , \tag{4.11}$$

where $e^I{}_\alpha e^\beta{}_I = \delta^\beta{}_\alpha$ and $\beta_\alpha = \beta_I e^I{}_\alpha$, and similarly for $e^I{}_{\bar\alpha}$ and $\beta_{\bar\alpha}$. The torsion free condition for this frame implies that

$$\Omega_{+,-\alpha} = 0 \ , \quad \Omega_{+,-\bar\alpha} = 0 \ , \quad \Omega_{+,\alpha\beta} = 0 \ , \quad \Omega_{+,\alpha\bar\beta} = 0 \ . \tag{4.12}$$

The rest of the components of the connection can be computed from the frame in the usual way.

The spacetime is the Lorentzian extension of an one-parameter family of manifolds with holonomy *Spin*(7) equipped with a line bundle. To see this observe that the eight-dimensional manifolds B given by $u, v = \text{const}$ admit a metric with holonomy *Spin*(7). The component $\alpha dv + \beta_I dy^I$ of the metric can be thought of as the connection of a line bundle over the family and u as the coordinate along the fibre direction. The component $\Omega_{v,IJ}$ of the connection restricts to a two-form on B which takes values in *spin*(7). In this family one can compute the deformation of the *Spin*(7)-invariant form ψ to find that all the components of $\nabla\psi$ vanish apart from

$$\nabla_A \psi_{B_1 B_2 B_3 -} = \Omega_{A,-}{}^B \, \psi_{B_1 B_2 B_3 B} \ , \qquad A = -, \alpha, \bar\alpha \ , \quad B_1, B_2, B_3, B = \alpha, \bar\alpha \ . \tag{4.13}$$

Conversely, one can solve all the geometric conditions arising from the Killing spinor equations by (i) considering a spacetime with a metric as in (4.9) which in addition has the property that the submanifold B given by $u, v = \text{const}$ has holonomy *Spin*(7), and (ii) requiring that $\Omega_{-,AB}$, $A, B = \alpha, \bar\alpha$ takes values in *spin*(7). The latter condition gives a restriction on the dependence of the metric of B on the deformation parameter v. In particular, we have[8]

$$\frac{1}{2}(d\beta)_{AB} + \partial_v e_{I[A} e^I{}_{B]} - \frac{1}{2}\psi_{AB}{}^{CD}\big(\frac{1}{2}(d\beta)_{CD} + \partial_v e_{I[C} e^I{}_{D]}\big) = 0 \ , \quad A, B = \alpha, \bar\alpha \ . \tag{4.14}$$

A solution of this is to take the frame e^α to be independent of v and $d\beta$ to take values in *spin*(7).

---

[8] Our form notation is $\omega = \frac{1}{k!}\omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_k}$ and $(d\omega)_{i_1 \dots i_{k+1}} = (k+1)\partial_{[i_1}\omega_{i_2 \dots i_{k+1}]}$.

4.3 Fluxes

It remains to give a geometric interpretation of the conditions on the fluxes, see appendix C. As we have already mentioned the Killing spinor equations imply that all the components of G vanish apart from G_{-AB} which takes values in $spin(7)$. The only non-vanishing component of P is P_-. The conditions implied by the Killing spinor equations on the flux F have been given in terms of $SU(4) \subset Spin(7)$ representations in appendix C. However it is expected that they should be re-expressed in terms of $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ representations. This is because, as we have seen in the previous section, the (geometric) structure group of the spacetime of maximal supersymmetric $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-backgrounds reduces from $Spin(9,1) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ to $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$. In particular one find that in addition to the self-duality condition of F

$$\begin{aligned} F_{-+ABC} &= 0 \, , \\ F_{+AC_1C_2C_3}\psi^{C_1C_2C_3}{}_B &= 0 \\ F^{\mathbf{7}}_{-A_1A_2A_3A_4} &= 0 \, , \quad A = \alpha, \bar{\alpha} \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

and

$$-Q_- + \frac{1}{24} F_{-ABCD}\psi^{ABCD} = 0 \, , \tag{4.16}$$

where $F^{\mathbf{7}}_{-A_1A_2A_3A_4}$ denotes the seven-dimensional irreducible representation of $spin(7)$ in the decomposition of $\Lambda^4(\mathbb{R}^8) = \Lambda^4_{\mathbf{1}}(\mathbb{R}^8) \oplus \Lambda^4_{\mathbf{7}}(\mathbb{R}^8) \oplus \Lambda^4_{\mathbf{27}}(\mathbb{R}^8) \oplus \Lambda^4_{\mathbf{35}}(\mathbb{R}^8)$.

5 Maximally supersymmetric $SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-backgrounds

5.1 Conditions on the geometry

The conditions on the geometry of maximally supersymmetric $SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-backgrounds have been derived in appendix D and can be summarized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{11} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{22} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{33} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{44} \, , & \\ (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{23} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{32} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{14} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{41} &= 0 \, , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{12} = -(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{21} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{34} = -(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{43} \, , & \\ (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{13} = -(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{31} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{24} = -(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{42} \, , & \\ (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{11} = (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{22} = (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{33} = (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{44} \, , & \\ (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{11} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{A,-+} &= 0 \, , \\ 2(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{13} - Q_A &= 0 \\ 2i(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{21} - \Omega_{A,\beta}{}^{\beta} &= 0 \, , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{11} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,-+} &= 0 \, , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{13} + (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{24} + \frac{1}{2} F_{-\gamma}{}^{\gamma}{}_{\beta}{}^{\beta} - Q_- &= 0 \, , \\ i((f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{21} + (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{43}) - \Omega_{-,\beta}{}^{\beta} &= 0 \, , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{13} - (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{24} + \frac{1}{12}(F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4} & \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
+F_{-\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3\bar\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3\bar\alpha_4}) &= 0\ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{14} - (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{32} - \frac{i}{12}(F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4} & \\
-F_{-\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3\bar\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3\bar\alpha_4}) &= 0\ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{21} - (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{43} + i(f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{23} + i(f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{41} + \frac{i}{2}G_{-\beta}{}^{\beta} &= 0\ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{ij} + (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{ji} &= 0\ , \qquad (5.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equation $i \neq j$ and $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $A = +, \alpha, \bar\alpha$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2\alpha_3} = 0\ , \quad \Omega_{\bar\alpha,\beta_1\beta_2} = 0\ , \quad \Omega_{\alpha,+\bar\beta} = 0\ , \quad \Omega_{+,+\alpha} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{-,\alpha_1\alpha_2} = 0\ , \quad \Omega_{-,+\alpha} = 0\ , \quad \Omega_{+,\beta_1\beta_2} = 0\ , \quad \Omega_{\alpha_1,+\alpha_2} &= 0\ . \qquad (5.2)
\end{aligned}$$

The above condition appear rather involved. However, most of them have the interpretation of a parallel transport equation.

5.2 The geometry of spacetime

As in the case of $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-invariant Killing spinors, the conditions (5.1) can be written as a parallel transport equation

$$f^{-1}\partial_A f + C_A = 0\ , \qquad (5.3)$$

where $C_A = \frac{1}{2}\hat\Omega^0_A t_0 + \frac{1}{2}\hat\Omega^r_A I_r + \frac{1}{2}\tilde\Omega^r_A J_r$, for some choice of self-dual I_r and anti-self dual J_r 4×4 matrices such that $I_r^2 = J_r^2 = -1$, $I_3 = I_1 I_2$, $J_3 = J_1 J_2$ and $I_r J_s = J_s I_r$ and t_0 is the identity 4×4 matrix. In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat\Omega^0_A &= \Omega_{A,-+} \\
\hat\Omega^1_A &= i\Omega_{A,\beta}{}^{\beta} \\
\hat\Omega^2_- &= \frac{1}{12}(F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4} + F_{-\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3\bar\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3\bar\alpha_4}) \\
\hat\Omega^3_- &= \frac{i}{12}(F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4} - F_{-\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3\bar\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3\bar\alpha_4}) \\
\tilde\Omega^1_A &= -\hat Q_A \\
\tilde\Omega^2_- &= -\frac{i}{4}(G_{-\beta}{}^{\beta} + {}^*G_{-\beta}{}^{\beta}) \\
\tilde\Omega^3_- &= \frac{1}{4}(G_{-\beta}{}^{\beta} - {}^*G_{-\beta}{}^{\beta}) \qquad (5.4)
\end{aligned}$$

the remaining components vanishing, and

$$\hat Q_A = Q_A\ , \quad A = +, \alpha, \bar\alpha\ , \quad \hat Q_- = Q_- - \frac{1}{2}F_{-\beta}{}^{\beta}{}_{\gamma}{}^{\gamma}\ . \qquad (5.5)$$

The connection C takes values in the Lie algebra $s = so(4) \oplus \mathbb{R} = su(2) \oplus su(2) \oplus \mathbb{R}$. A necessary and sufficient condition for the parallel transport equation to have a solution is that the holonomy of C should be the identity. This in particular implies that the curvature $K = dC - C \wedge C$ should vanish. However unlike the $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ case, $s = so(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}$ is not a subalgebra of $spin(9,1) \oplus u(1)$. This can be seen by identifying the generator of the $U(1)$ rotations in $so(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}$, which is I_1, and observing that it does not commute with all the generators of $so(4) \oplus \mathbb{R}$.

The vanishing components $\hat{K}^0 = 0, \hat{K}^1 = 0$ and $\tilde{K}^1 = 0$ of the curvature $K = 0$ imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{[A}\Omega_{B],-+} &= 0 \\ \partial_{[A}\Omega_{B],\beta}{}^{\beta} &= 0 \\ \partial_{[A}\hat{Q}_{B]} &= 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

which in turn can be solved as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{A,-+} &= \partial_A a \\ \hat{Q}_A &= \partial_A b \\ i\Omega_{A,\beta}{}^{\beta} &= \partial_A c \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

for some real functions a, b, c. It turns out that one can find commuting gauge $Spin(9,1) \times U(1)$ transformations to set the above components of the C connection to zero. The gauge transformations for setting $\Omega_{A,-+} = 0$ and $\hat{Q}_A = 0$ are as in the $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ case. The transformation for setting $\Omega_{A,\beta}{}^{\beta} = 0$ is $e^{-\frac{1}{2}c\Gamma^{16}}$. This can be verified by an explicit computation. In particular, this implies that the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathbb{R} \oplus so(4)$ generated by $\{\tau_0, I_1, J_1\}$ lies in $\hat{g} \subset spin(9,1) \oplus u(1)$. After choosing the gauge $\Omega_{A,-+} = \hat{Q}_A = \Omega_{A,\beta}{}^{\beta} = 0$, the remaining conditions of $K = 0$ imply that

$$\partial_A \hat{\Omega}^r_- = \partial_A \tilde{\Omega}^r_- = 0 \ , \quad r = 2, 3 \ , \quad A = \alpha, \bar{\alpha}, + \ . \tag{5.8}$$

We shall return to these equations later.

The spacetime form Killing spinor bilinears are generated by an one-form $\kappa = e^-$, a two form ω and the standard holomorphic $SU(4)$ invariant form χ. It turns out that the null vector field $X = e_+$ associated with κ is parallel. This implies that it is Killing, self-parallel and the associated geodesic congruence is rotation free. So the spacetime metric can be written locally in Penrose coordinates as in the $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ case. In particular, we have

$$ds^2 = 2dv(du + \alpha dv + \beta_I dy^I) + \gamma_{IJ} dy^I dy^J \ , \tag{5.9}$$

where $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$, v is the Hamilton-Jacobi function of the null geodesic congruence and $\alpha = \alpha(v, y)$, $\beta_I = \beta_I(v, y)$ and $\gamma_{IJ} = \gamma_{IJ}(v, y)$. We introduce the frame

$$e^- = dv \ , \quad e^+ = du + \alpha dv + \beta_I dy^I \ , \quad e^{\alpha} = e^{\alpha}{}_I dy^I \ , \quad e^{\bar{\alpha}} = e^{\bar{\alpha}}{}_I dy^I \ . \tag{5.10}$$

The torsion free condition of the Levi-Civita connection in this frame reveals the additional conditions

$$\Omega_{+,-\alpha} = 0 \ , \quad \Omega_{+,-\bar{\alpha}} = 0 \ , \quad \Omega_{+,\alpha\bar{\beta}} = 0 \ . \tag{5.11}$$

Next we compute the covariant derivatives of the two-form ω and the holomorphic (4,0) form χ to find that they vanish apart from the components

$$\nabla_A \omega_{B-} = \Omega_{A,-}{}^{C} \omega_{BC} \ ,$$

$$\nabla_A \chi_{B_1B_2B_3-} = \Omega_{A,-}{}^C \chi_{B_1B_2B_3C} \ , \quad A = -, \alpha, \bar\alpha \ , \quad B, B_1, B_2, B_3 = \alpha, \bar\alpha \ . \tag{5.12}$$

This in particular reveals that the submanifold of the spacetime given by $v, u = \text{const}$ is an eight-dimensional Calabi-Yau manifold. The spacetime is a one-parameter family of Calabi-Yau manifolds equipped with a line bundle with fibre coordinate u and connection $\alpha dv + \beta_I dy^I$. Conversely, given an one-parameter family of Calabi-Yau manifolds equipped with a line bundle, one can write a metric as in (5.9). The Killing spinor equations impose some additional conditions on the way that the Calabi-Yau metrics depend on the deformation parameter v. These conditions arise from the requirement that $\Omega_{-,AB}$ takes values in $su(4)$ and can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2}(d\beta)_{\alpha\gamma} + \partial_v e_{I[\alpha} e^I{}_{\gamma]} = 0 \ , \quad (d\beta)_{\alpha\bar\gamma}\delta^{\alpha\bar\gamma} - e^I{}_\alpha \partial_v e^\alpha{}_I + e^I{}_{\bar\alpha}\partial_v e^{\bar\alpha}{}_I = 0 \ . \tag{5.13}$$

One solution of these conditions is to take the metric γ to be independent of v and $d\beta$ to take values in $su(4)$.

The conditions on fluxes of the background required by supersymmetry are already written in $SU(4)$ representations. So we shall not repeat the formulae. It remains to write the conditions (5.8) in the frame (5.10). Indeed these can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_I F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4} = 0 \ , \quad \partial_I G_{-\beta}{}^\beta = 0 \ , \\ \partial_u F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4} = 0 \ , \quad \partial_u G_{-\beta}{}^\beta = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

i.e. they depend only on the coordinate v.

5.3 Fluxes

Apart from the conditions on the fluxes that we have derived in (5.14), the Killing spinor equations imply that all components of P_A vanish apart from P_- which remains unconstrained. Similarly all the components of G vanish apart from $G_{-\alpha\bar\beta}$. The trace of this component is constrained as in (5.14). The F-fluxes satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} F_{+\alpha\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2\bar\beta_3} = 0 \ , \quad F_{-+\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3} &= 0 \ , \\ F_{-\beta_1\beta_2\gamma}{}^\gamma = 0 \ , \quad F_{+\alpha\bar\beta\gamma}{}^\gamma &= 0 \\ F_{-+\alpha\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2} = 0 \ , \quad F_{-\alpha}{}^\alpha{}_\beta{}^\beta &= 2Q_- \ , \end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

in addition to (5.14) and to the self-duality condition. These conditions can be easily derived from (D.19). In addition that last condition follows from the gauge $\hat Q_A = 0$.

6 Maximally supersymmetric G_2-backgrounds

6.1 Conditions on the geometry and fluxes

The solution of the linear system for maximally supersymmetric G_2-backgrounds has been given in appendix E. The conditions on the geometry of such backgrounds are

$$(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{11} = (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{33} = -(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{22} = -(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{44} \ ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{13} = -(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{31} = (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{24} = -(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{42}\ , & \\ (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{23} = (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{32} = (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{14} = (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{41} &= 0\ , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{12} = (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{34} = \Omega_{M,+\bar{1}}\ , & \\ (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{21} = (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{43} = \Omega_{M,1-}\ , & \\ (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{11} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{M,-+} &= 0\ , \\ 2(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{13} - Q_M &= 0\ , \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{M,1q} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{M,\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}{}_q &= 0 \\ \Omega_{M,\bar{1}q} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{M,\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}{}_q &= 0 \\ \Omega_{M,+q} &= 0 \\ \Omega_{M,-q} &= 0 \\ \Omega_{M,q}{}^q &= 0 \\ \Omega_{M,1\bar{1}} &= 0 \\ \Omega_{M,-1} - \Omega_{M,-\bar{1}} &= 0 \\ \Omega_{M,+1} - \Omega_{M,+\bar{1}} &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

for $M = +, -, 1, \bar{1}, p, \bar{p}$. Moreover, it turns out that the fluxes P, G and F vanish.

6.2 The geometry of spacetime

As in the previous cases, we focus on the conditions involving the the functions f. It is straightforward to see that these equations can be written as a parallel transport equation

$$f^{-1}\partial_A f + C_A = 0 \tag{6.3}$$

of a connection C, where

$$C_A = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{A,-+}t_0 + \frac{1}{2}Q_A t_1 + \Omega_{A,+1}t_2 + \Omega_{A,-1}t_3\ . \tag{6.4}$$

The connection C is real and the 4×4 matrices t_0, t_1, t_2 and t_3 are easily computed from the conditions of the geometry listed in the previous section. The commutators of these matrices are

$$\begin{gathered}[t_1, t_0] = [t_1, t_2] = [t_1, t_3] = 0\ , \\ [t_0, t_2] = 2t_2\ , \quad [t_0, t_3] = -2t_3\ , \quad [t_2, t_3] = -t_0\ . \end{gathered} \tag{6.5}$$

Therefore C is an $s = \mathbb{R}\oplus sl(2,\mathbb{R})$ connection. As we have already mentioned, the existence of a solution for (6.3) requires that the curvature $K = dC - C\wedge C$ vanishes. In addition, one can choose a gauge using $Spin(9,1)\times U(1)$ transformations such that $f = 1$. To see this, first observe that the part of the solution along the central generator t_1 can be gauged away using a $U(1)$ gauge transformation as in the $Spin(7)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$ and $SU(4)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$ investigated previously. The remaining $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ part of the solution can be gauged away with a $SL(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset Spin(9,1)$ transformation. The Lie algebra $sl(2,\mathbb{R}) \subset spin(9,1)$ is spanned by the elements $\Gamma^{05}, \Gamma^{01}, \Gamma^{15}$. Therefore in this case $s \subset \hat{g}$.

In the gauge that $f = 1$, the geometric conditions are very simple. All the components of the Levi-Civita connection vanish apart from the traceless part of $\Omega_{M,p\bar{q}}$, $\Omega_{M,1q}$, $\Omega_{M,\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}$ and $\Omega_{M,\bar{1}q}$. In addition, the last three satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{M,1q} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{M,\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}{}_q &= 0 \\ \Omega_{M,\bar{1}q} + \Omega_{M,1q} &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

To interpret these conditions, it is helpful to find the spacetime forms constructed as Killing spinor bilinears. In the gauge we have chosen above, the Killing spinors are $\eta_1, \eta_2, i\eta_1, i\eta_2$. Therefore the linearly independent spacetime form bilinears are generated by η_1 and η_2. A straightforward computation using the results of appendix A.2 reveals that the spacetime forms are generated by three one-forms $\kappa = e^-$, $\hat{\kappa}$ and $\kappa' = e^+$, and the G_2-invariant three-form ϕ given in (2.34). The second condition in (6.6) together with $\Omega_{A,1\bar{1}} = 0$, $\Omega_{M,+1} = 0$ and $\Omega_{M,-1} = 0$ imply that $\Omega_{A,1B} + \Omega_{A,\bar{1}B} = 0$, i.e. the connection vanishes along the e^1 direction. The supersymmetry conditions also imply that $\Omega_{A,+B} = \Omega_{A,-B} = 0$. From these conditions one concludes that the one-forms e^+, e^-, e^1 are parallel. In addition the first condition in (6.6) implies that the three-form ϕ is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. These imply that the spacetime is the product $M = \mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times B$, where $\mathbb{R}^{1,2}$ is the three-dimensional Minkowski space and B is a manifold with holonomy G_2 as may have been expected because the fluxes vanish.

7 Concluding Remarks

There are three classes of IIB supergravity backgrounds with one supersymmetry characterized by the stability subgroup of the Killing spinor in $Spin(9,1) \times U(1)$. These are $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$, $SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ and G_2. The Killing spinor equations for the first two have been solved in [4]. In this paper, we have solved the Killing spinor equations of IIB supergravity for backgrounds with one G_2-invariant Killing spinor. We have found that such backgrounds admit a timelike Killing vector field, two spacelike one-forms twisted with respect to line bundle of IIB scalar fields, and a G_2-invariant three-form. As expected the spacetime admits a G_2-structure which we have specified by computing the covariant derivative of the above forms.

We have also investigated backgrounds with extended supersymmetry. In particular we focused on backgrounds that admit Killing spinors which are invariant under a subgroup H of $Spin(9,1) \times U(1)$. We have shown that in IIB supergravity the Killing spinor equations of backgrounds with a maximal number of H-invariant spinors factorize, i.e. the P, G and F fluxes are separated in the Killing spinor equations. The resulting equations are straightforward to solve. In particular, we have solved the Killing spinor equations of backgrounds with two supersymmetries and $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-invariant spinors, and of backgrounds with four supersymmetries and $SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$- and G_2-invariant spinors. In both the $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ and $SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ cases, the spacetime metric can be written in Penrose coordinates and the space transverse to the null geodesic congruence is either a $Spin(7)$ or a G_2 manifold, respectively. In addition the *holonomy of the Levi-Civita connection* in both cases is contained in $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ and in $SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$, respectively. In the G_2 case, the spacetime is the product $\mathbb{R}^{1,2} \times B$, where B is a G_2 manifold.

It appears that in many cases of interest, i.e. the cases for which the spinors have a non-trivial stability subgroup in $Spin(9,1) \times U(1)$, the IIB Killing spinor equations are tractable. In particular, one can easily solve the Killing spinor equations for any background that exhibits maximal supersymmetry with H-invariant spinors for some $H \subset Spin(9,1)$. These include backgrounds with eight and sixteen supersymmetries. Another class of backgrounds are those that admit N H-invariant Killing spinors but N is smaller than the number of H-invariant spinors in the (complex) Weyl representation of $Spin(9,1)$. Many examples of such backgrounds are already known in the literature, e.g. [19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25]. To illustrate this further , there are four $SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-invariant spinors in the Weyl representation of $Spin(9,1)$. So far the Killing spinor equations have been solved for one such Killing spinor and for all four Killing spinor. However, two more cases remain to be tackled, one with two and the other three Killing spinors. For such backgrounds, the Killing spinor equations do not factorize. So it is expected that these backgrounds will exhibit a more involved structure. Nevertheless, the machinery is in place to investigate all supersymmetric backgrounds.

Acknowledgements

The work of U.G. is funded by the Swedish Research Council. This work has been partially supported by the PPARC grant PPA/G/O/2002/00475. J.G. thanks EPSRC for support.

Appendix A Spinors

A.1 Spinors from forms

It has been known for sometime that spinors can be described in terms of forms, see e.g.[26, 27, 28]. In particular, the description of $Spin(9,1)$ spinors in terms of forms used in the context of IIB supergravity can be found in [4]. Here for completeness, we shall briefly summarize some of the key formulae. For a more detail account see [4].

Let $U = \mathbb{R} < e_1, \dots, e_5 >$ be a vector space spanned by $e_1, \dots, e_5$ orthonormal vectors. The space of Dirac $Spin(9,1)$ spinors is $\Delta_c = \Lambda^*(U \otimes \mathbb{C})$. This decomposes into two complex chiral representations according to the degree of the form $\Delta_c^+ = \Lambda^{\rm even}(U \otimes \mathbb{C})$ and $\Delta_c^- = \Lambda^{\rm odd}(U \otimes \mathbb{C})$. These are the complex Weyl representations of $Spin(9,1)$. The gamma matrices are represented on Δ_c as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_0 \eta &= -e_5 \wedge \eta + e_5 \lrcorner \eta \ , \qquad \Gamma_5 \eta = e_5 \wedge \eta + e_5 \lrcorner \eta \\
\Gamma_i \eta &= e_i \wedge \eta + e_i \lrcorner \eta \ , \qquad i = 1, \dots, 4 \\
\Gamma_{5+i} \eta &= i e_i \wedge \eta - i e_i \lrcorner \eta \ , \qquad\qquad (A.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\lrcorner$ is the adjoint of $\wedge$ with respect to the auxiliary inner product $<,>$. The gamma matrices have been chosen such that $\{\Gamma_i; i = 1, \dots, 9\}$ are Hermitian and Γ_0 is anti-

Hermitian with respect to the (auxiliary) inner product

$$< z^a e_a, w^b e_b >= \sum_{a=1}^{5} (z^a)^* w^a \ , \tag{A.2}$$

on $U \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and then extended to Δ_c, where $(z^a)^*$ is the standard complex conjugate[9] of z^a. The above gamma matrices satisfy the Clifford algebra relations $\Gamma_A \Gamma_B + \Gamma_B \Gamma_A = 2\eta_{AB}$ with respect to the Lorentzian inner product as expected. The Dirac inner product on the space of spinors Δ_c is $D(\eta, \theta) =< \Gamma_0 \eta, \theta >$.

The $Pin(9,1)$ (Majorana) invariant inner product is

$$B(\eta, \theta) =< B(\eta^*), \theta > \ , \tag{A.3}$$

where $B = \Gamma_{06789}$. Observe that $B(\eta, \theta) = -B(\theta, \eta)$. It is well-known that $Spin(9,1)$ admits two inequivalent Majorana-Weyl representations. The Majorana condition on the complex Weyl representations is imposed by setting the Dirac conjugate spinor to be equal to the Majorana conjugate one. Equivalently, one can impose the reality condition using an anti-linear map which commutes with the generators of $Spin(9,1)$ and squares to one. It turns out that it is convenient to chose as a reality condition

$$\eta = -\Gamma_0 B(\eta^*) \ , \tag{A.4}$$

or equivalently

$$\eta^* = \Gamma_{6789} \eta \ . \tag{A.5}$$

The map $C = \Gamma_{6789}$ is also called charge conjugation matrix. The reality condition can also be expressed as $\eta = C * \eta = C(\eta^*)$.

The spacetime forms associated with pair of spinors η, θ are

$$\alpha(\eta, \theta) = \frac{1}{k!} B(\eta, \Gamma_{A_1 \dots A_k} \theta) e^{A_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{A_k} \ , \qquad k = 0, \dots, 9 \ . \tag{A.6}$$

If both spinors are of the same chirality, then it is sufficient to compute the forms up to degree $k \leq 5$. This is because the forms with degrees $k \geq 6$ are related to those with degrees $k \leq 5$ with a Hodge duality operation. The forms of middle dimension are either self-dual or anti-self-dual.

To solve the Killing spinor equations, it is convenient to use an oscillator basis in the space of spinors Δ_c. For this write

$$\Gamma_{\bar\alpha} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Gamma_\alpha + i \Gamma_{\alpha+5}) \ , \qquad \Gamma_\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Gamma_5 \pm \Gamma_0) \ , \qquad \Gamma_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Gamma_\alpha - i \Gamma_{\alpha+5}) \ . \tag{A.7}$$

Observe that the Clifford algebra relations in the above basis are $\Gamma_A \Gamma_B + \Gamma_B \Gamma_A = 2 g_{AB}$, where the non-vanishing components of the metric are $g_{\alpha\bar\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\bar\beta}, g_{+-} = 1$. In addition, we define $\Gamma^B = g^{BA} \Gamma_A$. The 1 spinor is a Clifford vacuum, $\Gamma_{\bar\alpha} 1 = \Gamma_+ 1 = 0$ and the representation Δ_c can be constructed by acting on 1 with the creation operators $\Gamma^{\bar\alpha}, \Gamma^+$ or equivalently any spinor can be written as

$$\eta = \sum_{k=0}^{5} \frac{1}{k!} \ \phi_{\bar a_1 \dots \bar a_k} \ \Gamma^{\bar a_1 \dots \bar a_k} 1 \ , \quad \bar a = \bar\alpha, + \ , \tag{A.8}$$

i.e. $\Gamma^{\bar a_1 \dots \bar a_k} 1$, for $k = 0, \dots, 5$, is a basis in the space of (Dirac) spinors.

---

[9] In [5] we denote the standard complex of η with $\bar\eta$ instead of η^* that we use here.

A.2 Spacetime form bilinears and G_2-invariant spinors

The G_2-invariant spinors are linear combinations of 1, e_{1234}, e_{15} and e_{2345} spinors. Here we compute the spacetime forms associated with all the pairs of the these spinors. In particular, we have the one-forms

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa(e_{1234},1) &= e^0-e^5 \ , \\
\kappa(1,e_{2345}) &= -e^1-ie^6 \ , \quad \kappa(e_{1234},e_{15})=-e^1+ie^6 \ , \\
\kappa(e_{2345},e_{15}) &= e^0+e^5 \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

the three-forms

$$\begin{aligned}
\xi(e_{1234},1) &= i(e^0-e^5)\wedge\omega \ , \\
\xi(1,e_{15}) &= \hat{\chi} \ , \\
\xi(1,e_{2345}) &= i(e^1+ie^6)\wedge\hat{\omega}+(e^1+ie^6)\wedge e^0\wedge e^5 \ , \\
\xi(e_{1234},e_{15}) &= -i(e^1-ie^6)\wedge\hat{\omega}+(e^1-ie^6)\wedge e^0\wedge e^5 \ , \\
\xi(e_{1234},e_{2345}) &= \hat{\chi}^* \\
\xi(e_{2345},e_{15}) &= i(e^0+e^5)\wedge\hat{\omega}-i(e^0+e^5)\wedge e^1\wedge e^6 \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.10}$$

and the five-forms

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(1,1) &= (e^0-e^5)\wedge\chi \ , \\
\tau(e_{1234},e_{1234}) &= (e^0-e^5)\wedge\chi^* \ , \\
\tau(e_{1234},1) &= -\frac{1}{2}(e^0-e^5)\omega\wedge\omega \ , \\
\tau(1,e_{15}) &= -\hat{\chi}\wedge[e^0\wedge e^5+ie^1\wedge e^6] \ , \\
\tau(1,e_{2345}) &= (e^1+ie^6)\wedge[\frac{1}{2}\hat{\omega}\wedge\hat{\omega}-i\hat{\omega}\wedge e^0\wedge e^5] \ , \\
\tau(e_{1234},e_{15}) &= (e^1-ie^6)\wedge[\frac{1}{2}\hat{\omega}\wedge\hat{\omega}+i\hat{\omega}\wedge e^0\wedge e^5] \ , \\
\tau(e_{1234},e_{2345}) &= \hat{\chi}^*\wedge[-e^0\wedge e^5+ie^1\wedge e^6] \ , \\
\tau(e_{2345},e_{15}) &= (e^0+e^5)\wedge[-\frac{1}{2}\hat{\omega}\wedge\hat{\omega}+\hat{\omega}\wedge e^1\wedge e^6] \ , \\
\tau(e_{15},e_{15}) &= (e^0+e^5)\wedge(-e^1+ie^6)\wedge\hat{\chi} \ , \\
\tau(e_{2345},e_{2345}) &= -(e^0+e^5)\wedge(e^1+ie^6)\wedge\hat{\chi}^* \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.11}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega &= e^1\wedge e^6+e^2\wedge e^7+e^3\wedge e^8+e^4\wedge e^9 \ , \\
\chi &= (e^1+ie^6)\wedge(e^2+ie^7)\wedge(e^3+ie^8)\wedge(e^4+ie^9) \ , \\
\hat{\omega} &= e^2\wedge e^7+e^3\wedge e^8+e^4\wedge e^9 \ , \\
\hat{\chi} &= (e^2+ie^7)\wedge(e^3+ie^8)\wedge(e^4+ie^9) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.12}$$

Observe that $\kappa(\theta,\eta)=\kappa(\eta,\theta)$, $\xi(\theta,\eta)=-\xi(\eta,\theta)$ and $\tau(\theta,\eta)=\tau(\eta,\theta)$. We use the above expressions to compute the spacetime form bi-linears of Killing spinors.

B Backgrounds with a G_2-invariant Killing spinor

B.1 Preliminaries

In this appendix, we give the details of the derivation of the conditions on the geometry and fluxes imposed by the Killing spinor equations for backgrounds with one G_2-invariant Killing spinor. The conditions we derive on the geometry have already been summarized and investigated in section two. The calculation is performed by decomposing the geometry and fluxes in $SU(3) \subset G_2$ representations. Because of the self-duality condition of the five-form flux F, no all components of F are independent. To determine the independent components of F, we write the self-duality condition in $SU(3)$ representations. In particular, we find the following relations (up to complex conjugation):

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1\bar{1}p_1p_2p_3} &= F_{-+p_1p_2p_3} \ , \\
F_{1\bar{1}p_1p_2\bar{q}} &= -2g_{\bar{q}[p_1}F_{p_2]-+r}{}^{r} - F_{-+p_1p_2\bar{q}} \ , \\
F_{1p_1p_2p_3\bar{q}} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{p_1p_2p_3}\epsilon_{\bar{q}}{}^{r_1r_2}F_{-+1r_1r_2} \ , \\
F_{1p_1p_2\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} &= (g_{p_2\bar{q}_1}g_{p_1\bar{q}_2} - g_{p_1\bar{q}_1}g_{p_2\bar{q}_2})F_{-+1r}{}^{r} \\
&+ g_{p_2\bar{q}_1}F_{-+1\bar{q}_2p_1} + g_{p_1\bar{q}_2}F_{-+1\bar{q}_1p_2} - g_{p_1\bar{q}_1}F_{-+1\bar{q}_2p_2} - g_{p_2\bar{q}_2}F_{-+1\bar{q}_1p_1} \ , \\
F_{1p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2\bar{q}_3} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2\bar{q}_3}\epsilon_{p}{}^{\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}F_{-+1\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2} \ , \\
F_{p_1p_2p_3\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} &= -\epsilon_{p_1p_2p_3}\epsilon_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}^{\ell}F_{-+1\bar{1}\ell} \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{+p_1p_2p_3\bar{q}} &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{p_1p_2p_3}\epsilon_{\bar{q}}{}^{r_1r_2}F_{+1\bar{1}r_1r_2} \ , \\
F_{+p_1p_2\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} &= (g_{p_1\bar{q}_1}g_{p_2\bar{q}_2} - g_{p_2\bar{q}_1}g_{p_1\bar{q}_2})F_{+1\bar{1}r}{}^{r} \\
&+ g_{p_2\bar{q}_1}F_{+1\bar{1}p_1\bar{q}_2} + g_{p_1\bar{q}_2}F_{+1\bar{1}p_2\bar{q}_1} - g_{p_1\bar{q}_1}F_{+1\bar{1}p_2\bar{q}_2} - g_{p_2\bar{q}_2}F_{+1\bar{1}p_1\bar{q}_1} \ , \\
F_{+1p_1p_2p_3} &= 0 \ , \\
F_{+1pr}{}^{r} &= 0 \ , \\
F_{+1p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} &= g_{p[\bar{q}_1}F_{\bar{q}_2]+1r}{}^{r} \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{-p_1p_2p_3\bar{q}} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{p_1p_2p_3}\epsilon_{\bar{q}}{}^{r_1r_2}F_{-1\bar{1}r_1r_2} \ , \\
F_{-p_1p_2\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} &= -(g_{p_1\bar{q}_1}g_{p_2\bar{q}_2} - g_{p_2\bar{q}_1}g_{p_1\bar{q}_2})F_{-1\bar{1}r}{}^{r} \\
&- g_{p_2\bar{q}_1}F_{-1\bar{1}p_1\bar{q}_2} - g_{p_1\bar{q}_2}F_{-1\bar{1}p_2\bar{q}_1} + g_{p_1\bar{q}_1}F_{-1\bar{1}p_2\bar{q}_2} + g_{p_2\bar{q}_2}F_{-1\bar{1}p_1\bar{q}_1} \ , \\
F_{-1p_1p_2\bar{q}} &= -g_{\bar{q}[p_1}F_{p_2]-1r}{}^{r} \ , \\
F_{-1\bar{q}r}{}^{r} &= 0 \ , \\
F_{-1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2\bar{q}_3} &= 0 \ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.3}
$$

The components $F_{+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2\bar{q}_3}$ and $F_{-1p_1p_2p_3}$ (and their complex conjugates) are not constrained by the duality. Our strategy is to simplify the linear system obtained from the Killing spinor equations by first re-writing terms of the type $F_{1\bar{1}p_1p_2p_3}$, $F_{1\bar{1}p_1p_2\bar{q}}$, $F_{1p_1p_2p_3\bar{q}}$, $F_{1p_1p_2\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}$, $F_{1p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2\bar{q}_3}$ and $F_{p_1p_2p_3\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}$, and their complex conjugates, which appear on the left-hand-side of (B.1) in terms of $F_{-+p_1p_2p_3}$, $F_{-+p_1p_2\bar{q}}$, $F_{-+1p_1p_2}$, $F_{-+1p\bar{q}}$ and $F_{-+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}$ and their complex conjugates. Similarly, we use the equations (B.2) and (B.3) to substitute for the fluxes that appear in the left-hand-side into the linear system.

B.2 The linear system

Setting $\epsilon = f(1+e_{1234}) + (ig/\sqrt{2})\Gamma^+(e_1+e_{234})$ into the Killing spinor equation (2.2), where f and g are real functions, and using the basis in the space of spinor given in appendix A, we obtain from the algebraic Killing spinor equations the following linear system

$$f(P_{\bar p} + \frac{1}{4}G_{-+\bar p} + \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar p q}{}^q + \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar p 1\bar 1} - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{\bar p}{}^{qr}G_{qr1}) + \frac{ig}{2}(G_{\bar p 1-} - \frac{1}{2}G_{qr-}\epsilon^{qr}{}_{\bar p}) = 0 \ , \tag{B.4}$$

$$f(P_{\bar 1} + \frac{1}{4}G_{-+\bar 1} + \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar 1 q}{}^q + \frac{1}{2}G_{234}) + ig(-P_- + \frac{1}{4}G_{-p}{}^p - \frac{1}{4}G_{-1\bar 1}) = 0 \ , \tag{B.5}$$

$$f(P_p + \frac{1}{4}G_{-+p} - \frac{1}{4}G_{pq}{}^q - \frac{1}{4}G_{p1\bar 1} - \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_p{}^{\bar q\bar r}G_{\bar q\bar r\bar 1}) + \frac{ig}{2}(G_{p\bar 1-} - \frac{1}{2}G_{\bar q\bar r-}\epsilon^{\bar q\bar r}{}_p) = 0 \ , \tag{B.6}$$

$$f(P_1 + \frac{1}{4}G_{-+1} - \frac{1}{4}G_{1p}{}^p + \frac{1}{2}G_{\bar 2\bar 3\bar 4}) - ig(P_- + \frac{1}{4}G_{-p}{}^p - \frac{1}{4}G_{-1\bar 1}) = 0 \ , \tag{B.7}$$

$$f(P_+ + \frac{1}{4}G_{+p}{}^p + \frac{1}{4}G_{+1\bar 1}) + ig(P_1 - \frac{1}{4}G_{1p}{}^p - \frac{1}{4}G_{1+-} + \frac{1}{2}G_{234}) = 0 \ , \tag{B.8}$$

$$f(P_+ - \frac{1}{4}G_{+p}{}^p - \frac{1}{4}G_{+1\bar 1}) + ig(P_{\bar 1} + \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar 1 p}{}^p - \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar 1+-} + \frac{1}{2}G_{\bar 2\bar 3\bar 4}) = 0 \ , \tag{B.9}$$

$$f(G_{+\bar p\bar q} + \epsilon_{\bar p\bar q}{}^r G_{+r1}) - igG_{\bar p\bar q 1} + 2ig(P_r + \frac{1}{4}G_{rs}{}^s - \frac{1}{4}G_{r1\bar 1} - \frac{1}{4}G_{r+-})\epsilon^r{}_{\bar p\bar q} = 0 \ , \tag{B.10}$$

and

$$f(G_{+\bar 1\bar p} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\bar p}{}^{qr}G_{+qr}) + 2ig(-P_{\bar p} + \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar p q}{}^q - \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar p 1\bar 1} + \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar p+-} + \frac{1}{4}G_{qr\bar 1}\epsilon^{qr}{}_{\bar p}) = 0 \ . \tag{B.11}$$

Next we turn into the Killing spinor equation associated with the supercovariant derivative (2.1). In particular the linear equations associated with $\mathcal{D}_p\epsilon = 0$ are

$$\begin{aligned} D_p f + f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,q}{}^q + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,1\bar 1} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,-+} + iF_{p-+q}{}^q + iF_{p-+1\bar 1} \\ +\frac{1}{4}G_{pq}{}^q + \frac{1}{4}G_{p1\bar 1} + \frac{1}{4}G_{-+p}) + ig(\Omega_{p,1-} + iF_{p1-q}{}^q - \frac{1}{2}G_{p1-}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\Omega_{p,\bar q_1\bar q_2} - 2ig_{p[\bar q_1}F_{\bar q_2]-+r}{}^r - 2ig_{p[\bar q_1}F_{\bar q_2]-+1\bar 1} + 2iF_{p-+\bar q_1\bar q_2} + \frac{1}{2}G_{p\bar q_1\bar q_2} - \frac{1}{4}g_{p[\bar q_1}G_{\bar q_2]r}{}^r \\ -\frac{1}{4}g_{p[\bar q_1}G_{\bar q_2]1\bar 1} - \frac{1}{4}g_{p[\bar q_1}G_{\bar q_2]-+} - \Omega_{p,1r}\epsilon^r{}_{\bar q_1\bar q_2} - \frac{1}{4}G_{p1r}\epsilon^r{}_{\bar q_1\bar q_2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ig(2iF_{p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2 1-} + \frac{1}{2}g_{p[\bar{q}_1}G_{\bar{q}_2]1-} + (\Omega_{p,r-} - \frac{1}{4}G_{pr-})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) = 0 \ , \tag{B.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &f(\Omega_{p,\bar{1}\bar{q}} + iF_{-+\bar{1}r}{}^{r}g_{p\bar{q}} + 2iF_{p-+\bar{1}\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{2}G_{p\bar{1}\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{8}g_{p\bar{q}}G_{\bar{1}r}{}^{r} + \frac{1}{8}g_{p\bar{q}}G_{\bar{1}-+} \\ &-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,r_1r_2}\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{q}} - \frac{1}{4}g_{p\bar{q}}G_{234}) + ig(-\Omega_{p,\bar{q}-} - iF_{-r}{}^{r}{}_{1\bar{1}}g_{p\bar{q}} + 2iF_{p\bar{q}1\bar{1}-} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}G_{p\bar{q}-} - \frac{1}{8}g_{p\bar{q}}G_{-r}{}^{r} + \frac{1}{8}g_{p\bar{q}}G_{1\bar{1}-}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &D_pf + f(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,-+} \\ &-\frac{1}{8}G_{pq}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{8}G_{p1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{8}G_{-+p} + iF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + \frac{1}{8}\epsilon_{p}{}^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}G_{\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) \\ &+ig(-iF_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - \frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2-}\epsilon_{p}{}^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + \Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} - \frac{1}{4}G_{p\bar{1}-}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,+\bar{q}} + \frac{i}{2}g_{p\bar{q}}F_{+1\bar{1}r}{}^{r} + iF_{p+\bar{q}1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{16}g_{p\bar{q}}G_{+r}{}^{r} + \frac{1}{16}g_{p\bar{q}}G_{+1\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{4}G_{+p\bar{q}}) \\ &+ig(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,\bar{q}1} + iF_{p\bar{q}+-1} + \frac{i}{2}F_{-+1r}{}^{r}g_{p\bar{q}} - \frac{1}{4}G_{p\bar{q}1} \\ &+\frac{1}{16}g_{p\bar{q}}G_{1r}{}^{r} + \frac{1}{16}g_{p\bar{q}}G_{1+-} - \frac{1}{4}\Omega_{p,r_1r_2}\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{q}} + \frac{1}{8}g_{p\bar{q}}G_{234}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,+\bar{1}} + \frac{i}{2}F_{p+\bar{1}q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{4}G_{+p\bar{1}}) + \frac{i}{2}D_pg + \frac{ig}{2}(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,+-} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q} \\ &-iF_{-+pr}{}^{r} - iF_{p+-1\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{4}G_{pq}{}^{q} + \frac{1}{4}G_{p1\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{4}G_{p+-}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &f(\frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{p}{}^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}F_{+1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + \frac{1}{16}\epsilon_{p}{}^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}G_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2+} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,+1} - \frac{1}{8}G_{+p1}) + \frac{i}{2}D_pg \\ &+ig(\frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{p}{}^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}F_{-+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + \frac{1}{16}\epsilon_{p}{}^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}G_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2 1} + \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,r}{}^{r} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,1\bar{1}} \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,+-} + \frac{1}{8}G_{pq}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{8}G_{p1\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{8}G_{p+-})) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &f(iF_{p+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + \frac{1}{4}g_{p[\bar{q}_1}G_{\bar{q}_2]\bar{1}+} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,+r}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + \frac{1}{8}G_{+pr}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) \\ &+ig(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - iF_{-+p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - iF_{-+r}{}^{r}{}_{[\bar{q}_1}g_{\bar{q}_2]p} + iF_{-+1\bar{1}[\bar{q}_1}g_{\bar{q}_2]p} - \frac{1}{4}G_{p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} \\ &+\frac{1}{8}g_{p[\bar{q}_1}G_{\bar{q}_2]r}{}^{r} - \frac{1}{8}g_{p[\bar{q}_1}G_{\bar{q}_2]1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{8}g_{p[\bar{q}_1}G_{\bar{q}_2]+-} - (\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,r\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{8}G_{pr\bar{1}})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.19}$$

The linear equations associated with $\mathcal{D}_1\epsilon = 0$ are

$$\begin{aligned}&D_1 f + f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,-+} + iF_{1-+p}{}^{p} \\ &+\frac{1}{4}G_{1p}{}^{p} + \frac{1}{4}G_{-+1}) + ig(\Omega_{1,1-} - 2iF_{1234-}) = 0 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{B.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&f(\Omega_{1,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + 2iF_{1-+\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + \frac{1}{2}G_{1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \Omega_{1,1r}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) \\ &\quad +ig(\Omega_{1,r-} - iF_{1r-s}{}^{s} - \frac{1}{2}G_{1r-})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} = 0 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{B.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&f(\Omega_{1,\bar{1}\bar{q}} - iF_{-+\bar{q}r}{}^{r} + iF_{1-+\bar{1}\bar{q}} - \frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{q}r}{}^{r} + \frac{3}{8}G_{\bar{q}1\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{q}-+} \\ &\qquad -(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,r_1r_2} + \frac{1}{8}G_{1r_1r_2})\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{q}}) \\ &+ig(-\Omega_{1,\bar{q}-} + \frac{1}{4}G_{1\bar{q}-} - (iF_{1r_1r_2\bar{1}-} + \frac{1}{8}G_{r_1r_2-})\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{q}}) = 0 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{B.22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&D_1 f + f(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,-+} - \frac{1}{8}G_{1p}{}^{p} + \frac{1}{8}G_{-+1} \\ &-2iF_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} - \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}) + ig(\Omega_{1,\bar{1}-} - iF_{1\bar{1}-q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{8}G_{-p}{}^{p} - \frac{3}{8}G_{1\bar{1}-}) = 0 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{B.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,+\bar{p}} + \frac{i}{2}F_{1+\bar{p}q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{4}G_{+1\bar{p}}) \\ &+ig(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,\bar{p}1} - (\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{1,r_1r_2} + \frac{i}{2}F_{1r_1r_2+-} - \frac{1}{8}G_{1r_1r_2})\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{p}}) = 0 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{B.24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,+\bar{1}} + \frac{i}{2}F_{1+\bar{1}q}{}^{q} + \frac{1}{16}G_{+q}{}^{q} - \frac{3}{16}G_{+1\bar{1}} \\ &+\frac{i}{2}D_1 g + \frac{ig}{2}(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,+-} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,q}{}^{q} \\ &-\frac{1}{8}G_{1q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{8}G_{1+-} - 2iF_{-+234} - \frac{1}{4}G_{234}) = 0 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{B.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&f(iF_{1+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,+1}) + \frac{i}{2}D_1 g + \frac{ig}{2}(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,r}{}^{r} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,1\bar{1}} \\ &\quad +\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,+-} - iF_{1+-q}{}^{q} + \frac{1}{4}G_{1q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{4}G_{1+-}) = 0 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{B.26}$$

and

$$f(iF_{1+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + \frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2+} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,+r}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + \frac{1}{8}G_{+1r}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2})$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+ig(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}-\frac{1}{8}G_{1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}-(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,r\bar{1}}+\frac{i}{2}F_{-+rs}{}^{s}\\
&+\frac{i}{2}F_{1r\bar{1}+-}-\frac{3}{16}G_{1r\bar{1}}+\frac{1}{16}G_{rs}{}^{s}-\frac{1}{16}G_{r+-})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2})=0\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.27}
$$

The linear equations associated with $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{p}}\epsilon=0$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
&D_{\bar{p}}f+f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},q}{}^{q}+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},1\bar{1}}+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},-+}\\
&+\frac{1}{8}(G_{\bar{p}q}{}^{q}+G_{\bar{p}1\bar{1}}+G_{\bar{p}-+})+iF_{-+1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}}+\frac{1}{8}\epsilon_{\bar{p}}{}^{q_1q_2}G_{1q_1q_2})\\
&+ig(\Omega_{\bar{p},1-}-\frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{p}1-}+iF_{-1\bar{1}q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}}-\frac{1}{8}\epsilon_{\bar{p}}{}^{q_1q_2}G_{q_1q_2-})=0\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.28}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f(\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}+\frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}\epsilon_{\bar{p}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}-(\Omega_{\bar{p},1r}\\
&-iF_{-+1s}{}^{s}g_{r\bar{p}}+2iF_{\bar{p}-+1r})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}-(-\frac{1}{8}g_{\bar{p}r}G_{1s}{}^{s}+\frac{1}{2}G_{\bar{p}1r}+\frac{1}{8}g_{\bar{p}r}G_{1-+})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2})\\
&+ig(\Omega_{\bar{p},r-}+ig_{r\bar{p}}F_{-s}{}^{s}{}_{1\bar{1}}+2iF_{\bar{p}r1\bar{1}-}-\frac{1}{8}g_{\bar{p}r}G_{-s}{}^{s}-\frac{1}{2}G_{\bar{p}r-}+\frac{1}{8}g_{\bar{p}r}G_{1\bar{1}-})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}=0\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.29}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f(\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{1}\bar{q}}+\frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{p}\bar{1}\bar{q}}-(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},r_1r_2}-iF_{-+s}{}^{s}{}_{[r_1}g_{r_2]\bar{p}}-iF_{-+1\bar{1}[r_1}g_{r_2]\bar{p}}+iF_{\bar{p}-+r_1r_2})\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{q}}\\
&-(\frac{1}{8}g_{\bar{p}r_1}G_{r_2s}{}^{s}+\frac{1}{8}g_{\bar{p}r_1}G_{r_21\bar{1}}+\frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{p}r_1r_2}-\frac{1}{8}g_{\bar{p}r_1}G_{r_2-+})\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{q}})\\
&+ig(-\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{q}-}+\frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{p}\bar{q}-}-(iF_{\bar{p}r_1r_2\bar{1}-}+\frac{1}{4}g_{\bar{p}r_1}G_{r_2\bar{1}-})\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{q}})=0\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.30}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&D_{\bar{p}}f+f(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},q}{}^{q}-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},1\bar{1}}+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},-+}-iF_{\bar{p}-+q}{}^{q}\\
&-iF_{\bar{p}-+1\bar{1}}-\frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{p}q}{}^{q}-\frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{p}1\bar{1}}+\frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{p}-+})+ig(\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{1}-}-iF_{\bar{p}\bar{1}-q}{}^{q}-\frac{1}{2}G_{\bar{p}\bar{1}-})=0\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.31}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},+\bar{q}}-\frac{1}{8}G_{+\bar{p}\bar{q}}-\frac{i}{2}F_{\bar{p}+1r_1r_2}\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{q}}-\frac{1}{8}G_{1r+}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{p}\bar{q}})+ig(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{q}1}-\frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{p}\bar{q}1}\\
&-(\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{\bar{p},r_1r_2}+\frac{i}{2}F_{-+s}{}^{s}{}_{[r_1}g_{r_2]\bar{p}}-\frac{i}{2}F_{-+1\bar{1}[r_1}g_{r_2]\bar{p}}-\frac{i}{2}F_{-+\bar{p}r_1r_2}-\frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{p}r_1r_2}-\frac{1}{16}g_{\bar{p}r_1}G_{r_2s}{}^{s}\\
&+\frac{1}{16}g_{\bar{p}r_1}G_{r_21\bar{1}}+\frac{1}{16}g_{\bar{p}r_1}G_{r_2+-})\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{q}})=0\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.32}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},+\bar{1}}-\frac{1}{8}G_{+\bar{p}\bar{1}}-\frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{\bar{p}}{}^{q_1q_2}F_{+1\bar{1}q_1q_2}+\frac{1}{16}G_{q_1q_2+}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}})\\
&+\frac{i}{2}D_{\bar{p}}g+\frac{ig}{2}(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},1\bar{1}}+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},+-}+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},q}{}^{q}\\
&-\frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{p}q}{}^{q}+\frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{p}1\bar{1}}-\frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{p}+-}+i\epsilon_{\bar{p}}{}^{q_1q_2}F_{-+\bar{1}q_1q_2}+\frac{1}{8}\epsilon_{\bar{p}}{}^{q_1q_2}G_{q_1q_2\bar{1}})=0\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.33}
$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},+1} - \frac{i}{2}F_{\bar{p}+1q}{}^{q} + \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{p}+1}) \\ & +\frac{i}{2}D_{\bar{p}}g + \frac{ig}{2}(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},r}{}^{r} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},+-} + iF_{-+\bar{p}r}{}^{r} \\ & +iF_{\bar{p}+-1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{p}q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{p}1\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{p}+-}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.34}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & f(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},+r} - \frac{i}{2}g_{r\bar{p}}F_{+1\bar{1}q}{}^{q} + iF_{\bar{p}+r1\bar{1}} \\ & +\frac{1}{16}g_{\bar{p}r}G_{+s}{}^{s} + \frac{1}{16}g_{\bar{p}r}G_{+1\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{p}+r})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + ig(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} \\ & -\frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}\epsilon_{\bar{p}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - (\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},r\bar{1}} + iF_{\bar{p}r\bar{1}+-} - \frac{i}{2}F_{-+\bar{1}s}{}^{s}g_{r\bar{p}} \\ & -\frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{p}r\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{16}g_{\bar{p}r}G_{\bar{1}s}{}^{s} + \frac{1}{16}g_{\bar{p}r}G_{\bar{1}+-})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.35}$$

The linear equations associated with $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{1}}\epsilon = 0$ are

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{\bar{1}}f + f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},p}{}^{p} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},-+} + \frac{1}{8}(G_{\bar{1}p}{}^{p} + G_{\bar{1}-+}) - 2iF_{-+234} - \frac{1}{4}G_{234}) \\ & +ig(\Omega_{\bar{1},1-} + iF_{\bar{1}1-q}{}^{q} + \frac{1}{8}G_{-q}{}^{q} - \frac{3}{8}G_{\bar{1}1-}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.36}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - 2(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},1r} + \frac{i}{2}F_{-+rs}{}^{s} \\ & +\frac{i}{2}F_{\bar{1}-+1r})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - (\frac{1}{8}G_{rs}{}^{s} - \frac{3}{8}G_{r1\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{8}G_{r-+})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) \\ & +ig(2iF_{\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2 1-} + \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2-} + (\Omega_{\bar{1},r-} - \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{1}r-})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.37}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{1}\bar{p}} - (\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},q_1q_2} + iF_{\bar{1}-+q_1q_2} + \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{1}q_1q_2})\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}}) \\ & +ig(-\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{p}-} - iF_{\bar{1}\bar{p}-r}{}^{r} + \frac{1}{2}G_{\bar{1}\bar{p}-}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.38}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{\bar{1}}f + f(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},p}{}^{p} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},-+} - iF_{\bar{1}-+p}{}^{p} - \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{1}p}{}^{p} + \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{1}-+}) \\ & +ig(-2iF_{\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}-} + \Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{1}-}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.39}$$

$$f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},+\bar{p}} - \frac{1}{8}G_{+\bar{1}\bar{p}} - (\frac{i}{2}F_{\bar{1}+1q_1q_2} + \frac{1}{16}G_{q_1q_2+})\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}})$$

$$\begin{aligned} +ig(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{p}1} - \frac{i}{2}F_{-+\bar{p}r}{}^{r} + \frac{i}{2}F_{\bar{1}\bar{p}+-1} - \frac{3}{16}G_{\bar{p}1\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{16}G_{\bar{p}r}{}^{r} - \frac{1}{16}G_{\bar{p}+-} \\ -(\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{\bar{1},r_1r_2} - \frac{1}{16}G_{\bar{1}r_1r_2})\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{p}}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.40}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},+\bar{1}} + iF_{\bar{1}+234}) + \frac{i}{2}D_{\bar{1}}g + \frac{ig}{2}(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},+-} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},q}{}^{q} \\ +iF_{\bar{1}+-q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{1}q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{1}+-}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.41}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},+1} - \frac{i}{2}F_{\bar{1}+1p}{}^{p} - \frac{1}{16}G_{+p}{}^{p} + \frac{3}{16}G_{+1\bar{1}}) \\ +\frac{i}{2}D_{\bar{1}}g + ig(-iF_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} - \frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},r}{}^{r} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},1\bar{1}} \\ +\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},+-} + \frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{1}q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{1}+-})) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.42}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},+r} - \frac{i}{2}F_{\bar{1}+rs}{}^{s} + \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{1}+r})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} \\ +ig(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + iF_{\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2+-} - \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},r\bar{1}}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.43}$$

The linear equations associated with $\mathcal{D}_{-}\epsilon = 0$ are

$$\begin{aligned} D_{-}f + f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,-+} + iF_{-p}{}^{p}{}_{1\bar{1}} \\ +\frac{1}{4}G_{-p}{}^{p} + \frac{1}{4}G_{-1\bar{1}} + 2iF_{-1234}) + ig\Omega_{-,1-} = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.44}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + 2iF_{-\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_21\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}G_{-\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} \\ -(\Omega_{-,1r} - iF_{-1rs}{}^{s})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \frac{1}{2}G_{-1r}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) + ig\Omega_{-,r-}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.45}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\Omega_{-,\bar{1}\bar{p}} + iF_{-\bar{1}\bar{p}q}{}^{q} + \frac{1}{2}G_{-\bar{1}\bar{p}} - (\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,q_1q_2} - iF_{-q_1q_21\bar{1}})\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} \\ -\frac{1}{4}G_{-q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}}) - ig\Omega_{-,\bar{p}-} = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.46}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{-}f + f(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,-+} + iF_{-p}{}^{p}{}_{1\bar{1}} \\ -\frac{1}{4}G_{-p}{}^{p} - \frac{1}{4}G_{-1\bar{1}} + 2iF_{-\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}) + ig\Omega_{-,\bar{1}-} = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.47}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,+\bar{p}} + \frac{i}{2}F_{-+\bar{p}q}{}^{q} + \frac{i}{2}F_{-+\bar{p}1\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{16}G_{\bar{p}q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{16}G_{\bar{p}1\bar{1}} + \frac{3}{16}G_{-+\bar{p}} \\ & \quad -\frac{i}{2}F_{-+1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{16}G_{1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}}) + ig(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,\bar{p}1} - \frac{1}{8}G_{-\bar{p}1} \\ & \quad -(\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{-,r_1r_2} - \frac{1}{16}G_{-r_1r_2})\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{p}}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.48}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,+\bar{1}} + \frac{i}{2}F_{-+\bar{1}q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{16}G_{\bar{1}q}{}^{q} + \frac{3}{16}G_{-+\bar{1}} + iF_{-+234} - \frac{1}{8}G_{234}) \\ & +\frac{i}{2}D_{-}g + \frac{ig}{2}(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,+-} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{8}G_{-q}{}^{q} + \frac{1}{8}G_{-1\bar{1}}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.49}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f(iF_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} - \frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,+1} - \frac{i}{2}F_{-+1r}{}^{r} \\ & +\frac{1}{16}G_{1r}{}^{r} + \frac{3}{16}G_{-+1}) + \frac{i}{2}D_{-}g + ig(-\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{-,r}{}^{r} + \frac{1}{4}\Omega_{-,1\bar{1}} \\ & \quad +\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{-,+-} + \frac{1}{16}G_{-q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{16}G_{-1\bar{1}}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.50}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & f(iF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - (\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,+r} - \frac{i}{2}F_{-+rs}{}^{s} - \frac{i}{2}F_{-+r1\bar{1}})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} \\ & \quad -(\frac{1}{16}G_{rs}{}^{s} + \frac{1}{16}G_{r1\bar{1}} + \frac{3}{16}G_{-+r})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) + ig(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} \\ & \quad -\frac{1}{8}G_{-\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - (\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,r\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{8}G_{-r\bar{1}})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.51}$$

The linear equations associated with $\mathcal{D}_{+}\epsilon = 0$ are

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{+}f + f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,p}{}^{p} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,-+} + \frac{1}{8}G_{+p}{}^{p} + \frac{1}{8}G_{+1\bar{1}}) \\ & +ig(\Omega_{+,1-} + iF_{+1-q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{8}G_{1q}{}^{q} + \frac{3}{8}G_{+-1} - 2iF_{+234-} + \frac{1}{4}G_{234}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.52}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & f(\Omega_{+,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + \frac{1}{4}G_{+\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \Omega_{+,1r}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \frac{1}{4}G_{+1r}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) \\ & \quad +ig(2iF_{+\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_21-} - \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_21} + (\Omega_{+,r-} - iF_{+r-s}{}^{s} \\ & +iF_{+r1\bar{1}-} - \frac{3}{8}G_{+r-} + \frac{1}{8}G_{rs}{}^{s} - \frac{1}{8}G_{r1\bar{1}})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.53}$$

$$f(\Omega_{+,\bar{1}\bar{p}} + \frac{1}{4}G_{+\bar{1}\bar{p}} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} - \frac{1}{8}G_{+q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}})$$

$$\begin{aligned}&+ig(-\Omega_{+,\bar{p}-} - iF_{+\bar{p}-r}{}^{r} + iF_{+\bar{p}1\bar{1}-} + \frac{3}{8}G_{+\bar{p}-}\\ &+\frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{p}r}{}^{r} - \frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{p}1\bar{1}} - (iF_{+r_1r_2\bar{1}-} - \frac{1}{8}G_{r_1r_2\bar{1}})\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{q}}) = 0\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{B.54}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&D_+f + f(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,p}{}^{p} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,-+} - \frac{1}{8}G_{+p}{}^{p} - \frac{1}{8}G_{+1\bar{1}})\\ &+ig(-2iF_{+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}-} + \frac{1}{4}G_{\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + \Omega_{+,\bar{1}-} - iF_{+\bar{1}-q}{}^{q} + \frac{1}{8}G_{\bar{1}p}{}^{p} - \frac{3}{8}G_{+\bar{1}-}) = 0\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{B.55}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{f}{2}\Omega_{+,+\bar{p}} + ig(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,\bar{p}1} + \frac{i}{2}F_{+\bar{p}1r}{}^{r} - \frac{1}{4}G_{+\bar{p}1}\\ &-(\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{+,r_1r_2} + \frac{i}{2}F_{+r_1r_21\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{8}G_{+r_1r_2})\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{p}}) = 0\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{B.56}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{f}{2}\Omega_{+,+\bar{1}} + \frac{i}{2}D_+g + \frac{ig}{2}(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,1\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,+-} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,q}{}^{q}\\ &-iF_{+1\bar{1}q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{4}G_{+q}{}^{q} + \frac{1}{4}G_{+1\bar{1}} + 2iF_{+234\bar{1}}) = 0\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{B.57}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{f}{2}\Omega_{+,+1} + \frac{i}{2}D_+g + ig(iF_{+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}1} + \frac{1}{2}(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,r}{}^{r} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,1\bar{1}}\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,+-} - iF_{+1\bar{1}q}{}^{q} + \frac{1}{4}G_{+q}{}^{q} - \frac{1}{4}G_{+1\bar{1}})) = 0\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{B.58}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{f}{2}\Omega_{+,+r}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + ig(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - iF_{+\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_21\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{4}G_{+\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\\ &-(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,r\bar{1}} - \frac{i}{2}F_{+r\bar{1}s}{}^{s} - \frac{1}{4}G_{+r\bar{1}})\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) = 0\ .\end{aligned} \tag{B.59}$$

All the above linear equations make the linear system associated with the Killing spinor equations of IIB supergravity for backgrounds with one G_2-invariant Killing spinor. The task is to solve this linear system for the fluxes and to determine the conditions on the spacetime geometry.

B.3 The solution to the linear system

To proceed, we shall obtain equations from which the G-flux has been eliminated, and then simplify these further to obtain constraints on the geometry.

In particular, take (B.57)+(B.58) to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}f(\Omega_{+,+\bar{1}} + \Omega_{+,+1}) + iD_+g + ig(-iF_{+1\bar{1}q}{}^{q} + iF_{+234\bar{1}} + iF_{+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}1} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,+-}) = 0\ . \tag{B.60}$$

From the imaginary part of this equation, we find

$$\partial_+ g + \frac{1}{2} g \Omega_{+,+-} = 0 \ . \tag{B.61}$$

Similarly, take (B.44)+(B.47) to obtain

$$2D_- f + f(\Omega_{-,-+} + 2iF_{-1\bar{1}p}{}^p + 2iF_{-1234} + 2iF_{-\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}) + ig(\Omega_{-,\bar{1}-} + \Omega_{-,1-}) = 0 \ . \tag{B.62}$$

From the real part of this equation, we find

$$\partial_- f + \frac{1}{2} f \Omega_{-,-+} = 0 \ . \tag{B.63}$$

Next, take $-ig$[(B.49)+(B.50)]$-\frac{f}{2}$[(B.52)+(B.55)] to obtain

$$gD_- g - fD_+ f + \frac{g^2}{2}\Omega_{-,+-} - \frac{f^2}{2}\Omega_{+,-+} - \frac{i}{2} fg(\Omega_{-,+1} + \Omega_{-,+\bar{1}} + \Omega_{+,1-} + \Omega_{+,\bar{1}-}) = 0 \ . \tag{B.64}$$

From the real part of this equation, we find

$$g\partial_- g - f\partial_+ f + \frac{g^2}{2}\Omega_{-,+-} - \frac{f^2}{2}\Omega_{+,-+} = 0 \ . \tag{B.65}$$

Consider $-2ig$[(B.25)+(B.26)+$\frac{1}{2}$(B.52)]+$2f$(B.58) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &2gD_1 g + 2g^2(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,+-} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+1-} + iF_{-+1q}{}^q - 2iF_{-+234}) + f^2\Omega_{+,+1} \\ &\quad -ig\partial_+ f + if\partial_+ g + ifg(\Omega_{1+\bar{1}} + \Omega_{1,+1} - \Omega_{+,p}{}^p + \Omega_{+,+-}) = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.66}$$

Also take the complex conjugate of $-2ig$[(B.41)+(B.42)+$\frac{1}{2}$(B.55)]+$2f$(B.57) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &2g\bar{D}_1 g + 2g^2(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,+-} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,1-} - iF_{-+1q}{}^q + 2iF_{-+234}) + f^2\Omega_{+,+1} \\ &\quad -if\partial_+ g + ig\partial_+ f - ifg(\Omega_{1,+\bar{1}} + \Omega_{1,+1} - \Omega_{+,q}{}^q + \Omega_{+,+-}) = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.67}$$

Considering the sum of (B.66) and (B.67), we find

$$2g\partial_1 g - g^2(\Omega_{1,-+} + \Omega_{+,-1}) + f^2\Omega_{+,+1} = 0 \ . \tag{B.68}$$

Take f[(B.20)+(B.23)-2(B.50)]+ig(B.44) to find

$$\begin{aligned} &2fD_1 f + f^2(\Omega_{1,-+} - \Omega_{-,+1} + 2iF_{-+1p}{}^p - 4iF_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}) - g^2\Omega_{-,1-} \\ &\quad -if\partial_- g + ig\partial_- f + ifg(\Omega_{1,1-} + \Omega_{1,\bar{1}-} + \Omega_{-,p}{}^p + \Omega_{-,-+}) = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.69}$$

Next consider the complex conjugate of f[(B.36)+(B.39)-2(B.49)]+ig(B.47) to find

$$\begin{aligned}&2f\bar{D}_1 f + f^2(\Omega_{1,-+} - \Omega_{-,+1} - 2iF_{-+1p}{}^{p} + 4iF_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}) - g^2\Omega_{-,1-}\\ &+if\partial_- g - ig\partial_- f - ifg(\Omega_{1,1-} + \Omega_{1,\bar{1}-} + \Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} + \Omega_{-,-+}) = 0\ .\end{aligned} \tag{B.70}$$

Take the sum of (B.69) and (B.70) to obtain

$$2f\partial_1 f + f^2(\Omega_{1,-+} - \Omega_{-,+1}) - g^2\Omega_{-,1-} = 0\ . \tag{B.71}$$

Next take the sum (B.17)+(B.18), consider the dual of (B.53) and use this to eliminate the $G_{pq}{}^{q}$ dependence. Then take the dual of (B.59) to eliminate the remaining G-flux terms to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&2gD_p g + g^2(\Omega_{p,+-} + \Omega_{+,p-} + 2iF_{-+1\bar{1}p} - 2iF_{-+pq}{}^{q} + 2iF_{-+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p})\\ &+f^2\Omega_{+,+p} - ifg(\Omega_{p,+\bar{1}} + \Omega_{p+1} - \Omega_{+1p} - \Omega_{+p\bar{1}} + \Omega_{+\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) = 0\ .\end{aligned} \tag{B.72}$$

Also take the complex conjugate of $-2ig$[(B.33)+(B.34)-$\frac{1}{2}$(B.54)]+2f(B.56) to get

$$\begin{aligned}&2g\bar{D}_p g + g^2(\Omega_{p,+-} + \Omega_{+,p-} - 2iF_{-+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + 2iF_{-+pq}{}^{q} - 2iF_{-+1\bar{1}p})\\ &+f^2\Omega_{+,+p} + ifg(\Omega_{p,+1} + \Omega_{p,+\bar{1}} - \Omega_{+,1p} - \Omega_{+,p\bar{1}} + \Omega_{+,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) = 0\ .\end{aligned} \tag{B.73}$$

Next taking the sum of (B.72) and (B.73), we find

$$2g\partial_p g + g^2(\Omega_{p,+-} + \Omega_{+,p-}) + f^2\Omega_{+,+p} = 0\ . \tag{B.74}$$

Consider also the sum (B.12)+(B.15). Use the dual of (B.51) to eliminate the $G_{pq}{}^{q}$ dependence and then use the dual of (B.45) to remove the remaining G-flux terms. This leaves

$$\begin{aligned}&2fD_p f + f^2(\Omega_{p,-+} - \Omega_{-,+p} + 2iF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + 2iF_{-+pq}{}^{q} + 2iF_{-+1\bar{1}p})\\ &-g^2\Omega_{-,p-} + ifg(\Omega_{p,1-} + \Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} - \Omega_{-,p\bar{1}} - \Omega_{-,1p} + \Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) = 0\ .\end{aligned} \tag{B.75}$$

Also take the complex conjugate of f[(B.28)+(B.31)-2(B.48)]-ig(B.46) to get

$$\begin{aligned}&2f\bar{D}_p f + f^2(\Omega_{p,-+} - \Omega_{-,+p} - 2iF_{-+pq}{}^{q} - 2iF_{-+p1\bar{1}} - 2iF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p})\\ &-g^2\Omega_{-,p-} - ifg(\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} + \Omega_{p,1-} - \Omega_{-,p\bar{1}} - \Omega_{-,1p} + \Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) = 0\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{B.76}$$

Taking the sum of (B.75) and (B.76), we find

$$2f\partial_p f + f^2(\Omega_{p,-+} - \Omega_{-,+p}) - g^2\Omega_{-,p-} = 0\ . \tag{B.77}$$

Next consider the complex conjugate of f(B.41)-$\frac{ig}{2}$(B.39) to get

$$f^2(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,+1} + iF_{+1\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}) + g^2(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,-1} + iF_{-1234})$$

$$-\frac{i}{2}(f\partial_1 g - g\partial_1 f) - \frac{i}{2}fg(-\Omega_{1,q}{}^{q} + \Omega_{1,+-}) = 0\ . \tag{B.78}$$

Also take f(B.26)-$\frac{ig}{2}$(B.20) to get

$$\begin{aligned} & f^2(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,+1} - iF_{+1\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}) + g^2(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,-1} - iF_{-1234}) \\ & +\frac{i}{2}(f\partial_1 g - g\partial_1 f) + \frac{i}{2}fg(-\Omega_{1,q}{}^{q} + \Omega_{1,+-}) = 0\ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.79}$$

Taking the sum of (B.78) and (B.79), we find

$$f^2\Omega_{1,+1} - g^2\Omega_{1,-1} = 0\ . \tag{B.80}$$

Next take ig[(B.23)+(B.36)]-$2f$[(B.25)+(B.42)] to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & ig(\partial_1 f + \partial_{\bar{1}} f) - if(\partial_1 g + \partial_{\bar{1}} g) - g^2(\Omega_{1,\bar{1}-} + \Omega_{\bar{1},1-} - 2iF_{-1\bar{1}q}{}^{q}) \\ & -f^2(\Omega_{1,+\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{1},+1} - 2iF_{+1\bar{1}q}{}^{q}) + ifg(\Omega_{\bar{1},p}{}^{p} - \Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} + \Omega_{1,-+} + \Omega_{\bar{1},-+}) = 0\ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.81}$$

From the real part of this expression we get

$$g^2(\Omega_{1,-\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{1},-1}) - f^2(\Omega_{1,+\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{1},+1}) = 0\ . \tag{B.82}$$

Next take ig(B.12)-$2f$(B.18). By taking the duals of (B.21) and (B.27), one can eliminate the G-flux dependence from this combination to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & i(g\partial_p f - f\partial_p g) - g^2(\Omega_{p,1-} + \Omega_{1,p-} - 2iF_{-1pq}{}^{q}) \\ & \quad -f^2(\Omega_{p,+1} + \Omega_{1,+p} + 2iF_{+1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) \\ & +ifg(\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q} + \Omega_{p,-+} + \Omega_{1,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - \Omega_{1,1p} - \Omega_{1,p\bar{1}}) = 0\ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.83}$$

Also take the complex conjugate of ig[(B.31)-(B.38)]-$2f$[(B.33)+(B.40)] to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & i(f\partial_p g - g\partial_p f) - g^2(\Omega_{p,1-} + \Omega_{1,p-} + 2iF_{-1pq}{}^{q}) \\ & \quad -f^2(\Omega_{p,+1} + \Omega_{1,+p} - 2iF_{+1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) \\ & -ifg(\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q} + \Omega_{p,-+} - \Omega_{1,p\bar{1}} - \Omega_{1,1p} + \Omega_{1,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) = 0\ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.84}$$

Considering the sum of (B.83) and (B.84) we get

$$g^2(\Omega_{p,-1} + \Omega_{1,-p}) - f^2(\Omega_{p,+1} + \Omega_{1,+p}) = 0\ . \tag{B.85}$$

Next take ig(B.15)-$2f$(B.17). By taking the dual of (B.37) and (B.43) one can remove the G-flux dependence from this combination to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & i(g\partial_p f - f\partial_p g) - g^2(\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} + \Omega_{\bar{1},p-} - 2iF_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) \\ & \quad -f^2(\Omega_{p,+\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{1},+p} + 2iF_{+\bar{1}pq}{}^{q}) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ifg(-\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q} + \Omega_{p,-+} - \Omega_{\bar{1},1p} - \Omega_{\bar{1},p\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) = 0 \ . \qquad \text{(B.86)}$$

Also take the complex conjugate of ig[(B.28)-(B.22)]-$2f$[(B.34)+(B.24)] to get

$$\begin{aligned} -\, i(g\partial_p f - f\partial_p g) - g^2(\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} + \Omega_{\bar{1},p-} + 2iF_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) \\ -f^2(\Omega_{p,+\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{1},+p} - 2iF_{+\bar{1}pq}{}^{q}) \\ -ifg(-\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q} + \Omega_{p,-+} - \Omega_{\bar{1},1p} - \Omega_{\bar{1},p\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(B.87)}$$

Taking the sum of (B.86) and (B.87), we find

$$g^2(\Omega_{p,-\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{1},-p}) - f^2(\Omega_{p,+\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{1},+p}) = 0 \ . \qquad \text{(B.88)}$$

Next take f(B.19)+$\frac{ig}{2}$(B.13). Take the dual and then symmetrize over the two free indices to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f^2(-\Omega_{p,+q} - \Omega_{q,+p} + iF_{+\bar{1}p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{q} + iF_{+\bar{1}q\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) \\ -g^2(\Omega_{p,q-} + \Omega_{q,p-} - iF_{-1p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{q} - iF_{-1q\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) \\ +ifg(-\Omega_{p,q\bar{1}} - \Omega_{q,p\bar{1}} - \Omega_{p,1q} - \Omega_{q,1p} + \Omega_{p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{q} + \Omega_{q\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(B.89)}$$

Also take the complex conjugate of -ig(B.30)-$2f$(B.32) and symmetrize over the two free indices to get

$$\begin{aligned} -\, g^2(\Omega_{p,q-} + \Omega_{q,p-} + iF_{-1p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{q} + iF_{-1q\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) \\ +f^2(-\Omega_{p,+q} - \Omega_{q,+p} - iF_{+\bar{1}p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{q} - iF_{+\bar{1}q\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) \\ +ifg(\Omega_{p,1q} + \Omega_{q,1p} + \Omega_{p,q\bar{1}} + \Omega_{q,p\bar{1}} - \Omega_{p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{q} - \Omega_{q\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(B.90)}$$

Taking the sum of (B.89) and (B.90), we find

$$g^2(\Omega_{p,-q} + \Omega_{q,-p}) - f^2(\Omega_{p,+q} + \Omega_{q,+p}) = 0 \ . \qquad \text{(B.91)}$$

Next consider the combination ig(B.14)+$2f$(B.16). By comparing with the dual of ig(B.29)+$2f$(B.35), the G-flux terms can be removed to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g^2(\Omega_{p,\bar{q}-} + \Omega_{\bar{q},p-} + 2ig_{p\bar{q}}F_{-1\bar{1}r}{}^{r} - 4iF_{-1\bar{1}p\bar{q}}) \\ +f^2(\Omega_{p,+\bar{q}} + \Omega_{\bar{q},+p} + 2ig_{p\bar{q}}F_{+1\bar{1}r}{}^{r} - 4iF_{+1\bar{1}p\bar{q}}) \\ +ifg(\Omega_{p,\bar{q}1} + \Omega_{p,\bar{1}\bar{q}} + \Omega_{\bar{q},1p} + \Omega_{\bar{q},p\bar{1}} - \Omega_{p,r_1r_2}\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{q}} - \Omega_{\bar{q},\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}{}_{p}) = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(B.92)}$$

Take the complex conjugate of (B.92), swap p, q and add the result to (B.92) to obtain

$$f^2(\Omega_{p,+\bar{q}} + \Omega_{\bar{q},+p}) - g^2(\Omega_{p,-\bar{q}} + \Omega_{\bar{q},-p}) = 0 \ . \qquad \text{(B.93)}$$

This completes the first phase of solving the linear system.

B.4 Fluxes and the solution to the linear system

There are eleven types of G-flux terms with one free holomorphic index: G_{-+p}, G_{-1p}, $G_{-\bar{1}p}$, G_{+1p}, $G_{+\bar{1}p}$, $G_{1\bar{1}p}$, $G_{pq}{}^{q}$, $G_{-\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p$, $G_{+\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p$, $G_{1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p$, and $G_{\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p$. These terms are constrained by sixteen equations (B.6), (B.10), (B.12), (B.15), (B.17), (B.18), (B.21), (B.27), (B.37), (B.43), (B.45), (B.51), (B.53), (B.59) and also the dualized antisymmetric parts of (B.30) and (B.32). Only ten of these types of G-flux terms are constrained by these equations, one must remain arbitrary. There are also six G-independent constraints.

It is straightforward, but tedious, to show that, taking $G_{1\bar{1}p}$ to be arbitrary, then

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{-+p} &= 2g^2f^{-2}\Omega_{-,p-} - if^{-1}(g\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 2g\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} - 2g\Omega_{-,1p} + 2g\Omega_{p,1-} - 4iD_pf) \\
&- P_p - 2\Omega_{p,-+} - 2iF_{-+pq}{}^{q} - 2iF_{-+1\bar{1}p} - 2iF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.94}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{-1p} &= igf^{-1}\Omega_{-,p-} - \frac{1}{2}g^{-1}(2g\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} + 2g\Omega_{p,1-} - 4igF_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - 4iD_pf \\
&- 2g\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2-}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 4igF_{-\bar{1}pq}{}^{q} - g\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 2g\Omega_{-,1p} - 4igF_{-1pq}{}^{q}) \\
&- \frac{i}{2}fg^{-1}(- 6iF_{-+1\bar{1}p} - 2iF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - 2iF_{-+pq}{}^{q} + P_p - 2\Omega_{p,-+} \\
&- G_{1\bar{1}p} + 2\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2\bar{1}}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - 2\Omega_{\bar{q},}{}^{\bar{q}}{}_p) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.95}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{-\bar{1}p} &= -igf^{-1}\Omega_{-,p-} - \frac{1}{2}g^{-1}(-2g\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2-}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 6g\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} + 2g\Omega_{p,1-} + 4igF_{-\bar{1}pq}{}^{q} \\
&- 8iD_pf + g\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - 2g\Omega_{-,1p} - 4g\Omega_{\bar{1},p-}) - \frac{i}{2}fg^{-1}(-2iF_{-+1\bar{1}p} - 6iF_{-+pq}{}^{q} \\
&- 6iF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 2\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2\bar{1}}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - 4\Omega_{p,-+} + 2\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q} - 2\Omega_{\bar{q},}{}^{\bar{q}}{}_p + G_{1\bar{1}p} + P_p \\
&- 4\Omega_{\bar{1},1p} + 2\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 2\Omega_{p,1\bar{1}}) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.96}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{+1p} &= ig^3f^{-3}\Omega_{-,p-} - \frac{1}{2}gf^{-2}(-8igF_{-1pq}{}^{q} + 2g\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} - 4iD_pf + 2g\Omega_{p,1-} + 4g\Omega_{1,p-} \\
&- 2g\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2-}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 4igF_{-\bar{1}pq}{}^{q} - g\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 2g\Omega_{-,1p} - 4igF_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p) \\
&+ \frac{i}{2}f^{-1}(-4D_pg + 4g\Omega_{p,-+} + 2igF_{-+pq}{}^{q} + gG_{1\bar{1}p} + gP_p + 2g\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q} + 2g\Omega_{1,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p \\
&- 4g\Omega_{1,1p} - 2g\Omega_{p,1\bar{1}} + 6igF_{-+1\bar{1}p} + 2igF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - 2g\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2\bar{1}}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 2g\Omega_{\bar{q},}{}^{\bar{q}}{}_p) \\
&- 2\Omega_{p,+1} - 2iF_{+1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.97}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{+\bar{1}p} &= -ig^3f^{-3} - \frac{1}{2}gf^{-2}(-4igF_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 2g\Omega_{p,1-} + 6g\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} + g\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p \\
&- 2g\Omega_{-,1p} - 2g\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2-}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 4igF_{-\bar{1}pq}{}^{q} - 8iD_pf) + \frac{i}{2}f^{-1}(-4D_pg + 6g\Omega_{p,-+} \\
&+ gP_p + 2igF_{-+1\bar{1}p} + 6igF_{-+pq}{}^{q} + 6igF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - gG_{1\bar{1}p} - 2g\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2\bar{1}}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p \\
&+ 2g\Omega_{\bar{q},}{}^{\bar{q}}{}_p - 4g\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q}) - 2iF_{+\bar{1}pq}{}^{q} - 2\Omega_{p,+\bar{1}} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.98}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{pq}{}^{q} \;=\;& 2if^{-1}(-2igF_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + 2g\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} - 2iD_pf - g\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2-}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + 2igF_{-\bar{1}pq}{}^{q}) \\
+\;& 4iF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + 2\Omega_{p,-+} - 2\Omega_{p,1\bar{1}} + 4iF_{-+1\bar{1}p} - 2\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q} - 2\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2\bar{1}}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} \\
+\;& 2\Omega_{\bar{q},}{}^{\bar{q}}{}_{p} \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.99}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{-\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} \;=\;& -2igf^{-1}\Omega_{-,p-} - g^{-1}(g\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - 2g\Omega_{-,1p} + 2g\Omega_{p,1-} + 2g\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} \\
-\;& 2g\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2-}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + 4igF_{-\bar{1}pq}{}^{q} - 4iD_pf) - ifg^{-1}(-6iF_{-+1\bar{1}p} \\
-\;& 2iF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - 2iF_{-+pq}{}^{q} + P_p - 2\Omega_{p,-+} - G_{1\bar{1}p} + 2\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2\bar{1}}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} \\
-\;& 2\Omega_{\bar{q},}{}^{\bar{q}}{}_{p}) \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.100}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{+\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} \;=\;& -2ig^3f^{-3}\Omega_{-,p-} - g^2f^{-2}(-2\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} + 2\Omega_{p,1-} + 2\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2-}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - 4iF_{-\bar{1}pq}{}^{q} \\
+\;& \Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - 2\Omega_{-,1p} + 4iF_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) - if^{-1}(-2g\Omega_{p,-+} \\
-\;& 2g\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2\bar{1}}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - 2igF_{-+pq}{}^{q} + 2igF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + 2igF_{-+1\bar{1}p} + gP_p \\
-\;& gG_{1\bar{1}p} + 2g\Omega_{\bar{q},}{}^{\bar{q}}{}_{p} + 4igF_{-+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - 4g\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q} + 4D_pg) \\
-\;& 4\Omega_{p,+1} - 4iF_{+1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.101}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} \;=\;& -2g^2f^{-2}\Omega_{-,p-} - if^{-1}(-8igF_{-1pq}{}^{q} + 2g\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} - 4iD_pf + 2g\Omega_{p,1-} \\
+\;& 4g\Omega_{1,p-} - 2g\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2-}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + 4igF_{-\bar{1}pq}{}^{q} - g\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + 2g\Omega_{-,1p} \\
-\;& 4igF_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) - 2\Omega_{p,-+} + 2\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2\bar{1}}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - 2\Omega_{1,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - 2iF_{-+pq}{}^{q} \\
-\;& 2iF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - 6iF_{-+1\bar{1}p} + P_p - G_{1\bar{1}p} - 2\Omega_{\bar{q},}{}^{\bar{q}}{}_{p} + 4\Omega_{1,1p} \\
-\;& 4iF_{-+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.102}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} \;=\;& -2g^2f^{-2}\Omega_{-,p-} + if^{-1}(-4g\Omega_{\bar{1},p-} + g\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - 2g\Omega_{-,1p} + 2g\Omega_{p,1-} \\
+\;& 4igF_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + 2g\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} - 2g\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2-}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + 4igF_{-\bar{1}pq}{}^{q} - 4iD_pf) \\
+\;& 6iF_{-+pq}{}^{q} + 2iF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - 2\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + 2iF_{-+1\bar{1}p} + P_p \\
+\;& 2\Omega_{p,-+} + 4\Omega_{\bar{1},1p} - G_{1\bar{1}p} - 2\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2\bar{1}}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + 2\Omega_{\bar{q},}{}^{\bar{q}}{}_{p} \ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.103}
$$

We also get with the G-independent constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-gf^{-1}(g\Omega_{p,1-} + g\Omega_{1,p-} - 2igF_{-1pq}{}^{q} - iD_pf) + i(g\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q} + g\Omega_{p,-+} - g\Omega_{1,p\bar{1}} - D_pg \\
&\qquad + g\Omega_{1,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - g\Omega_{1,1p}) + f(-\Omega_{p,+1} + \Omega_{1,p+} - 2iF_{+1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) = 0 \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.104}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{2}gf^{-1}(-g\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2-}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + 2igF_{-\bar{1}pq}{}^{q} + 2g\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} - 2igF_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - 2iD_pf) \\
&\qquad\qquad -\frac{i}{2}(-2D_pg - g\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_21}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - 2igF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + 2g\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q} \\
&\qquad\qquad -2igF_{-+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - 4igF_{-+1\bar{1}p} - 2g\Omega_{\bar{q},}{}^{\bar{q}}{}_{p} + g\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2\bar{1}}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+f(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2+}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + iF_{+1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - iF_{+1pq}{}^q + \Omega_{p,+1}) = 0 \ , \tag{B.105}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&- gf^{-1}(g\Omega_{\bar{1},p-} + g\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} - 2igF_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - iD_pf) \\
&-i(g\Omega_{\bar{1},p\bar{1}} + g\Omega_{p,q}{}^q - g\Omega_{p,-+} - g\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + g\Omega_{\bar{1},1p} + D_pg) \\
&+f(-\Omega_{p,+\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{1},p+} - 2iF_{+\bar{1}pq}{}^q) = 0 \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.106}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&- g^2f^{-1}\Omega_{-,p-} + i(-2iD_pf + g\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} + g\Omega_{p,1-} \\
&-g\Omega_{-,1p} + g\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - g\Omega_{-,p\bar{1}}) \\
&+f(\Omega_{p,-+} - \Omega_{-,+p} + 2iF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 2iF_{-+pq}{}^q + 2iF_{-+1\bar{1}p}) = 0 \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.107}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&- 2ig^3f^{-2}\Omega_{-,p-} - gf^{-1}(2g\Omega_{p,1-} + 2g\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} + g\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - 2g\Omega_{-,1p} - 4iD_pf) \\
&+2ig(\Omega_{p,-+} + \Omega_{+,p-} + iF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + iF_{-+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 2iF_{-+1\bar{1}p}) \\
&+f(\Omega_{+,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - 2\Omega_{+,1p}) = 0 \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.108}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&- g^4f^{-3}\Omega_{-,p-} + \frac{i}{2}g^2f^{-2}(2g\Omega_{p,1-} + 2g\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} + g\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - 2g\Omega_{-,1p} - 4iD_pf) \\
&+gf^{-1}(igF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 2igF_{-+pq}{}^q + 2g\Omega_{p,-+} - 2D_pg - igF_{-+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p) \\
&+\frac{i}{2}g(2\Omega_{p,+\bar{1}} + 2\Omega_{+,\bar{1}p} + \Omega_{+,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 2\Omega_{p,+1}) - f\Omega_{+,+p} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{B.109}$$

The conditions (B.104), (B.105), (B.106), (B.107), (B.108), (B.109) imply (B.72), (B.75), (B.83) and (B.86).

There are also eleven types of G-flux terms with one free anti-holomorphic index: $G_{-+\bar{p}}$, $G_{-1\bar{p}}$, $G_{-\bar{1}\bar{p}}$, $G_{+1\bar{p}}$, $G_{+\bar{1}\bar{p}}$, $G_{1\bar{1}\bar{p}}$, $G_{\bar{p}q}{}^q$, $G_{-q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}}$, $G_{+q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}}$, $G_{1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}}$, and $G_{\bar{1}q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}}$. These terms are constrained by sixteen equations (B.4), (B.11), (B.22), (B.24), (B.28), (B.31), (B.33), (B.34), (B.38), (B.40), (B.46), (B.48), (B.54), (B.56) and also the dualized antisymmetric parts of (B.13) and (B.19). Only ten of these types of G-flux terms are constrained by these equations, one must remain unfixed. There are also six G-independent constraints.

It is straightforward, but tedious, to show that, taking $G_{1\bar{1}\bar{p}}$ to be arbitrary, then

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{-+\bar{p}} &= 2g^2f^{-2}\Omega_{-,\bar{p}-} - if^{-1}(2g\Omega_{\bar{p},1-} + g\Omega_{-,q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + 2g\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{1}-} - 2g\Omega_{-,\bar{1}\bar{p}} - 4iD_{\bar{p}}f) \\
&- P_{\bar{p}} - 2\Omega_{\bar{p},-+} - 2iF_{-+1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + 2iF_{-+1\bar{1}\bar{p}} + 2iF_{-+\bar{p}q}{}^q \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.110}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{-1\bar{p}} &= -igf^{-1}\Omega_{-,\bar{p}-} + \frac{1}{2}g^{-1}(2g\Omega_{q_1,q_2-}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} - 6g\Omega_{\bar{p},1-} - 2g\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{1}-} + 4igF_{-1\bar{p}q}{}^q \\
&+ 8iD_{\bar{p}}f + 4g\Omega_{1,\bar{p}-} + 2g\Omega_{-,\bar{1}\bar{p}} - g\Omega_{-,q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}})
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \frac{i}{2} f g^{-1} (2iF_{-+1\bar{1}\bar{p}} - 6iF_{-+1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + 6iF_{-+\bar{p}q}{}^{q} + P_{\bar{p}} + 2\Omega_{1,q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} \\
&- 2\Omega_{\bar{p},q}{}^{q} - 4\Omega_{\bar{p},-+} + 2\Omega_{q_1,q_21}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} - G_{1\bar{1}\bar{p}} - 2\Omega_{q,}{}^{q}{}_{\bar{p}} \\
&- 2\Omega_{\bar{p},1\bar{1}} - 4\Omega_{1,\bar{1}\bar{p}}) \ , \qquad \text{(B.111)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{-\bar{1}\bar{p}} &= -gf^{-1}\Omega_{-,p-} + \frac{1}{2} g^{-1} (-2g\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{1}-} - 2g\Omega_{-,\bar{1}\bar{p}} + 4igF_{-1\bar{p}q}{}^{q} + g\Omega_{-,q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} \\
&- 2g\Omega_{\bar{p},1-} + 2g\Omega_{q_1,q_2-}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} - 4igF_{-1\bar{1}q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + 4iD_{\bar{p}}f - 4igF_{-\bar{1}\bar{p}q}{}^{q}) \\
&- \frac{i}{2} f g^{-1} (-2iF_{-+1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + 6iF_{-+1\bar{1}\bar{p}} + 2iF_{-+\bar{p}q}{}^{q} + P_{\bar{p}} + G_{1\bar{1}\bar{p}} \\
&- 2\Omega_{q,}{}^{q}{}_{\bar{p}} - 2\Omega_{\bar{p},-+} + 2\Omega_{q_1,q_21}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}}) \ , \qquad \text{(B.112)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{+1\bar{p}} &= -ig^3f^{-3}\Omega_{-,\bar{p}-} + \frac{1}{2} g f^{-2} (-6g\Omega_{\bar{p},1-} - 2g\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{1}-} + 2g\Omega_{-,\bar{1}\bar{p}} + 4igF_{-1\bar{p}q}{}^{q} \\
&- g\Omega_{-,q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} - 4igF_{-1\bar{1}q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + 8iD_{\bar{p}}f + 2g\Omega_{q_1,q_2-}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}}) \\
&+ \frac{i}{2} f^{-1} (-2igF_{-+1\bar{1}\bar{p}} - 6igF_{-+\bar{p}q}{}^{q} + gG_{1\bar{1}\bar{p}} + 6igF_{-+1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + gP_{\bar{p}} \\
&+ 2g\Omega_{q,}{}^{q}{}_{\bar{p}} + 6g\Omega_{\bar{p},-+} - 2g\Omega_{q_1,q_21}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} - 4D_{\bar{p}}g + 4g\Omega_{\bar{p},q}{}^{q}) \\
&+ 2iF_{+1\bar{p}q}{}^{q} - 2\Omega_{\bar{p},+1} \ , \qquad \text{(B.113)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{+\bar{1}\bar{p}} &= -g^3f^{-3}\Omega_{-,\bar{p}-} + \frac{1}{2} g f^{-2} (-2g\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{1}-} - 2g\Omega_{-,\bar{1}\bar{p}} + 4igF_{-1\bar{p}q}{}^{q} + g\Omega_{-,q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} \\
&- 2g\Omega_{\bar{p},1-} + 2g\Omega_{q_1,q_2-}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} - 4igF_{-1\bar{1}q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + 4iD_{\bar{p}}f - 4g\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{p}-} - 8igF_{-\bar{1}\bar{p}q}{}^{q}) \\
&+ \frac{i}{2} f^{-1} (2igF_{-+1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} - 2igF_{-+\bar{p}q}{}^{q} - 6igF_{-+1\bar{1}\bar{p}} + 2g\Omega_{q,}{}^{q}{}_{\bar{p}} - 2g\Omega_{q_1,q_21}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} \\
&- 4D_{\bar{p}}g - 4g\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{1}\bar{p}} + 2g\Omega_{\bar{1},q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + 2g\Omega_{\bar{p},1\bar{1}} - gG_{1\bar{1}\bar{p}} + gP_{\bar{p}} + 4g\Omega_{\bar{p},-+} - 2g\Omega_{\bar{p},q}{}^{q}) \\
&- 2\Omega_{\bar{p},+\bar{1}} + 2iF_{+1\bar{1}q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} \ , \qquad \text{(B.114)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{\bar{p}q}{}^{q} &= 2if^{-1} (-2g\Omega_{\bar{p},1-} + g\Omega_{q_1,q_2-}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + 2iD_{\bar{p}}f + 2igF_{-1\bar{p}q}{}^{q} - 2igF_{-1\bar{1}q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}}) \\
&- 2\Omega_{\bar{p},-+} - 4iF_{-+1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + 4iF_{-+1\bar{1}\bar{p}} - 2\Omega_{q,}{}^{q}{}_{\bar{p}} + 2\Omega_{q_1,q_21}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} \\
&- 2\Omega_{\bar{p},q}{}^{q} - 2\Omega_{\bar{p},1\bar{1}} \ , \qquad \text{(B.115)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{-q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} &= -2igf^{-1}\Omega_{-,\bar{p}-} + g^{-1} (-2g\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{1}-} + 2g\Omega_{-,\bar{1}\bar{p}} + 4igF_{-1\bar{p}q}{}^{q} - g\Omega_{-,q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} \\
&- 2g\Omega_{\bar{p},1-} + 2g\Omega_{q_1,q_2-}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + 4iD_{\bar{p}}f) \\
&- ifg^{-1} (2iF_{-+\bar{p}q}{}^{q} + P_{\bar{p}} - 2iF_{-+1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + G_{1\bar{1}\bar{p}} + 6iF_{-+1\bar{1}\bar{p}} \\
&- 2\Omega_{q,}{}^{q}{}_{\bar{p}} - 2\Omega_{\bar{p},-+} + 2\Omega_{q_1,q_21}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}}) \ , \qquad \text{(B.116)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{+q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} &= -2ig^3f^{-3}\Omega_{-,\bar{p}-} - g^2f^{-2} (2\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{1}-} - 2\Omega_{-,\bar{1}\bar{p}} + 4iF_{-1\bar{p}q}{}^{q} + \Omega_{-,q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} \\
&- 2\Omega_{\bar{p},1-} + 2\Omega_{q_1,q_2-}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} - 4iF_{-1\bar{1}q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- && i f^{-1}(g P_{\bar p} - 2g\Omega_{\bar p,-+} + 4D_{\bar p}g + gG_{1\bar 1\bar p} + 2igF_{-+1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + 2igF_{-+\bar p q}{}^{q} \\
&+ && 2g{\Omega_{q,}}^{q}{}_{\bar p} - 2g\Omega_{q_1,q_21}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} - 2igF_{-+1\bar 1\bar p} + 4igF_{-+\bar 1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + 4g{\Omega_{\bar p,q}}^{q}) \\
&- && 4\Omega_{\bar p,+\bar 1} + 4iF_{+1\bar 1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p}\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.117}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} &= && -2g^2f^{-2}\Omega_{-,\bar p-} - if^{-1}(-2g\Omega_{\bar p,\bar 1-} + 2g\Omega_{-,\bar 1\bar p} + 4g\Omega_{1,\bar p-} + 4igF_{-1\bar p q}{}^{q} \\
&- && g\Omega_{-,q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} - 2g\Omega_{\bar p,1-} + 2g\Omega_{q_1,q_2-}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + 4igF_{-1\bar 1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + 4iD_{\bar p}f) \\
&+ && 2iF_{-+1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + P_{\bar p} - 2\Omega_{1,q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + G_{1\bar 1\bar p} - 2iF_{-+1\bar 1\bar p} \\
&+ && 2{\Omega_{q,}}^{q}{}_{\bar p} + 2\Omega_{\bar p,-+} - 6iF_{-+\bar p q}{}^{q} - 2\Omega_{q_1,q_21}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + 4\Omega_{1,\bar 1\bar p}\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.118}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{\bar 1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} &= && -2g^2f^{-2}\Omega_{-,\bar p-} + if^{-1}(-2g\Omega_{\bar p,\bar 1-} - 2g\Omega_{-,\bar 1\bar p} + 4igF_{-1\bar p q}{}^{q} + g\Omega_{-,q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} \\
&- && 2g\Omega_{\bar p,1-} + 2g\Omega_{q_1,q_2-}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} - 4igF_{-1\bar 1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + 4iD_{\bar p}f - 4g\Omega_{\bar 1,\bar p-} - 8igF_{-\bar 1\bar p q}{}^{q}) \\
&- && 2\Omega_{\bar p,-+} - 2iF_{-+1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + 4\Omega_{\bar 1,\bar 1\bar p} - 2\Omega_{\bar 1,q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + 2iF_{-+\bar p q}{}^{q} \\
&- && 2{\Omega_{q,}}^{q}{}_{\bar p} + 2\Omega_{q_1,q_21}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + P_{\bar p} + G_{1\bar 1\bar p} + 6iF_{-+1\bar 1\bar p} - 4iF_{-+\bar 1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p}\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.119}
$$

In addition, we have the G-independent constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}gf^{-1}(2igF_{-\bar 1\bar p q}{}^{q} + g\Omega_{\bar 1,\bar p-} + g\Omega_{\bar p,\bar 1-} - iD_{\bar p}f) \\
&+\frac{i}{2}(D_{\bar p}g + g{\Omega_{\bar p,q}}^{q} + g\Omega_{\bar 1,\bar 1\bar p} - g\Omega_{\bar 1,q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + g\Omega_{\bar 1,\bar p1} - g\Omega_{\bar p,-+}) \\
&+f(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar 1,\bar p+} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar p,+\bar 1} - iF_{+1\bar 1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p}) = 0\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.120}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}gf^{-1}(-2g\Omega_{\bar p,1-} + g\Omega_{q_1,q_2-}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + 2iD_{\bar p}f + 2igF_{-1\bar p q}{}^{q} - 2igF_{-1\bar 1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p}) \\
&+\frac{i}{2}(2igF_{-+1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + 2g{\Omega_{q,}}^{q}{}_{\bar p} + 2D_{\bar p}g + 2igF_{-+\bar 1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} \\
&-4igF_{-+1\bar 1\bar p} + 2g{\Omega_{\bar p,q}}^{q} + g\Omega_{q_1,q_2\bar 1}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} - g\Omega_{q_1,q_21}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p}) \\
&+f(iF_{+\bar 1\bar p q}{}^{q} - iF_{+1\bar 1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + \Omega_{\bar p,+\bar 1} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{q_1,q_2+}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p}) = 0\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.121}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}gf^{-1}(2igF_{-1\bar 1q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + g\Omega_{\bar p,1-} - iD_{\bar p}f + g\Omega_{1,\bar p-}) \\
&+\frac{i}{2}(-g\Omega_{\bar p,-+} + g\Omega_{1,\bar p1} + g\Omega_{1,\bar 1\bar p} - g\Omega_{1,q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} - g{\Omega_{\bar p,q}}^{q} + D_{\bar p}g) \\
&+f(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar p,+1} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,\bar p+} - iF_{+1\bar p q}{}^{q}) = 0\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.122}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}g^2f^{-1}\Omega_{-,\bar p-} - \frac{i}{2}(-2iD_{\bar p}f + g\Omega_{\bar p,1-} - g\Omega_{-,\bar 1\bar p} \\
&+g\Omega_{-,q_1q_2}{\epsilon^{q_1q_2}}_{\bar p} + g\Omega_{\bar p,\bar 1-} - g\Omega_{-,\bar p1})
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+\frac{i}{2}f(-i\Omega_{-,+\bar{p}} + 2F_{-+\bar{p}q}{}^{q} + 2F_{-+1\bar{1}\bar{p}} + i\Omega_{\bar{p},-+} - 2F_{-+1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}}) = 0 \ , \tag{B.123}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ig^3f^{-2}\Omega_{-,\bar{p}-} + \frac{1}{2}gf^{-1}(2g\Omega_{\bar{p},1-} + 2g\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{1}-} - 2g\Omega_{-,\bar{1}\bar{p}} + g\Omega_{-,q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} - 4iD_{\bar{p}}f) \\ -g(-F_{-+1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} - F_{-+\bar{1}q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + i\Omega_{\bar{p},-+} + i\Omega_{+,\bar{p}-} + 2F_{-+1\bar{1}\bar{p}}) \\ +f(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + \Omega_{+,\bar{1}\bar{p}}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.124}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}g^4f^{-3}\Omega_{-,\bar{p}-} - \frac{i}{4}g^2f^{-2}(2g\Omega_{\bar{p},1-} + 2g\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{1}-} - 2g\Omega_{-,\bar{1}\bar{p}} + g\Omega_{-,q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} - 4iD_{\bar{p}}f) \\ +\frac{i}{2}gf^{-1}(2gF_{-+\bar{p}q}{}^{q} - gF_{-+1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + 2ig\Omega_{\bar{p},-+} - 2iD_{\bar{p}}g + gF_{-+\bar{1}q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}}) \\ -\frac{i}{4}g(2\Omega_{\bar{p},+\bar{1}} + \Omega_{+,q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + 2\Omega_{\bar{p},+1} + 2\Omega_{+,1\bar{p}}) + \frac{1}{2}f\Omega_{+,+\bar{p}} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{B.125}$$

To proceed, we note that the constraints (B.104), (B.105), (B.106), (B.107), (B.108), (B.109) and the complex conjugates of (B.120), (B.121), (B.122), (B.123), (B.124), (B.125) give twelve constraints on the ten types of F-flux term with a single free holomorphic index ($F_{-+1\bar{1}p}$, $F_{-+pq}{}^{q}$, $F_{-1pq}{}^{q}$, $F_{-\bar{1}pq}{}^{q}$, $F_{+1pq}{}^{q}$, $F_{+\bar{1}pq}{}^{q}$, $F_{-+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}$, $F_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}$, $F_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}$, and $F_{+1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}$).

These equations do not fix these F-flux terms uniquely: five of the terms remain arbitrary. So, taking $F_{-+pq}{}^{q}$, $F_{+1pq}{}^{q}$, $F_{-+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}$, $F_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}$, $F_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}$ and $F_{+1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}$ to be arbitrary, one has

$$\begin{aligned} F_{-1pq}{}^{q} &= -\frac{g}{4}f^{-1}\Omega_{-,p-} - \frac{i}{2}(\Omega_{1,p-} + \Omega_{p,1-}) - \frac{f}{2}g^{-1}(\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q} + \Omega_{1,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - \Omega_{1,p\bar{1}} - \Omega_{1,1p}) \\ &- \frac{i}{2}f^2g^{-2}(2iF_{+1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - \Omega_{1,p+} + \Omega_{p,+1}) - \frac{f^3}{4}g^{-3}\Omega_{+,+p} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.126}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{-\bar{1}pq}{}^{q} &= \frac{g}{2}f^{-1}\Omega_{-,p-} + F_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + i(-\Omega_{p,1-} + \Omega_{-,1p} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2-}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) + \frac{f}{2}g^{-1}(-2\Omega_{+,p-} + 2\Omega_{\bar{q},}{}^{\bar{q}}{}_{p} - 2\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q} + \Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_21}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} \\ &- \Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2\bar{1}}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p}) - \frac{f^2}{2}g^{-2}(2F_{+1pq}{}^{q} - 2F_{+1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + i(2\Omega_{+,1p} + 2\Omega_{p,+1} \\ &- \Omega_{+,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - \Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2+}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p})) - \frac{f^3}{2}g^{-3}\Omega_{+,+p} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.127}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{+\bar{1}pq}{}^{q} &= \frac{g^3}{4}f^{-3}\Omega_{-,p-} + f^{-2}g^2(F_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + \frac{i}{2}(\Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} + \Omega_{\bar{1},p-})) \\ &- \frac{g}{2}f^{-1}(\Omega_{p,q}{}^{q} - \Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} + \Omega_{\bar{1},p\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{1},1p}) + \frac{i}{2}(\Omega_{p,+\bar{1}} - \Omega_{\bar{1},p+}) \\ &+ \frac{f}{4}g^{-1}\Omega_{+,+p} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.128}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{-+1\bar{1}p} &= -\frac{g}{2}f^{-1}(\Omega_{p,1-} - \Omega_{-,p\bar{1}} + \Omega_{p,\bar{1}-} - \Omega_{-,1p} + \Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}Q_p - F_{-+pq}{}^q - F_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.129}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{-+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p &= -\frac{g}{2}f^{-1}(2\Omega_{-,p\bar{1}} - \Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p) + F_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 2F_{-+pq}{}^q \\
&+ \frac{f}{2}g^{-1}(\Omega_{+,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - 2\Omega_{+,1p}) \ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.130}
$$

There are in addition seven F-independent geometric constraints:

$$
\Omega_{-,+p} + \Omega_{+,p-} = 0 \ ,
\tag{B.131}
$$

$$
\partial_p f = \frac{g^2}{2}f^{-1}\Omega_{-,p-} - \frac{f}{2}(\Omega_{+,p-} + \Omega_{p,-+})
\tag{B.132}
$$

$$
\partial_p g = -\frac{f^2}{2}g^{-1}\Omega_{+,+p} + \frac{g}{2}(\Omega_{p,-+} - \Omega_{+,p-}) \ ,
\tag{B.133}
$$

$$
g^2(-\Omega_{-,p\bar{1}} - \Omega_{p,1-} + \Omega_{-,1p} - \Omega_{p,\bar{1}-}) - f^2(\Omega_{p,+\bar{1}} + \Omega_{+,\bar{1}p} + \Omega_{p,+1} + \Omega_{+,1p}) = 0 \ ,
\tag{B.134}
$$

$$
g^2(\Omega_{1,p-} + \Omega_{p,1-}) + f^2(\Omega_{p,+1} - \Omega_{1,p+}) = 0 \ ,
\tag{B.135}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
g^2(2\Omega_{p,1-} + \Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2-}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - 2\Omega_{-,1p} + \Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p) \\
+f^2(2\Omega_{+,1p} - \Omega_{+,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p - \Omega_{\bar{q}_1,\bar{q}_2+}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_p + 2\Omega_{p,+1}) = 0 \ ,
\end{gathered}
\tag{B.136}
$$

$$
g^2(\Omega_{\bar{1},p-} + \Omega_{p,\bar{1}-}) + f^2(\Omega_{p,+\bar{1}} - \Omega_{\bar{1},p+}) = 0 \ .
\tag{B.137}
$$

Next, we shall investigate those equations constraining G and F flux terms with no free holomorphic index p (or antiholomorphic index $\bar{p}$). There are 24 such equations: (B.5), (B.7), (B.8), (B.9), (B.20), (B.23), (B.25), (B.26), (B.36), (B.39), (B.41), (B.42), (B.44), (B.47), (B.49), (B.50), (B.52), (B.55), (B.57), (B.58) together with the traces of (B.14), (B.16), (B.29) and (B.35). There are ten such G-flux terms (G_{-+1}, $G_{-+\bar{1}}$, $G_{1p}{}^p$, $G_{\bar{1}p}{}^p$, $G_{-1\bar{1}}$, $G_{+1\bar{1}}$, G_{234}, $G_{\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}$, $G_{+p}{}^p$ and $G_{-p}{}^p$) and ten such F-flux terms ($F_{-+1p}{}^p$, $F_{-+\bar{1}p}{}^p$, $F_{-1\bar{1}p}{}^p$, $F_{+1\bar{1}p}{}^p$, F_{-1234}, $F_{-\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}$, F_{-+234}, $F_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}$, $F_{+1\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}$, $F_{+\bar{1}234}$). The G-flux terms are fixed in terms of the F-flux terms and the geometry via

$$
\begin{aligned}
G_{-+1} &= f^{-1}(-2iD_-g + g(iP_- + i\Omega_{-,p}{}^p - i\Omega_{-,1\bar{1}} + i\Omega_{-,-+})) \\
&+ 2iF_{-+1p}{}^p - 4iF_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} - 2\Omega_{-,+1} - P_1 \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{B.138}
$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{-+\bar{1}} &= f^{-1}(-2iD_{-}g + g(iP_{-} - i\Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} + i\Omega_{-,1\bar{1}} + i\Omega_{-,-+})) \\ &- 2iF_{-+\bar{1}p}{}^{p} - 4iF_{-+234} - 2\Omega_{-,+\bar{1}} - P_{\bar{1}} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.139}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{1p}{}^{p} &= (2iD_{-}g - 4D_{1}f + g(-iP_{-} - i\Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} + i\Omega_{-,1\bar{1}} - 8F_{-1234} - i\Omega_{-,-+} - 4i\Omega_{1,1-})) \\ &- 2\Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} - 6iF_{-+1p}{}^{p} - 2\Omega_{1,-+} + 4iF_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} - 2\Omega_{1,1\bar{1}} + 2\Omega_{-,+1} + P_{1} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.140}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\bar{1}p}{}^{p} &= f^{-1}(-2iD_{-}g + 4D_{\bar{1}}f + g(8F_{-\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + iP_{-} - i\Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} + i\Omega_{-,1\bar{1}} + i\Omega_{-,-+} \\ &+ 4i\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{1}-})) - 4iF_{-+234} - P_{\bar{1}} - 6iF_{-+\bar{1}p}{}^{p} - 2\Omega_{\bar{1},p}{}^{p} - 2\Omega_{\bar{1},1\bar{1}} \\ &+ 2\Omega_{\bar{1},-+} - 2\Omega_{-,+\bar{1}} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.141}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{-1\bar{1}} &= f^{-1}(4D_{-}f + 4ig\Omega_{-,\bar{1}-}) + g^{-1}(4D_{-}g + 2iD_{1}f + g(8iF_{-\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + 6iF_{-1\bar{1}p}{}^{p} \\ &- 4\Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} - 2\Omega_{1,1-} + 4iF_{-1234} + P_{-} + 2\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{-})) + fg^{-1}(i\Omega_{1,-+} + iP_{1} \\ &+ 8F_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + i\Omega_{1,1\bar{1}} + i\Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} - 4i\Omega_{-,+1} - 4F_{-+1p}{}^{p} \\ &- 2i\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{1} - i\Omega_{\bar{p}_{1},\bar{p}_{2}\bar{p}_{3}}\epsilon^{\bar{p}_{1}\bar{p}_{2}\bar{p}_{3}}) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.142}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{+1\bar{1}} &= -2ig^{2}f^{-3}(iD_{-}f - g\Omega_{-,\bar{1}-}) - igf^{-2}(-4iD_{-}g + 2D_{1}f + g(-i\Omega_{-,1\bar{1}} \\ &+ 2i\Omega_{1,1-} + 3i\Omega_{-,-+} - 2i\Omega_{p}{}^{p}{}_{-} + 4F_{-1234} - 4F_{-\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} - i\Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} - 3iP_{-})) \\ &+ igf^{-1}(-\Omega_{1,-+} - P_{1} + 4iF_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} - \Omega_{1,1\bar{1}} + 4iF_{-+234} - \Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} + 2\Omega_{-,+\bar{1}} \\ &+ 2\Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{\bar{1}} + \Omega_{p_{1},p_{2}p_{3}}\epsilon^{p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}} + 2\Omega_{-,+1} - 4iF_{-+1p}{}^{p}) + ig^{-1}(2iD_{+}g + g(4F_{+\bar{1}234} \\ &+ 2F_{+1\bar{1}p}{}^{p} + i\Omega_{+,p}{}^{p} - 2iP_{+} - i\Omega_{+,1\bar{1}} - i\Omega_{+,-+})) + 2ifg^{-1}\Omega_{+,+\bar{1}} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.143}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{234} &= 2igf^{-2}(D_{-}f + ig\Omega_{-,\bar{1}-}) + f^{-1}(-2iD_{-}g + g(-4F_{-\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} - 2i\Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} \\ &+ 2i\Omega_{-,-+} - 2i\Omega_{p}{}^{p}{}_{-})) - \Omega_{p_{1},p_{2}p_{3}}\epsilon^{p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}} - 2\Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{\bar{1}} \\ &- 2\Omega_{-,+\bar{1}} - 4iF_{-+234} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.144}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} &= -2igf^{-2}(D_{-}f + ig\Omega_{-,\bar{1}-}) + f^{-1}(-2iD_{-}g + g(4F_{-\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + 4F_{-1\bar{1}p}{}^{p} \\ &+ 2i\Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} - 2i\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{-})) - 4iF_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} - 2\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{1} \\ &- \Omega_{\bar{p}_{1},\bar{p}_{2}\bar{p}_{3}}\epsilon^{\bar{p}_{1}\bar{p}_{2}\bar{p}_{3}} - 2\Omega_{-,+1} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.145}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{+p}{}^{p} &= -2ig^{2}f^{-3}(iD_{-}f - g\Omega_{-,\bar{1}-}) - igf^{-2}(-4iD_{-}g + 2D_{1}f + g(-i\Omega_{-,1\bar{1}} \\ &+ 2i\Omega_{1,1-} + 3i\Omega_{-,-+} - 2i\Omega_{p}{}^{p}{}_{-} + 4F_{-1234} - 4F_{-\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} - i\Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} - 3iP_{-})) \\ &+ igf^{-1}(-\Omega_{1,-+} - P_{1} + 4iF_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} - \Omega_{1,1\bar{1}} + 4iF_{-+234} - \Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} + 2\Omega_{-,+\bar{1}} \\ &+ 2\Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{\bar{1}} + \Omega_{p_{1},p_{2}p_{3}}\epsilon^{p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}} + 2\Omega_{-,+1} - 4iF_{-+1p}{}^{p}) - ig^{-1}(2iD_{+}g + g(-i\Omega_{+,1\bar{1}} \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \quad i\Omega_{+,p}{}^{p} + 4F_{+\bar{1}234} - i\Omega_{+,-+} + 2F_{+1\bar{1}p}{}^{p} + 2iP_{+})) - 2ifg^{-1}\Omega_{+,+\bar{1}} \ , \tag{B.146}$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{-p}{}^{p} &= -g^{-1}(2iD_{1}f + 4D_{-}g + g(-2\Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} - 2\Omega_{-,-+} + 2\Omega_{-,1\bar{1}} - 2\Omega_{1,1-} \\ &+ 4iF_{-1234} + 2iF_{-1\bar{1}p}{}^{p} + P_{-} + 2\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{-})) + fg^{-1}(i\Omega_{1,-+} + iP_{1} \\ &+ 8F_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + i\Omega_{1,1\bar{1}} + i\Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} - 4i\Omega_{-,+1} - 4F_{-+1p}{}^{p} \\ &- 2i\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{1} - i\Omega_{\bar{p}_{1},\bar{p}_{2}\bar{p}_{3}}\epsilon^{\bar{p}_{1}\bar{p}_{2}\bar{p}_{3}}) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{B.147}$$

There are also fourteen G-independent constraints

$$iD_{+}g + g(F_{+1\bar{1}p}{}^{p} - \frac{i}{2}\Omega_{+,-+} + F_{+1\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + F_{+\bar{1}234}) + \frac{f}{2}(\Omega_{+,+1} + \Omega_{+,+\bar{1}}) = 0 \ , \tag{B.148}$$

$$2iD_{-}f - g(\Omega_{-,1-} + \Omega_{-,\bar{1}-}) + f(-2F_{-1\bar{1}p}{}^{p} - 2F_{-1234} + i\Omega_{-,-+} - 2F_{-\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}) = 0 \ , \tag{B.149}$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{-}g - \frac{g}{2}\Omega_{-,-+} + fg^{-1}(-D_{+}f - \frac{ig}{2}(\Omega_{+,1-} + \Omega_{+,\bar{1}-} \\ + \Omega_{-,+1} + \Omega_{-,+\bar{1}})) - \frac{f^{2}}{2}g^{-1}\Omega_{+,-+} = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.150}$$

$$\begin{aligned} - iD_{+}f + 2D_{1}g + g(-\Omega_{1,-+} + \Omega_{+,1-} + 2iF_{-+1p}{}^{p} - 4iF_{-+234}) \\ + g^{-1}(iD_{+}g + g(i\Omega_{1,\bar{1}+} + i\Omega_{1,1+} - i\Omega_{+,-+} - i\Omega_{+,p}{}^{p})) + f^{2}g^{-1}\Omega_{+,+1} = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.151}$$

$$\begin{aligned} - D_{+}f - 2iD_{\bar{1}}g + g(-4F_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} - 2F_{-+\bar{1}p}{}^{p} - i\Omega_{+,\bar{1}-} + i\Omega_{\bar{1},-+}) \\ + ifg^{-1}(D_{+}g + g(\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{1}+} + \Omega_{+,p}{}^{p} - \Omega_{+,-+} + \Omega_{\bar{1},1+})) + f^{2}g^{-1}\Omega_{+,+\bar{1}} = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.152}$$

$$\begin{aligned} iD_{-}f - g\Omega_{-,1-} + fg^{-1}(-iD_{-}g + 2D_{1}f + g(i\Omega_{1,\bar{1}-} + i\Omega_{1,1-} + i\Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} \\ + i\Omega_{-,-+})) + f^{2}g^{-1}(2iF_{-+1p}{}^{p} - 4iF_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + \Omega_{1,-+} - \Omega_{-,+1}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.153}$$

$$\begin{aligned} iD_{-}f - g\Omega_{-,\bar{1}-} + ifg^{-1}(-D_{-}g - 2iD_{\bar{1}}f + g(\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{1}-} + \Omega_{-,-+} - \Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} \\ + \Omega_{\bar{1},1-})) - if^{2}g^{-1}(2F_{-+\bar{1}p}{}^{p} + i\Omega_{\bar{1},-+} + 4F_{-+234} - i\Omega_{-,+\bar{1}}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.154}$$

$$\begin{aligned} - \frac{i}{2}D_{\bar{1}}f + g(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{1}-} - iF_{-\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}) + \frac{i}{2}fg^{-1}(D_{\bar{1}}g + g(\Omega_{\bar{1},p}{}^{p} - \Omega_{\bar{1},-+})) \\ - f^{2}g^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{1}+} + iF_{+\bar{1}234}) = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.155}$$

$$-\frac{i}{2}D_1 f + g(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,1-} - F_{-1234}) + \frac{i}{2}fg^{-1}(D_1 g - g(\Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} + \Omega_{1,-+})) \\ -f^2 g^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1,1+} + iF_{+1\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}) = 0 \ , \qquad \text{(B.156)}$$

$$2D_{\bar{1}}f - 8iD_- g + 2D_1 f + g(2i\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{1}-} - 2i\Omega_{p}{}^{p}{}_{-} + 4F_{-1\bar{1}p}{}^{p} + 2i\Omega_{1,1-} + 4F_{-\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} \\ -2iP_- + 4i\Omega_{-,-+} + 4F_{-1234} - 2i\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{-}) + f(4iF_{-+1p}{}^{p} + P_{\bar{1}} - 4\Omega_{-,+\bar{1}} + \Omega_{1,1\bar{1}} \\ +\Omega_{1,-+} - 2\Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{\bar{1}} - \Omega_{p_1,p_2p_3}\epsilon^{p_1p_2p_3} - \Omega_{\bar{p}_1,\bar{p}_2\bar{p}_3}\epsilon^{\bar{p}_1\bar{p}_2\bar{p}_3} - 8iF_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} - 4\Omega_{-,+1} + P_1 \\ +\Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} - \Omega_{\bar{1},p}{}^{p} - \Omega_{\bar{1},1\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{1},-+} - 8iF_{-+234} - 4iF_{-+\bar{1}p}{}^{p} - 2\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{1}) = 0 \ , \quad \text{(B.157)}$$

$$f^2 P_+ - g^2 P_- = 0 \ , \qquad \text{(B.158)}$$

$$\frac{i}{2}g^2 f^{-2}(iD_- f - g\Omega_{-,\bar{1}-}) + \frac{i}{4}g^2 f^{-1}(-2i\Omega_{p}{}^{p}{}_{-} + i\Omega_{-,-+} + i\Omega_{-,1\bar{1}} \\ -4F_{-\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + iP_- - 3i\Omega_{-,p}{}^{p}) + \frac{i}{2}g(-\Omega_{p_1,p_2p_3}\epsilon^{p_1p_2p_3} - 2iF_{-+234} + \Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{1} \\ -\Omega_{-,+\bar{1}} + 2iF_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} - \Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{\bar{1}} + \Omega_{-,+1}) + \frac{i}{4}fg^{-1}(2iD_+ g + g(-i\Omega_{+,1\bar{1}} \\ +2i\Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{+} - iP_+ + i\Omega_{+,p}{}^{p} - i\Omega_{+,-+} + 4F_{+1\bar{1}p}{}^{p} + 4F_{+\bar{1}234}) + \frac{i}{2}f^2 g^{-1}\Omega_{+,+\bar{1}} = 0 \ , \text{(B.159)}$$

$$-\frac{i}{2}g^2 f^{-1}(i\Omega_{p}{}^{p}{}_{-} - 2F_{-1\bar{1}p}{}^{p} + i\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{-}) - \frac{i}{2}g(\Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{1} + \Omega_{p_1,p_2p_3}\epsilon^{p_1p_2p_3} \\ +\Omega_{\bar{p}_1,\bar{p}_2\bar{p}_3}\epsilon^{\bar{p}_1\bar{p}_2\bar{p}_3} - \Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{1} - \Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{\bar{1}}) + \frac{i}{2}f(2F_{+1\bar{1}p}{}^{p} + i\Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{+} + i\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{+}) = 0 \ , \quad \text{(B.160)}$$

$$f^{-1}(-D_- g + \frac{1}{2}g(\Omega_{-,1\bar{1}} - \Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} + \Omega_{-,-+} + P_-)) + g^{-1}(D_+ f + g(i\Omega_{+,\bar{1}-} \\ -2F_{-+234} + 2F_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + i\Omega_{-,+\bar{1}})) + \frac{1}{1}fg^{-1}(-\Omega_{+,1\bar{1}} + \Omega_{+,-+} - \Omega_{+,p}{}^{p} - P_+) = 0. \text{(B.161)}$$

There are ten real degrees of freedom in the F-flux terms which appear in (B.148)-(B.161), but only eight of them are fixed by these equations. In particular, taking the real parts of F_{-+234} and $F_{+1\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}$ to be arbitrary we find that:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{-+1p}{}^{p} &= f^{-1}(\frac{1}{2}\partial_- g + g(-\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} + \frac{1}{4}\Omega_{-,1\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{4}\Omega_{-,-+}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}Q_1 - \frac{1}{4}fg^{-1}(-\Omega_{+,p}{}^{p} + \Omega_{+,1\bar{1}} + 2\Omega_{1,1+} + 2\Omega_{1,\bar{1}+}) \\ &+ (F_{-+234} + F_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}) \ , \end{aligned} \qquad \text{(B.162)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{-1\bar{1}p}{}^{p} &= -\frac{1}{4} g f^{-1}(\Omega_{-,1-} + \Omega_{-,\bar{1}-}) + \frac{1}{2} Q_{-} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} f g^{-1}(\Omega_{+,1-} + \Omega_{+,\bar{1}-} + \Omega_{-,+1} + \Omega_{-,+\bar{1}} + 2\Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} - 2\Omega_{\bar{1},p}{}^{p}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} f^{3} g^{-3}(\Omega_{+,+1} + \Omega_{+,+\bar{1}}) + f^{2} g^{-2}(F_{+1\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + F_{+\bar{1}234}) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.163}$$

$$F_{+1\bar{1}p}{}^{p} = -\frac{1}{2} Q_{+} - \frac{1}{2} f g^{-1}(\Omega_{+,+1} + \Omega_{+,+\bar{1}}) - (F_{+1\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + F_{+\bar{1}234}) \ , \tag{B.164}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_{-1234} &= \frac{1}{16} g f^{-1}(\Omega_{-,\bar{1}-} - 5\Omega_{-,1-}) - \frac{1}{16} f g^{-1}(-2\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{\bar{1}} + 6\Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} + 7\Omega_{-,+1} - 3\Omega_{-,+\bar{1}} \\ &+ \Omega_{+,\bar{1}-} - 2\Omega_{\bar{1},p}{}^{p} + 2\Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{1} + 3\Omega_{+,1-} + 2\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{1} - 2\Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{\bar{1}} - 2\Omega_{p_1,p_2p_3}\epsilon^{p_1p_2p_3} \\ &+ 2\Omega_{\bar{p}_1,\bar{p}_2\bar{p}_3}\epsilon^{\bar{p}_1\bar{p}_2\bar{p}_3}) - \frac{1}{16} f^{3} g^{-3}(\Omega_{+,+1} + 3\Omega_{+,+\bar{1}}) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} f^{2} g^{-2}(F_{+1\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} + F_{+\bar{1}234}) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.165}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(F_{-+234} - F_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}) = -\frac{1}{8} g f^{-1}(\Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} - \Omega_{-,1\bar{1}}) - \frac{1}{8} f g^{-1}(\Omega_{+,p}{}^{p} + \Omega_{+,1\bar{1}}) \tag{B.166}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(F_{+1\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} - F_{+\bar{1}234}) &= -\frac{1}{16} g^{3} f^{-3}(\Omega_{-,\bar{1}-} - \Omega_{-,1-}) + \frac{1}{16} f^{-1} g(-2\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{\bar{1}} + 2\Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{1} \\ &+ 2\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{1} - 2\Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{\bar{1}} + 2\Omega_{\bar{p}_1,\bar{p}_2\bar{p}_3}\epsilon^{\bar{p}_1\bar{p}_2\bar{p}_3} - 2\Omega_{p_1,p_2p_3}\epsilon^{p_1p_2p_3} \\ &+ \Omega_{+,\bar{1}-} - \Omega_{+,1-} + 3\Omega_{-,+1} - 3\Omega_{-,+\bar{1}} - 2\Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} - 2\Omega_{\bar{1},p}{}^{p}) \\ &+ \frac{3}{16} f g^{-1}(\Omega_{+,+\bar{1}} - \Omega_{+,+1}) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.167}$$

We also obtain the following constraints on the P_M terms

$$f^{2} P_{+} - g^{2} P_{-} = 0 \ , \tag{B.168}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{-} &= \frac{i}{4} g f^{-1}(\Omega_{-,1-} + \Omega_{-,\bar{1}-}) + g^{-1}(-2\partial_{-} g + g(\Omega_{-,-+} + \Omega_{-,p}{}^{p} - \Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{-} + iQ_{-})) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} f g^{-1}(-2iP_{1} - 2iP_{\bar{1}} + 2Q_{1} + 2Q_{\bar{1}} + 2i\Omega_{\bar{1},1\bar{1}} + i\Omega_{-,+\bar{1}} - 5i\Omega_{+,\bar{1}-} + 2i\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{\bar{1}} \\ &+ 2i\Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{\bar{1}} + 3i\Omega_{+,1-} - 2i\Omega_{1,1\bar{1}} + 2i\Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{1} + 2i\Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{1} + 9i\Omega_{-,+1}) \\ &- f^{2} g^{-2}(\Omega_{\bar{1},1+} + \Omega_{+,p}{}^{p} + \Omega_{1,\bar{1}+} + \Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{+}) - \frac{i}{4} f^{3} g^{-3}(\Omega_{+,+1} + \Omega_{+,+\bar{1}}) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{B.169}$$

together with the geometric constraints

$$g^{2}\Omega_{1,1-} - f^{2}\Omega_{1,1+} = 0 \ , \tag{B.170}$$

$$f^2(\Omega_{+,p}{}^{p} + \Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{+}) - g^2(\Omega_{p}{}^{p}{}_{-} + \Omega_{-,p}{}^{p}) = 0\ , \tag{B.171}$$

$$f^2(\Omega_{1,\bar{1}+} + \Omega_{+,1\bar{1}}) - g^2(\Omega_{1,\bar{1}-} + \Omega_{-,1\bar{1}}) = 0\ , \tag{B.172}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}g^2 f^{-2}(\Omega_{-,1-} + \Omega_{-,\bar{1}-}) + \Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{1} + \Omega_{p_1,p_2p_3}\epsilon^{p_1p_2p_3} + \Omega_{\bar{p}_1,\bar{p}_2\bar{p}_3}\epsilon^{\bar{p}_1\bar{p}_2\bar{p}_3}&\\ -\Omega_{p,}{}^{p}{}_{1} - \Omega_{\bar{p},}{}^{\bar{p}}{}_{\bar{1}} + \Omega_{\bar{1},p}{}^{p} - \Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} + \frac{1}{2}(\Omega_{-,+\bar{1}} + \Omega_{-,+1} + \Omega_{+,1-} + \Omega_{+,\bar{1}-})&\\ +\frac{1}{2}f^2 g^{-2}(\Omega_{+,+1} + \Omega_{+,+\bar{1}}) = 0\ ,&\end{aligned} \tag{B.173}$$

$$\partial_+ f = -\frac{1}{2}g f^{-1}(-2\partial_- g + g\Omega_{-,-+}) - \frac{1}{2}f\Omega_{+,-+}\ , \tag{B.174}$$

$$\partial_- f = -\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,-+} f\ , \tag{B.175}$$

$$\partial_1 f = \frac{1}{2}g^2 f^{-1}\Omega_{-,1-} + \frac{1}{2}f(\Omega_{-,+1} - \Omega_{1,-+})\ , \tag{B.176}$$

$$\partial_+ g = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,-+} g\ , \tag{B.177}$$

$$\partial_1 g = -\frac{1}{2}g(\Omega_{+,1-} - \Omega_{1,-+}) - \frac{1}{2}f^2 g^{-1}\Omega_{+,+1}\ , \tag{B.178}$$

$$f^2 Q_+ - g^2 Q_- = 2fg(\Omega_{+,1-} + \Omega_{-,+1})\ , \tag{B.179}$$

This completes our analysis. The conditions on the geometry are summarized in section two.

C Killing spinor equations for maximally supersymmetric $Spin(7)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-backgrounds

C.1 A linear system

In this appendix, we give the details of the derivation of the conditions on the geometry and the fluxes implied by the Killing spinor equations for maximally supersymmetric $Spin(7)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-backgrounds. These conditions have already been summarized in section four. The linear system derived from the Killing spinor equations of IIB supergravity associated with the maximally supersymmetric $Spin(7)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-backgrounds can be easily derived using the results of [4], (3.7) and the properties of the spinors in appendix A. In fact, one can easily derive the conditions below from the results of [4] without any further computation. We can simplify this linear system by using the self-duality condition of the five-form field strength $F_{M_1\dots M_5} = -\frac{1}{5!}\epsilon_{M_1\dots M_5}{}^{N_1\dots N_5}F_{N_1\dots N_5}$. This condition written in $SU(5)$ representations relates the components of F as

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4\bar\beta} &= -\frac{1}{6}\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon_{\bar\beta}{}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3}F_{-+\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3}\ , \\
F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2} &= -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}{}^{\bar\gamma_1}\epsilon_{\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2}{}^{\gamma_2\gamma_3}F_{-+\bar\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3}\ , \\
F_{+\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4} &= 0\ , \\
F_{+\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\bar\beta} &= -\frac{1}{6}\epsilon_{\bar\beta}{}^{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}{}^{\bar\gamma}F_{+\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\bar\gamma}\ , \\
F_{+\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2\alpha_1\alpha_2} &= -\frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2}{}^{\delta_1\delta_2}\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}{}^{\bar\gamma_1\bar\gamma_2}F_{+\bar\gamma_1\bar\gamma_2\delta_1\delta_2}\ , \\
F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4} &= \frac{1}{4!}\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4}F_{-\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4}\ , \\
F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\bar\beta} &= \frac{1}{6}\epsilon_{\bar\beta}{}^{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}{}^{\bar\gamma}F_{-\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\bar\gamma}\ , \\
F_{-\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2\alpha_1\alpha_2} &= \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_{\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2}{}^{\delta_1\delta_2}\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}{}^{\bar\gamma_1\bar\gamma_2}F_{-\bar\gamma_1\bar\gamma_2\delta_1\delta_2}\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{C.1}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma = 1, \dots, 5$ and ϵ is the standard $SU(5)$-invariant (5,0)-form. The above relations imply the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\delta}{}^{\delta} &= F_{-+\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}\ , \\
F_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\bar\beta\delta}{}^{\delta} &= -F_{-+\alpha_1\alpha_2\bar\beta} - 2g_{\bar\beta[\alpha_1}F_{\alpha_2]-+\delta}{}^{\delta}\ , \\
F_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\beta}{}_{\gamma}{}^{\gamma} &= 2F_{-+\alpha\delta}{}^{\delta}\ , \\
F_{+\alpha\beta\delta}{}^{\delta} &= 0\ , \\
F_{+\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3[\bar\beta}\epsilon_{\bar\gamma]}{}^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3} &= 0\ , \\
F_{+\alpha}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\beta}{}^{\beta} &= 0\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

As the self-duality conditions above and the case of backgrounds with one $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-invariant spinor investigated in [4], the linear system is written after decomposing the fluxes and geometry in $SU(5)$ representation. We shall demonstrate that the solution of the linear system can be rewritten in terms of $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ representations as one may have been expected because the structure group of the spacetime reduces from $Spin(9,1) \times U(1)$ to $Spin(7) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$. The algebraic Killing spinor equations give

$$P_{\bar\alpha} = 0\ , \quad G_{-+\bar\alpha} + G_{\bar\alpha\beta}{}^{\beta} + \frac{1}{3}\epsilon_{\bar\alpha}{}^{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}G_{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3} = 0\ , \tag{C.3}$$

$$P_{\alpha} = 0\ , \quad G_{-+\alpha} - G_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\beta} + \frac{1}{3}\epsilon_{\alpha}{}^{\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2\bar\beta_3}G_{\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2\bar\beta_3} = 0\ , \tag{C.4}$$

$$P_{+} = 0\ , \quad G_{+\alpha}{}^{\alpha} = 0\ , \tag{C.5}$$

and

$$G_{+\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}{}^{\gamma\delta} G_{+\gamma\delta} = 0 \ . \tag{C.6}$$

Next we turn into the Killing spinor equation associated with the supercovariant derivative (2.1). In particular the conditions arise from the $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ component of the supercovariant derivative are

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{11} + (f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{22} + i(f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{12} - i(f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{21}] + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\alpha,\beta}{}^{\beta} \\ + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\alpha,-+} + iF_{\alpha-+\beta}{}^{\beta} = 0 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{C.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{11} - (f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{22} + i(f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{12} + i(f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{21} \\ + \frac{1}{2}G_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}G_{\alpha-+} = 0 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{C.8}$$

$$\Omega_{\alpha,\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2} + 2iF_{\alpha-+\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2} - 2i\delta_{\alpha[\bar{\beta}_1}F_{\bar{\beta}_2]-+\delta}{}^{\delta} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\alpha,\gamma_1\gamma_2}\epsilon^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}{}_{\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2} = 0 \ , \tag{C.9}$$

$$G_{\alpha\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2} - \frac{1}{2}G_{\alpha\gamma_1\gamma_2}\epsilon^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}{}_{\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2} = 0 \ , \tag{C.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{11} + (f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{22} + i(f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{12} - i(f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{21}] \\ - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\alpha,\beta}{}^{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\alpha,-+} - \frac{i}{3}\epsilon_{\alpha}{}^{\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2\bar{\beta}_3}F_{-+\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2\bar{\beta}_3} = 0 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{C.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{11} - (f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{22} + i(f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{12} + i(f^{-1}D_\alpha f)_{21} \\ - \frac{1}{2}G_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}G_{\alpha-+} = 0 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$

$$\Omega_{\alpha,+\bar{\beta}} + iF_{\alpha+\bar{\beta}\gamma}{}^{\gamma} = 0 \ , \tag{C.13}$$

$$G_{\alpha+\bar{\beta}} = 0 \ , \tag{C.14}$$

$$iF_{\alpha+\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2\bar{\beta}_3} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\alpha,+\gamma}\epsilon^{\gamma}{}_{\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2\bar{\beta}_3} = 0 \tag{C.15}$$

and

$$G_{\alpha+\gamma} = 0 \ . \tag{C.16}$$

The conditions arise from the $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{\alpha}}$ component of the supercovariant derivative are

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{11} + (f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{22} + i(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{12} - i(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{21}] \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{\alpha},\beta}{}^{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{\alpha},-+} - \frac{i}{3}\epsilon_{\bar{\alpha}}{}^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3}F_{-+\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3} = 0 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{C.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{11} - (f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{22} + i(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{12} + i(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{21} \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{2}G_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}{}^{\beta} + \frac{1}{2}G_{\bar{\alpha}-+} = 0 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{C.18}$$

$$\Omega_{\bar{\alpha},\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2} - [\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{\alpha},\gamma_1\gamma_2} + iF_{\bar{\alpha}-+\gamma_1\gamma_2} + i\delta_{\bar{\alpha}[\gamma_1}F_{\gamma_2]-+\delta}{}^{\delta}]\epsilon^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}{}_{\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2} = 0 \ , \tag{C.19}$$

$$G_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2} - \frac{1}{2}G_{\bar{\alpha}\gamma_1\gamma_2}\epsilon^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}{}_{\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2} = 0 \ , \tag{C.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{11} + (f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{22} + i(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{12} - i(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{21}] \\ &\qquad - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{\alpha},\gamma}{}^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{\alpha},-+} - iF_{\bar{\alpha}-+\gamma}{}^{\gamma} = 0 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{C.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{11} - (f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{22} + i(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{12} + i(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{21} \\ &\qquad - \frac{1}{2}G_{\bar{\alpha}\gamma}{}^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}G_{\bar{\alpha}-+} = 0 \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{C.22}$$

$$\Omega_{\bar{\alpha},+\bar{\beta}} - \frac{i}{3}F_{\bar{\alpha}+\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3}\epsilon^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3}{}_{\bar{\beta}} = 0 \ , \tag{C.23}$$

$$G_{\bar{\alpha}+\bar{\beta}} = 0 \ , \tag{C.24}$$

and

$$\Omega_{\bar{\alpha},+\gamma} - iF_{\bar{\alpha}+\gamma\delta}{}^{\delta} = 0 \ . \tag{C.25}$$

Similarly, the conditions arise from the $\mathcal{D}_{-}$ component of the supercovariant derivative are

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}D_-f)_{11}+(f^{-1}D_-f)_{22}+i(f^{-1}D_-f)_{12}-i(f^{-1}D_-f)_{21}]\\&\quad+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,\gamma}{}^{\gamma}+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,-+}+\frac{i}{4}F_{-\gamma}{}^{\gamma}{}_{\delta}{}^{\delta}+\frac{i}{12}F_{-\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4}\epsilon^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_4}=0\ ,\end{aligned}\tag{C.26}$$

$$(f^{-1}D_-f)_{11}-(f^{-1}D_-f)_{22}+i(f^{-1}D_-f)_{12}+i(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{21}+\frac{1}{2}G_{-\gamma}{}^{\gamma}=0\ ,\tag{C.27}$$

$$\Omega_{-,\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2}+iF_{-\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2\gamma}{}^{\gamma}-[\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,\gamma_1\gamma_2}-\frac{i}{2}F_{-\gamma_1\gamma_2\delta}{}^{\delta}]\epsilon^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}{}_{\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2}=0\ ,\tag{C.28}$$

$$G_{-\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2}-\frac{1}{2}G_{-\gamma_1\gamma_2}\epsilon^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}{}_{\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2}=0\ ,\tag{C.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}D_-f)_{11}+(f^{-1}D_-f)_{22}+i(f^{-1}D_-f)_{12}-i(f^{-1}D_-f)_{21}]\\&\quad-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,\gamma}{}^{\gamma}+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,-+}+\frac{i}{4}F_{-\gamma}{}^{\gamma}{}_{\delta}{}^{\delta}+\frac{i}{12}F_{-\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2\bar{\beta}_3\bar{\beta}_4}\epsilon^{\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2\bar{\beta}_3\bar{\beta}_4}=0\ ,\end{aligned}\tag{C.30}$$

$$(f^{-1}D_-f)_{11}-(f^{-1}D_-f)_{22}+i(f^{-1}D_-f)_{12}+i(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{21}-\frac{1}{2}G_{-\gamma}{}^{\gamma}=0\ ,\tag{C.31}$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,+\bar{\beta}}+\frac{i}{2}F_{-+\bar{\beta}\gamma}{}^{\gamma}-\frac{i}{6}F_{-+\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3}\epsilon^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3}{}_{\bar{\beta}}=0\ ,\tag{C.32}$$

$$G_{-+\bar{\beta}}=0\ ,\tag{C.33}$$

$$iF_{-+\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2\bar{\beta}_3}+[\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,+\gamma}-\frac{i}{2}F_{-+\gamma\delta}{}^{\delta}]\epsilon^{\gamma}{}_{\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2\bar{\beta}_3}=0\ ,\tag{C.34}$$

and

$$G_{-+\gamma}=0\ .\tag{C.35}$$

Finally, the conditions arise from the $\mathcal{D}_+$ component of the supercovariant derivative are

$$\frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}D_+f)_{11}+(f^{-1}D_+f)_{22}+i(f^{-1}D_+f)_{12}-i(f^{-1}D_+f)_{21}]$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,\gamma}{}^{\gamma}+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,-+}=0\ , \tag{C.36}$$

$$(f^{-1}D_{+}f)_{11}-(f^{-1}D_{+}f)_{22}+i(f^{-1}D_{+}f)_{12}+i(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{21}+\frac{1}{2}G_{+\gamma}{}^{\gamma}=0\ , \tag{C.37}$$

$$\Omega_{+,\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2}-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,\gamma_1\gamma_2}\epsilon^{\gamma_1\gamma_2}{}_{\bar{\beta}_1\bar{\beta}_2}=0\ , \tag{C.38}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}D_{+}f)_{11}+(f^{-1}D_{+}f)_{22}+i(f^{-1}D_{+}f)_{12}-i(f^{-1}D_{+}f)_{21}]&\\ -\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,\gamma}{}^{\gamma}+\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,-+}=0\ ,&\end{aligned} \tag{C.39}$$

$$(f^{-1}D_{+}f)_{11}-(f^{-1}D_{+}f)_{22}+i(f^{-1}D_{+}f)_{12}+i(f^{-1}D_{\bar{\alpha}}f)_{21}-\frac{1}{2}G_{+\gamma}{}^{\gamma}=0\ , \tag{C.40}$$

and

$$\Omega_{+,+\alpha}=\Omega_{+,+\bar{\alpha}}=0\ . \tag{C.41}$$

The above equations are all the restrictions that the Killing spinor equations put on the fluxes and geometry of maximally supersymmetric $Spin(7)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-backgrounds. As we shall see, the linear system can be easily solved to express some of the fluxes in terms of the geometry of spacetime.

C.2 The solution of the linear system

The solution of the linear system given in the previous section is straightforward, so we shall not elaborate. Since the linear system factorizes in equations for G, P and Ω, F we shall solve the two sets of equations separately.

It is straightforward to observe that the equations for P, G imply that all the components of P and G vanish apart from P_- and $G_{-\alpha\bar{\beta}}, G_{-\alpha\beta}$ and $G_{-\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}$ which in addition satisfy the relations

$$G_{-\alpha}{}^{\alpha}=0\ ,\qquad G_{-\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\beta}}{}^{\gamma\delta}G_{-\gamma\delta}\ . \tag{C.42}$$

The component P_- is unconstrained. The conditions on G imply that G_{-AB} takes values in the Lie algebra $spin(7)\otimes\mathbb{C}$. In addition to these conditions on the fluxes, the functions that determine the spinors are constrained as

$$(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{11}-(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{22}=(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{12}+(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{21}=0\ ,\quad A=-,+,\alpha,\bar{\alpha} \tag{C.43}$$

To solve of remaining equations of the linear system we first consider the equations derived from $\mathcal{D}_+$ component of the supercovariant connection. After some straightforward analysis, we find that these imply

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{+,+\alpha}=0\ ,\quad \Omega_{+,\alpha}{}^{\alpha}=0\ ,\\ \Omega_{+,\bar\alpha\bar\beta}-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\bar\alpha\bar\beta}{}^{\gamma\delta}\Omega_{+,\gamma\delta}=0\\ (f^{-1}\partial_+f)_{11}+(f^{-1}\partial_+f)_{22}+\Omega_{+,-+}=0\\ (f^{-1}\partial_+f)_{12}-(f^{-1}\partial_+f)_{21}-Q_+=0\ .\end{aligned}\tag{C.44}$$

Next we turn to the conditions derived from $\mathcal{D}_-$ component of the supercovariant connection and after a similar analysis, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{-,+\alpha}=0\ ,\quad \Omega_{-,\alpha}{}^{\alpha}=0\ ,\\ \Omega_{-,\alpha\beta}-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\bar\gamma\bar\delta}\Omega_{-,\bar\gamma\bar\delta}=0\\ F_{-+\bar\alpha\delta}{}^{\delta}-\frac{1}{3}F_{-+\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3}\epsilon^{\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3}{}_{\bar\alpha}=0\\ F_{-\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3\bar\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3\bar\alpha_4}-F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}=0\ ,\\ F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\delta}{}^{\delta}+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}{}^{\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2}F_{-\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2\delta}{}^{\delta}=0\ ,\\ (f^{-1}\partial_-f)_{11}+(f^{-1}\partial_-f)_{22}+\Omega_{-,-+}=0\\ (f^{-1}\partial_-f)_{12}-(f^{-1}\partial_-f)_{21}-Q_-+\frac{1}{2}F_{-\gamma}{}^{\gamma}{}_{\delta}{}^{\delta}+\frac{1}{6}F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}=0\ .\end{aligned}\tag{C.45}$$

Similarly, we investigate the conditions that arise from the $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\bar\alpha}$ components of the supercovariant derivative. We find that

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_{\bar\alpha,+\beta}=0\ ,\quad \Omega_{\alpha,+\beta}=0\\ F_{+\bar\alpha\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}=0\ ,\quad F_{+\alpha\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2\bar\beta_3}=0\ ,\quad F_{-+\beta_1\beta_2\bar\alpha}=0\ ,\\ \Omega_{\bar\alpha,\beta_1\beta_2}-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\beta_1\beta_2}{}^{\bar\gamma_1\bar\gamma_2}\Omega_{\bar\alpha,\bar\gamma_1\bar\gamma_2}=0\\ F_{\bar\alpha\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3\beta_4}=0\ ,\quad F_{-+\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}=0\ ,\quad F_{+\alpha\bar\beta\delta}{}^{\delta}=0\ ,\\ \Omega_{\alpha,\beta}{}^{\beta}=0\\[1em] (f^{-1}\partial_\alpha f)_{11}+(f^{-1}\partial_\alpha f)_{22}+\Omega_{\alpha,-+}=0\\ (f^{-1}\partial_\alpha f)_{12}-(f^{-1}\partial_\alpha f)_{21}-Q_\alpha=0\ .\end{aligned}\tag{C.46}$$

The conditions on the geometry and the fluxes are summarized in section four. In the same section, the geometry of the spacetime of maximally supersymmetric $Spin(7)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-backgrounds is also investigated.

D Maximally supersymmetric $SU(4)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^8$-backgrounds

D.1 A linear system

In this appendix, we give the details of the derivation of the conditions on the geometry and the fluxes implied by the Killing spinor equations for maximally supersymmetric

$SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$-backgrounds. These conditions have already been summarized in section five. The linear system can be easily derived using (3.10), the results of [4] and appendix A. In particular, the algebraic part of the Killing spinor equations in (3.10) can be rewritten as

$$P_A \Gamma^A 1 = 0, \qquad P_A \Gamma^A e_{1234} = 0 \tag{D.1}$$

and

$$G_{A_1A_2A_3} \Gamma^{A_1A_2A_3} 1 = 0, \qquad G_{A_1A_2A_3} \Gamma^{A_1A_2A_3} e_{1234} = 0 \ . \tag{D.2}$$

To investigate the rest of the Killing spinor equations, it is most convenient to define the one-forms

$$\begin{aligned}
X^1 &= \frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}Df)_{11} + (f^{-1}Df)_{33} + i(f^{-1}Df)_{13} - i(f^{-1}Df)_{31}] \ , \\
X^2 &= \frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}Df)_{12} + (f^{-1}Df)_{34} + i(f^{-1}Df)_{14} - i(f^{-1}Df)_{32}] \ , \\
X^3 &= \frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}Df)_{21} + (f^{-1}Df)_{43} + i(f^{-1}Df)_{23} - i(f^{-1}Df)_{41}] \ , \\
X^4 &= \frac{1}{2}[(f^{-1}Df)_{22} + (f^{-1}Df)_{44} + i(f^{-1}Df)_{24} - i(f^{-1}Df)_{42}] \ , \\
X^5 &= (f^{-1}Df)_{11} - (f^{-1}Df)_{33} + i(f^{-1}Df)_{13} + i(f^{-1}Df)_{31} \ , \\
X^6 &= (f^{-1}Df)_{12} - (f^{-1}Df)_{34} + i(f^{-1}Df)_{14} + i(f^{-1}Df)_{32} \ , \\
X^7 &= (f^{-1}Df)_{21} - (f^{-1}Df)_{43} + i(f^{-1}Df)_{23} + i(f^{-1}Df)_{41} \ , \\
X^8 &= (f^{-1}Df)_{22} - (f^{-1}Df)_{44} + i(f^{-1}Df)_{24} + i(f^{-1}Df)_{42} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.3}$$

which are constructed by taking the D derivative on the functions f.

The remaining equations of (3.10) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}(X^1 - X^4 + iX^3 + iX^2)_M \ 1 + \frac{1}{2}(X^1 + X^4 + iX^3 - iX^2)_M \ e_{1234} & \\
+\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{MAB}\Gamma^{AB} \ e_{1234} + \frac{i}{48}\Gamma^{B_1B_2B_3B_4} F_{MB_1B_2B_3B_4} \ e_{1234} &= 0 \ , \\
\frac{1}{2}(X^5 - X^8 + iX^7 + iX^6)_M \ 1 + \frac{1}{2}(X^5 + X^8 + iX^7 - iX^6)_M \ e_{1234} & \\
+\frac{1}{4}G_{MAB}\Gamma^{AB} \ e_{1234} &= 0 \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}(X^1 - X^4 - iX^2 - iX^3)_M \ e_{1234} + \frac{1}{2}(X^1 + X^4 + iX^2 - iX^3)_M \ 1 & \\
+\frac{1}{4}\Omega_{MAB}\Gamma^{AB} \ 1 + \frac{i}{48}\Gamma^{B_1B_2B_3B_4} F_{MB_1B_2B_3B_4} \ 1 &= 0 \ , \\
\frac{1}{2}(X^5 - X^8 - iX^6 - iX^7)_M \ e_{1234} + \frac{1}{2}(X^5 + X^8 + iX^6 - iX^7) \ 1 & \\
+\frac{1}{4}G_{MAB}\Gamma^{AB} \ 1 &= 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{D.5}$$

Rewriting the equations in this way allows for an extremely simple comparison with the Killing spinor equations evaluated on pure spinors, which have been already been computed in [4]. However, one must be careful to include the X-terms which are not present in the pure spinor case.

D.2 The solution of the linear system

The solution of the algebraic Killing spinor equations, (D.1), is

$$P_+ = 0, \quad P_\alpha = 0, \quad P_{\bar\alpha} = 0 \tag{D.6}$$

and P_- is *unconstrained*. From (D.2), we also find that

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{+\alpha}{}^{\alpha} &= 0 \ , \\
G_{+\alpha\beta} &= 0 \ , \\
G_{+\bar\alpha\bar\beta} &= 0 \ , \\
G_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3} &= 0 \ , \\
G_{\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3} &= 0 \ , \\
G_{-+\alpha} - G_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\beta} &= 0 \ , \\
G_{-+\bar\alpha} + G_{\bar\alpha\beta}{}^{\beta} &= 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{D.7}$$

Next consider the G dependent parts of (D.4) and (D.4). In particular, the α components of these equations imply the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
(X^5 - X^8 + iX^7 + iX^6)_\alpha &= 0 \ , \\
G_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3} &= 0 \ , \\
(X^5 + X^8 + iX^7 - iX^6)_\alpha - G_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\beta} + G_{-+\alpha} &= 0 \ , \\
G_{+\alpha\beta} &= 0 \ , \\
(X^5 + X^8 + iX^6 - iX^7)_\alpha + G_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\beta} + G_{-+\alpha} &= 0 \ , \\
G_{\alpha\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2} &= 0 \ , \\
(X^5 - X^8 - iX^6 - iX^7)_\alpha &= 0 \ , \\
G_{+\alpha\bar\beta} &= 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{D.8}$$

Similarly, for the $\bar\alpha$ components, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(X^5 - X^8 + iX^7 + iX^6)_{\bar\alpha} &= 0 \ , \\
G_{\bar\alpha\beta_1\beta_2} &= 0 \ , \\
(X^5 + X^8 + iX^7 - iX^6)_{\bar\alpha} - G_{\bar\alpha\beta}{}^{\beta} + G_{-+\bar\alpha} &= 0 \ , \\
G_{+\bar\alpha\beta} &= 0 \ , \\
(X^5 + X^8 + iX^6 - iX^7)_{\bar\alpha} + G_{\bar\alpha\beta}{}^{\beta} + G_{-+\bar\alpha} &= 0 \ , \\
G_{\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3} &= 0 \ , \\
(X^5 - X^8 - iX^6 - iX^7)_{\bar\alpha} &= 0 \ , \\
G_{+\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2} &= 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{D.9}$$

The $-$ components, we find the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}(X^5 - X^8 + iX^7 + iX^6)_- &= 0 \ , \\ G_{-\gamma_1\gamma_2} &= 0 \ , \\ (X^5 + X^8 + iX^7 - iX^6)_- - G_{-\beta}{}^{\beta} &= 0 \ , \\ G_{-+\gamma} &= 0 \ , \\ (X^5 + X^8 + iX^6 - iX^7)_- + G_{-\beta}{}^{\beta} &= 0 \ , \\ G_{-\bar{\alpha}_1\bar{\alpha}_2} &= 0 \ , \\ (X^5 - X^8 - iX^6 - iX^7)_- &= 0 \ , \\ G_{-+\bar{\gamma}} &= 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{D.10}$$

and from the + components, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(X^5 - X^8 + iX^7 + iX^6)_+ &= 0 \ , \\ G_{+\bar{\alpha}_1\bar{\alpha}_2} &= 0 \ , \\ (X^5 + X^8 + iX^7 - iX^6)_+ - G_{+\beta}{}^{\beta} &= 0 \ , \\ (X^5 + X^8 + iX^6 - iX^7)_+ + G_{+\beta}{}^{\beta} &= 0 \ , \\ G_{+\bar{\alpha}_1\bar{\alpha}_2} &= 0 \ , \\ (X^5 - X^8 - iX^6 - iX^7)_+ &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{D.11}$$

Combining these equations with (D.7), we see that all components of G vanish, except for $G_{-\alpha\bar{\beta}}$, the trace satisfies

$$G_{-\beta}{}^{\beta} = 2i((f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{21} - (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{43} + i(f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{23} + i(f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{41}) \ , \tag{D.12}$$

and the following conditions on f:

$$\begin{aligned}(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{11} &= (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{33} \ , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{13} + (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{31} &= 0 \ , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{12} &= (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{34} \ , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{14} + (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{32} &= 0 \ , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{21} &= (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{43} \ , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{23} + (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{41} &= 0 \ , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{22} &= (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{44} \ , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{24} + (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{42} &= 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{D.13}$$

for $A = +, \alpha, \bar{\alpha}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}(f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{11} &= (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{33} \ , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{13} + (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{31} &= 0 \ , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{22} &= (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{44} \ , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{24} + (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{42} &= 0 \ , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{12} - (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{34} + (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{21} - (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{43} &= 0 \ , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{14} + (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{41} + (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{23} + (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{32} &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{D.14}$$

We next consider the F-dependent parts of the Killing spinor equation. From α component, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(X^1 - X^4 + iX^3 + iX^2)_\alpha &= 0 \,, \\
(X^1 + X^4 + iX^3 - iX^2)_\alpha - \Omega_{\alpha,\beta}{}^\beta + \Omega_{\alpha,-+} &= 0 \,, \\
\Omega_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2\alpha_3} &= 0 \,, \\
\Omega_{\alpha,+\beta} &= 0 \,, \\
(X^1 + X^4 + iX^2 - iX^3)_\alpha + \Omega_{\alpha,\beta}{}^\beta + \Omega_{\alpha,-+} + 2F_{-+\alpha\beta}{}^\beta &= 0 \,, \\
\Omega_{\alpha,\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2} + 2iF_{-+\alpha\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2} - 2i\gamma_{\alpha[\bar\beta_1}F_{\bar\beta_2]-+\gamma}{}^\gamma &= 0 \,, \\
(X^1 - X^4 - iX^2 - iX^3)_\alpha - \frac{2i}{3}\epsilon_\alpha{}^{\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2\bar\beta_3}F_{-+\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2\bar\beta_3} &= 0 \,, \\
\Omega_{\alpha,+\bar\beta} + iF_{\alpha+\bar\beta\gamma}{}^\gamma &= 0 \\
F_{\alpha+\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2\bar\beta_3} &= 0 \,.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.15}$$

Similarly, from the $\bar\alpha$ component, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(X^1 - X^4 + iX^3 + iX^2)_{\bar\alpha} - \frac{2i}{3}\epsilon_{\bar\alpha}{}^{\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3}F_{-+\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3} &= 0 \,, \\
\Omega_{\bar\alpha,\beta_1\beta_2} + 2iF_{-+\bar\alpha\beta_1\beta_2} + 2ig_{\bar\alpha[\beta_1}F_{\beta_2]-+\gamma}{}^\gamma &= 0 \,, \\
(X^1 + X^4 + iX^3 - iX^2)_{\bar\alpha} - \Omega_{\bar\alpha,\beta}{}^\beta + \Omega_{\bar\alpha,-+} - 2iF_{-+\bar\alpha\beta}{}^\beta &= 0 \,, \\
F_{\bar\alpha+\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3} &= 0 \,, \\
\Omega_{\bar\alpha,+\beta} - iF_{\bar\alpha+\beta\gamma}{}^\gamma &= 0 \,, \\
(X^1 + X^4 + iX^2 - iX^3)_{\bar\alpha} + \Omega_{\bar\alpha,\beta}{}^\beta + \Omega_{\bar\alpha,-+} &= 0 \,, \\
\Omega_{\bar\alpha_1,\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3} &= 0 \,, \\
(X^1 - X^4 - iX^2 - iX^3)_{\bar\alpha} &= 0 \,, \\
\Omega_{\bar\alpha,+\bar\beta} &= 0 \,.
\end{aligned} \tag{D.16}$$

Next from the $-$ component, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(X^1 - X^4 + iX^3 + iX^2)_- + \frac{i}{6}F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4} &= 0 \,, \\
\Omega_{-,\beta_1\beta_2} - iF_{-\beta_1\beta_2\gamma}{}^\gamma &= 0 \,, \\
(X^1 + X^4 + iX^3 - iX^2)_- - \Omega_{-,\beta}{}^\beta + \Omega_{-,-+} + \frac{i}{2}F_{-\beta}{}^\beta{}_\gamma{}^\gamma &= 0 \,, \\
F_{-+\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3} &= 0 \,, \\
\Omega_{-,+\alpha} - iF_{-+\alpha\beta}{}^\beta &= 0 \,, \\
(X^1 + X^4 + iX^2 - iX^3)_- + \Omega_{-,\beta}{}^\beta + \Omega_{-,-+} + \frac{i}{2}F_{-\beta}{}^\beta{}_\gamma{}^\gamma &= 0 \,, \\
\Omega_{-,\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2} + iF_{-\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2\gamma}{}^\gamma &= 0 \,, \\
(X^1 - X^4 - iX^2 - iX^3)_- + \frac{i}{6}F_{-\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2\bar\beta_3\bar\beta_4}\epsilon^{\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2\bar\beta_3\bar\beta_4} &= 0 \,, \\
\Omega_{-,+\bar\alpha} + iF_{-+\bar\alpha\gamma}{}^\gamma &= 0 \,, \\
F_{-+\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2\bar\beta_3} &= 0 \,,
\end{aligned} \tag{D.17}$$

and from the $+$ component, we find

$$(X^1 - X^4 + iX^3 + iX^2)_+ = 0 \,,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{+,\beta_1\beta_2} &= 0\ , \\
(X^1+X^4+iX^3-iX^2)_+ - \Omega_{+,\beta}{}^{\beta} + \Omega_{+,-+} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{+,+\alpha} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{+,+\bar\alpha} &= 0\ , \\
(X^1+X^4+iX^2-iX^3)_+ + \Omega_{+,\beta}{}^{\beta} + \Omega_{+,-+} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{+,\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2} &= 0\ , \\
(X^1-X^4-iX^2-iX^3)_+ &= 0\ . \qquad \text{(D.18)}
\end{aligned}$$

Next we turn our attention to the X-independent parts of the Killing spinor equations to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{+\alpha\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2\bar\beta_3} &= 0\ , \\
F_{-+\beta_1\beta_2\beta_3} &= 0\ , \\
F_{-\beta_1\beta_2\gamma}{}^{\gamma} &= 0\ , \\
F_{+\alpha\bar\beta\gamma}{}^{\gamma} &= 0\ , \\
F_{-+\alpha\bar\beta_1\bar\beta_2} &= 0\ , \qquad \text{(D.19)}
\end{aligned}$$

on the flux F, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2\alpha_3} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{\bar\alpha,\beta_1\beta_2} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{\alpha,+\bar\beta} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{-,\alpha_1\alpha_2} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{-,+\alpha} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{+,\beta_1\beta_2} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{\alpha_1,+\alpha_2} &= 0\ , \qquad \text{(D.20)}
\end{aligned}$$

on the geometry of spacetime.

Lastly, we consider the remaining equations, together with (D.13) and (D.13). From the $+$, α and $\bar\alpha$ components, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{11} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{22} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{33} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{44}\ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{23} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{32} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{24} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{41} = 0\ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{12} = -(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{21} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{34} = -(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{43}\ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{13} = -(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{31} = (f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{24} = -(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{42}\ , \qquad \text{(D.21)}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{11} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{A,-+} &= 0 \\
2(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{13} - Q_A &= 0 \\
2i(f^{-1}\partial_A f)_{21} - \Omega_{A,\beta}{}^{\beta} &= 0 \qquad \text{(D.22)}
\end{aligned}$$

for $A = +, \alpha, \bar\alpha$. From the $-$ component, we obtain

$$(f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{11} = (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{22} = (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{33} = (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{44}\ , \qquad \text{(D.23)}$$

and

$$(f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{ij} + (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{ji} = 0 \ , \tag{D.24}$$

for $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $i \neq j$, together with the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{11} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,-+} &= 0 \\ (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{13} + (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{24} - Q_- + \frac{1}{2}F_{-\gamma}{}^{\gamma}{}_{\beta}{}^{\beta} &= 0 \ , \\ i((f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{21} + (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{43}) - \Omega_{-,\beta}{}^{\beta} &= 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{D.25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{13} - (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{24} + \frac{1}{12}(F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4} & \\ +F_{-\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3\bar\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3\bar\alpha_4}) &= 0 \ , \\ (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{14} - (f^{-1}\partial_- f)_{32} - \frac{i}{12}(F_{-\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3\alpha_4} & \\ -F_{-\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3\bar\alpha_4}\epsilon^{\bar\alpha_1\bar\alpha_2\bar\alpha_3\bar\alpha_4}) &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{D.26}$$

The conditions on the geometry and fluxes derived in this appendix are summarized in section five. In this section, the geometry of maximally supersymmetric $SU(4) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^8$ backgrounds is also investigated.

E Maximally supersymmetric G_2-backgrounds

E.1 Simplifying the Linear System

As in the previous cases, in this appendix, we shall give the detail derivation of the conditions for the fluxes and geometry for maximally supersymmetric G_2-backgrounds. A summary of these conditions has already appeared in section six. In order to find the solution of the Killing spinor equations of the maximally supersymmetric G_2 backgrounds in the most efficient manner, it is convenient to deal with the Killing spinor equations given in (3.10) in a particular order. First, from the algebraic Killing spinor equations

$$P_A\Gamma^A\eta_1 = 0 \ , \quad P_A\Gamma^A\eta_2 = 0 \ , \tag{E.1}$$

we find that

$$P = 0 \ , \tag{E.2}$$

i.e. the P flux vanishes and the scalars are constant.

Next consider the algebraic Killing spinor equations involving G. In particular, from

$$G_{N_1N_2N_3}\Gamma^{N_1N_2N_3}\eta_1 = 0 \ , \quad G_{N_1N_2N_3}\Gamma^{N_1N_2N_3}\eta_2 = 0 \ , \tag{E.3}$$

we find

$$G_{-+\bar p} + G_{\bar p q}{}^{q} + G_{1\bar 1\bar p} - \epsilon_{\bar p}{}^{q_1q_2}G_{1q_1q_2} = 0 \ ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{-+\bar{1}} + G_{\bar{1}q}{}^{q} + 2G_{234} &= 0 \\
G_{-+p} - G_{pq}{}^{q} - G_{1\bar{1}p} - \epsilon_{p}{}^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} G_{\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} &= 0 \, , \\
G_{-+1} - G_{1q}{}^{q} + 2G_{\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} &= 0 \, , \\
G_{+q}{}^{q} + G_{+1\bar{1}} &= 0 \, , \\
G_{+\bar{p}\bar{q}} + \epsilon_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}{}^{r} G_{+r1} &= 0 \, , \\
G_{+\bar{1}\bar{p}} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\bar{p}}{}^{q_1q_2} G_{+q_1q_2} &= 0 \, , \\
G_{\bar{p}1-} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\bar{p}}^{q_1q_2} G_{-q_1q_2} &= 0 \, , \\
G_{-q}{}^{q} - G_{-1\bar{1}} &= 0 \, , \\
G_{p\bar{1}-} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{p}{}^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} G_{-\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} &= 0 \, , \\
G_{-+1} - G_{1q}{}^{q} + 2G_{234} &= 0 \, , \\
G_{-+\bar{1}} + G_{\bar{1}q}{}^{q} + 2G_{\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} &= 0 \, , \\
G_{pq}{}^{q} - G_{p1\bar{1}} + G_{-+p} &= 0 \, , \\
G_{\bar{p}q}{}^{q} - G_{\bar{p}1\bar{1}} - G_{-+\bar{p}} + \epsilon_{\bar{p}}^{q_1q_2} G_{\bar{1}q_1q_2} &= 0 \, .
\end{aligned} \tag{E.4}$$

These complete the list of conditions on G required by the algebraic Killing spinor equations.

Next consider the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
X^{5}{}_{M}\eta_1 + X^{6}{}_{M}\eta_2 + \frac{1}{4}\Gamma^{N_1N_2} G_{MN_1N_2}\eta_1 &= 0 \, , \\
X^{7}{}_{M}\eta_1 + X^{8}{}_{M}\eta_2 + \frac{1}{4}\Gamma^{N_1N_2} G_{MN_1N_2}\eta_2 &= 0 \, ,
\end{aligned} \tag{E.5}$$

where we have found it useful to use the notation given in (D.3). Contracting with Γ^M and using (E.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
X^{5}{}_{p} = X^{5}{}_{\bar{p}} &= 0 \, , \\
X^{6}{}_{p} = X^{6}{}_{\bar{p}} &= 0 \, , \\
X^{7}{}_{p} = X^{7}{}_{\bar{p}} &= 0 \, , \\
X^{8}{}_{p} = X^{8}{}_{\bar{p}} &= 0 \, ,
\end{aligned} \tag{E.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
X^{5}{}_{1} &= X^{5}{}_{\bar{1}} \, , \\
X^{6}{}_{1} &= X^{6}{}_{\bar{1}} \, , \\
X^{7}{}_{1} &= X^{7}{}_{\bar{1}} \, , \\
X^{8}{}_{1} &= X^{8}{}_{\bar{1}} \, .
\end{aligned} \tag{E.7}$$

Hence, from (E.6), we must have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{pN_1N_2}\Gamma^{N_1N_2}\eta_1 &= 0 \, , \\
G_{\bar{p}N_1N_2}\Gamma^{N_1N_2}\eta_1 &= 0 \, , \\
G_{pN_1N_2}\Gamma^{N_1N_2}\eta_2 &= 0 \, , \\
G_{\bar{p}N_1N_2}\Gamma^{N_1N_2}\eta_2 &= 0 \, ,
\end{aligned} \tag{E.8}$$

which imply the conditions

$$G_{pq}{}^{q} + G_{p1\bar{1}} + G_{-+p} = 0 \, ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{p1-} &= 0\ , \\
G_{p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \epsilon_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}^{r} G_{p1r} &= 0\ , \\
G_{-pq} &= 0\ , \\
G_{p\bar{1}\bar{q}} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\bar{q}}{}^{r_1 r_2} G_{p r_1 r_2} &= 0\ , \\
G_{-p\bar{q}} &= 0\ , \\
-G_{pq}{}^{q} - G_{p1\bar{1}} + G_{-+p} &= 0\ , \\
G_{p\bar{1}-} &= 0\ , \\
G_{+p\bar{q}} &= 0\ , \\
G_{p\bar{q}1} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\bar{q}}{}^{r_1 r_2} G_{p r_1 r_2} &= 0\ , \\
G_{p+\bar{1}} &= 0\ , \\
-G_{p1\bar{1}} - G_{-+p} + G_{pq}{}^{q} &= 0\ , \\
G_{p+1} &= 0\ , \\
-G_{pq}{}^{q} + G_{p1\bar{1}} - G_{-+p} &= 0\ , \\
G_{p+q} &= 0\ , \\
G_{p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \epsilon_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}^{r} G_{pr\bar{1}} &= 0\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{E.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\bar{p}q}{}^{q} + G_{\bar{p}1\bar{1}} + G_{-+\bar{p}} &= 0\ , \\
G_{\bar{p}1-} &= 0\ , \\
G_{\bar{p}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \epsilon_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}^{r} G_{\bar{p}1r} &= 0\ , \\
G_{-\bar{p}q} &= 0\ , \\
G_{\bar{p}\bar{1}\bar{q}} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\bar{q}}{}^{r_1 r_2} G_{\bar{p} r_1 r_2} &= 0\ , \\
G_{-\bar{p}\bar{q}} &= 0\ , \\
-G_{\bar{p}q}{}^{q} - G_{\bar{p}1\bar{1}} + G_{-+\bar{p}} &= 0\ , \\
G_{\bar{p}\bar{1}-} &= 0\ , \\
G_{+\bar{p}\bar{q}} &= 0\ , \\
G_{\bar{p}\bar{q}1} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\bar{q}}{}^{r_1 r_2} G_{\bar{p} r_1 r_2} &= 0\ , \\
G_{\bar{p}+\bar{1}} &= 0\ , \\
-G_{\bar{p}1\bar{1}} - G_{-+\bar{p}} + G_{\bar{p}q}{}^{q} &= 0\ , \\
G_{\bar{p}+1} &= 0\ , \\
-G_{\bar{p}q}{}^{q} + G_{\bar{p}1\bar{1}} - G_{-+\bar{p}} &= \ , \\
G_{\bar{p}+q} &= 0\ , \\
G_{\bar{p}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \epsilon_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}^{r} G_{\bar{p}r\bar{1}} &= 0\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{E.10}$$

Then, taking (E.4) together with (E.9) and (E.10), it is straightforward to show that all components of G are constrained to vanish, with the exception of G_{-+1}, $G_{-+\bar{1}}$, $G_{1p\bar{q}}$, $G_{\bar{1}p\bar{q}}$, G_{234}, $G_{\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}$ which are related via

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{1p\bar{q}} &= g_{p\bar{q}} G_{234}\ , \\
G_{\bar{1}p\bar{q}} &= -g_{p\bar{q}} G_{234}\ , \\
G_{234} &= G_{\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}}\ , \\
G_{-+1} &= G_{234}\ , \\
G_{-+\bar{1}} &= G_{234}\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{E.11}$$

These components also vanish. To see this take (E.7), which implies that

$$(G_{1N_1N_2} - G_{\bar{1}N_1N_2})\Gamma^{N_1N_2}\eta_1 = 0 \ , \tag{E.12}$$

which in turn gives

$$G_{1q}{}^{q} = G_{\bar{1}q}{}^{q} \ , \tag{E.13}$$

and hence all components of G vanish. Then, from the vanishing of X^5, X^6, X^7 and X^8, it follows that $f^{-1}Df$ is constrained via

$$\begin{aligned}
(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{11} &= (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{33} \ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{22} &= (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{44} \ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{13} + (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{31} &= 0 \ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{24} + (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{42} &= 0 \ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{12} &= (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{34} \ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{14} + (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{32} &= 0 \ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{21} &= (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{43} \ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{23} + (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{41} &= 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{E.14}$$

Next consider the portions of (3.10) which depend on the spin connection and the flux F. It will turn out to be most convenient to consider first those components which do not have any dependence on f, i.e. to exclude the 1, e_{1234}, e_{51} and e_{5234} components. Then from the + component, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{+,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \epsilon_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}^{r}\Omega_{+,1r} &= 0 \ , \\
2iF_{-+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + \epsilon_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}^{r}(\Omega_{+,r-} - iF_{-+rs}{}^{s} + iF_{-+1\bar{1}r}) &= 0 \ , \\
-2iF_{-+\bar{1}q_1q_2} + \epsilon_{q_1q_2}{}^{\bar{r}}(-\Omega_{+,\bar{r}-} - iF_{-+\bar{r}s}{}^{s} + iF_{-+1\bar{1}\bar{r}}) &= 0 \ , \\
\Omega_{+,+p} = \Omega_{+,+\bar{p}} &= 0 \ , \\
\Omega_{+,\bar{p}1} - iF_{+1\bar{p}r}{}^{r} - \epsilon_{\bar{p}}{}^{q_1q_2}(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,q_1q_2} + iF_{+1\bar{1}q_1q_2}) &= 0 \ , \\
-\Omega_{+,p\bar{1}} - iF_{+\bar{1}pr}{}^{r} + \epsilon_{p}{}^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{+,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - iF_{+1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) &= 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{E.15}$$

The $-$ component implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
-\Omega_{-,1r} + iF_{-1rs}{}^{s} + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{r}{}^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}(\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + iF_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}) &= 0 \ , \\
\Omega_{-,\bar{1}\bar{r}} + iF_{-\bar{1}\bar{r}s}{}^{s} + \epsilon_{\bar{r}}{}^{q_1q_2}(-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{-,q_1q_2} + iF_{-1\bar{1}q_1q_2}) &= 0 \ , \\
\Omega_{-,-p} = \Omega_{-,-\bar{p}} &= 0 \ , \\
\Omega_{-,+\bar{p}} + iF_{-+\bar{p}q}{}^{q} + iF_{-+1\bar{1}\bar{p}} - i\epsilon_{\bar{p}}{}^{q_1q_2}F_{-+1q_1q_2} &= 0 \ , \\
\Omega_{-,\bar{p}1} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\bar{p}}{}^{q_1q_2}\Omega_{-,q_1q_2} &= 0 \ , \\
-\Omega_{-,+p} + iF_{-+ps}{}^{s} + iF_{-+1\bar{1}p} + i\epsilon_{p}{}^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}F_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} &= 0 \ , \\
\Omega_{-,p\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{p}{}^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\Omega_{-,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} &= 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{E.16}$$

The 1 component implies that

$$\Omega_{1,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \Omega_{1,1\ell}\epsilon^{\ell}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + 2iF_{-+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} = 0 \ ,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{1,\ell -} - iF_{-1\ell s}{}^{s} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{1,\bar{1}\bar{p}} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1q_1q_2}\epsilon_{\bar{p}}{}^{q_1q_2} - iF_{-+\bar{p}q}{}^{q} + iF_{-+1\bar{1}\bar{p}} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{1,\bar{p}-} + iF_{-1\bar{1}q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{1,+\bar{p}} - iF_{+1\bar{p}q}{}^{q} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{1,\bar{p}1} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} + iF_{-+1q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{1,+p} + iF_{+1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{1,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \Omega_{1,r\bar{1}}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - iF_{-+rs}{}^{s}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - iF_{-+1\bar{1}r}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} &= 0\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{E.17}$$

and the $\bar{1}$ component implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
-\Omega_{\bar{1},1p} - iF_{-+ps}{}^{s} + iF_{-+1\bar{1}p} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{\bar{1},p-} - iF_{-1\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} &= 0\ , \\
-\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{p}\bar{1}} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} - iF_{-+\bar{1}q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{p}-} + iF_{-\bar{1}\bar{p}s}{}^{s} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{\bar{1},+\bar{p}} - iF_{+1\bar{1}q_1q_2}\epsilon^{q_1q_2}{}_{\bar{p}} &= 0 \\
-\Omega_{\bar{1},q_1q_2} + \Omega_{\bar{1}\bar{\ell}1}\epsilon^{\bar{\ell}}{}_{q_1q_2} - iF_{-+\bar{\ell}s}{}^{s}\epsilon^{\bar{\ell}}{}_{q_1q_2} - iF_{-+1\bar{1}\bar{\ell}}\epsilon^{\bar{\ell}}{}_{q_1q_2} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{\bar{1},+p} + iF_{+\bar{1}ps}{}^{s} &= 0\ , \\
-\Omega_{\bar{1},p\bar{1}} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} - iF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2}{}_{p} &= 0\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{E.18}$$

From the above equations, it is straightforward but tedious to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{-1\bar{1}q_1q_2} = F_{+1\bar{1}q_1q_2} &= 0\ , \\
F_{-1pq}{}^{q} = F_{+1\bar{p}q}{}^{q} &= 0\ , \\
F_{-+pq}{}^{q} &= 0\ , \\
F_{-+1q_1q_2} = F_{-+1\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} &= 0\ , \\
F_{-+1\bar{1}p} &= 0\ .
\end{aligned} \tag{E.19}$$

Now consider the p and $\bar{p}$ components (again setting aside the 1, e_{1234}, e_{51} and e_{5234} components for the moment). On using (E.19) there is some simplification. In particular, from the p component, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{p,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + 2iF_{-+p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \Omega_{p,1\ell}\epsilon^{\ell}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} &= 0\ , \\
-2iF_{-1p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} + \Omega_{p,\ell -}\epsilon^{\ell}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{p,\bar{1}\bar{q}} + iF_{-+\bar{1}r}{}^{r}g_{p\bar{q}} - 2iF_{-+\bar{1}p\bar{q}} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,r_1r_2}\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{q}} &= 0\ , \\
-\Omega_{p,\bar{q}-} - iF_{-r}{}^{r}{}_{1\bar{1}}g_{p\bar{q}} + 2iF_{-1\bar{1}p\bar{1}} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{p,+\bar{q}} + iF_{+1\bar{1}r}{}^{r}g_{p\bar{q}} - iF_{-1\bar{1}p\bar{q}} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{p,\bar{q}1} - 2iF_{-+1p\bar{q}} + iF_{-+1r}{}^{r}g_{p\bar{q}} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,r_1r_2}\epsilon^{r_1r_2}{}_{\bar{q}} &= 0\ , \\
F_{+\bar{1}p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{p,+r}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{p,\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - 2iF_{-+p\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} - \Omega_{p,r\bar{1}}\epsilon^{r}{}_{\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2} &= 0\ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{E.20}$$

and from the $\bar{p}$ component, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Omega_{\bar{p},1q} + iF_{-+1r}{}^{r} g_{\bar{p}q} + 2iF_{-+1\bar{p}q} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}{}_{q} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{\bar{p},q-} + iF_{-r}{}^{r}{}_{1\bar{1}} g_{\bar{p}q} + 2iF_{-1\bar{1}\bar{p}q} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{1}\bar{\ell}}\epsilon^{\bar{\ell}}{}_{q_1q_2} - \Omega_{\bar{p},q_1q_2} - 2iF_{-+\bar{p}q_1q_2} &= 0\ , \\
-\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{\ell}-}\epsilon^{\bar{\ell}}{}_{q_1q_2} + 2iF_{-\bar{1}\bar{p}q_1q_2} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{\bar{p},+\bar{\ell}}\epsilon^{\bar{\ell}}{}_{q_1q_2} - 2iF_{+1\bar{p}q_1q_2} &= 0\ , \\
\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{\ell}1}\epsilon^{\bar{\ell}}{}_{q_1q_2} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},q_1q_2} + iF_{-+\bar{p}q_1q_2} &= 0\ , \\
-\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},+q} - \frac{i}{2}F_{+1\bar{1}r}{}^{r} g_{\bar{p}q} - iF_{+1\bar{1}\bar{p}q} &= 0\ , \\
\frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\bar{p},\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}{}_{q} - \Omega_{\bar{p},q\bar{1}} + 2iF_{-+\bar{1}\bar{p}q} + iF_{-+\bar{1}r}{}^{r} g_{\bar{p}q} &= 0\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.21}
$$

It is straightforward to show that (E.20) and (E.21) imply that *all components of* F *vanish*, with the exception of F_{-+234}, $F_{+\bar{1}234}$ and F_{-1234} and their complex conjugates, together with those components related to these terms by the duality of F. These components have yet to be constrained.

To proceed, note that the difference of the 1 and e_{1234}, and the e_{51} and e_{5234} components in the F-dependent portions of (3.10) does not contain any $f^{-1}Df$ dependence. Consider these differences which arise in the 1 and $\bar{1}$ components of these equations. From the 1 component, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} + \Omega_{1,1\bar{1}} + 2iF_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{1,1-} - \Omega_{1,\bar{1}-} - 2iF_{-1234} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{1,+\bar{1}} - \Omega_{1,+1} + 2iF_{+1\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{1,p}{}^{p} - \Omega_{1,1\bar{1}} - 2iF_{-+234} &= 0\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.22}
$$

and from the $\bar{1}$ component, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{\bar{1},p}{}^{p} + \Omega_{\bar{1},1\bar{1}} - 2iF_{-+234} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{\bar{1},1-} - \Omega_{\bar{1},\bar{1}-} + 2iF_{-\bar{1}\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{\bar{1},+\bar{1}} - \Omega_{\bar{1},+1} - 2iF_{+\bar{1}234} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{\bar{1},p}{}^{p} - \Omega_{\bar{1},1\bar{1}} + 2iF_{-+\bar{2}\bar{3}\bar{4}} &= 0\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.23}
$$

These equations are sufficient to imply that F_{-+234}, $F_{+\bar{1}234}$ and F_{-1234} vanish. Hence *the* F*-flux also vanishes*. It is then straightforward to read off the constraints on the spin connection obtained from the above reasoning, together with the remaining $+$, $-$, p and $\bar{p}$ components of the differences between the 1 and e_{1234}, and the e_{51} and e_{5234} components. This exhausts all of the content of the $f^{-1}Df$-independent part of the Killing spinor equation. In particular, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{M,1q} - \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{M,\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}{}_{q} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{M,\bar{1}q} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{M,\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}\epsilon^{\bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2}{}_{q} &= 0\ , \\
\Omega_{M,+q} &= 0\ ,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega_{M,-q} &= 0 \ , \\
\Omega_{M,q}{}^{q} &= 0 \ , \\
\Omega_{M,1\bar{1}} &= 0 \ , \\
\Omega_{M,-1} - \Omega_{M,-\bar{1}} &= 0 \ , \\
\Omega_{M,+1} - \Omega_{M,+\bar{1}} &= 0 \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.24}
$$

for $M = +, -, 1, \bar{1}, p, \bar{p}$. Lastly, we examine the 1 and e_{15} components of the Killing spinor equation (3.10) in order to obtain the full set of constraints on $f^{-1}Df$. We find

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{11} = (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{33} = -(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{22} = -(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{44} \ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{13} = -(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{31} = (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{24} = -(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{42} \ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{23} = (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{32} = (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{14} = (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{41} = 0 \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.25}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{12} = (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{34} = \Omega_{M,+\bar{1}} \ , \\
(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{21} = (f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{43} = \Omega_{M,1-} \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.26}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{11} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{M,-+} &= 0 \ , \\
2(f^{-1}\partial_M f)_{13} - Q_M &= 0
\end{aligned}
\tag{E.27}
$$

for $M = +, -, 1, \bar{1}, p, \bar{p}$. The conditions on the fluxes and geometry are summarized in section six. Despite the complexity of analyzing the Killing spinor equations, it turns out that the spacetime in this case is a product of a three-dimensional Minkowski space and a G_2 holonomy manifold. This may have been expected because the Killing spinor equations imply that the fluxes vanish.

References

[1] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill and G. Papadopoulos, "Maximally supersymmetric solutions of ten-dimensional and eleven-dimensional supergravities," JHEP **0303** (2003) 048: [arXiv:hep-th/0211089].

"Pluecker-type relations for orthogonal planes," [arXiv:math.ag/0211170].

[2] J. H. Schwarz, "Covariant Field Equations Of Chiral N=2 D = 10 Supergravity," Nucl. Phys. B **226** (1983) 269.

[3] M. Blau, J. Figueroa-O'Farrill, C. Hull and G. Papadopoulos, "A new maximally supersymmetric background of IIB superstring theory," JHEP **0201** (2002) 047 [arXiv:hep-th/0110242].

"Penrose limits and maximal supersymmetry," Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) L87 [arXiv:hep-th/0201081].

[4] U. Gran, J. Gutowski and G. Papadopoulos, "The spinorial geometry of supersymmetric IIB backgrounds," arXiv:hep-th/0501177.

[5] J. Gillard, U. Gran and G. Papadopoulos, “The spinorial geometry of supersymmetric backgrounds,” arXiv:hep-th/0410155.

[6] E. J. Hackett-Jones and D. J. Smith, “Type IIB Killing spinors and calibrations,” JHEP **0411** (2004) 029 [arXiv:hep-th/0405098].

[7] M. Fernandez, A. Gray, “Riemannian manifolds with structure group G_2”, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl(4) 32 (1982), 19-45. 1.

[8] T. Friedrich and S. Ivanov, “Killing spinor equations in dimension 7 and geometry of intergrable G_2-manifolds,” J. Geom. Phys. **48** (2003), 1-11, [math.DG/0112201].

[9] S. Chiossi and S. Salamon, “The intrinsic torsion of $SU(3)$ and G_2 structures”, Diff. Geom., Valencia 2001, World Sci. 2002, 115 [arXiv:math.DG/0202282].

[10] R. Penrose, “Any spacetime has a plane wave as a limit,” Differential Geometry and Relativity, 271-275, Riedel Dordrecht, (1976).

[11] J. H. Schwarz and P. C. West, “Symmetries And Transformations Of Chiral N=2 D = 10 Supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B **126** (1983) 301.

[12] K. Becker and L. S. Tseng, “A note on fluxes in six-dimensional string theory backgrounds,” arXiv:hep-th/0410283.

[13] P. S. Howe and P. C. West, “The Complete N=2, D = 10 Supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **238** (1984) 181.

[14] A. Gray and L.M. Hervella, “The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants”, Ann. Mat. Pura e Appl. **282** (1980) 1.

[15] A. Moroianu and U. Semmelmann, “Parallel spinors and holonomy group,” math.DG/9903062.

[16] B. McInnes, *Spin holonomy of Einstein manifolds*, Commun. Math. Phys. **203** (1999) 349-364.

[17] M. Blau, J. Figueroa-O’Farrill and G. Papadopoulos, “Penrose limits, supergravity and brane dynamics,” Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) 4753 [arXiv:hep-th/0202111].

M. Blau, M. Borunda, M. O’Loughlin and G. Papadopoulos, “Penrose limits and spacetime singularities,” Class. Quant. Grav. **21** (2004) L43 [arXiv:hep-th/0312029].

[18] C. Patricot, “Kaigorodov spaces and their Penrose limits,” Class. Quant. Grav. **20** (2003) 2087 [arXiv:hep-th/0302073].

[19] I. R. Klebanov and M. J. Strassler, “Supergravity and a confining gauge theory: Duality cascades and chiSB-resolution of naked singularities,” JHEP **0008** (2000) 052 [arXiv:hep-th/0007191].

[20] A. H. Chamseddine and M. S. Volkov, “Non-Abelian BPS monopoles in N = 4 gauged supergravity,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 3343 [arXiv:hep-th/9707176].

[21] J. M. Maldacena and C. Nunez, “Towards the large N limit of pure N = 1 super Yang Mills,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **86** (2001) 588 [arXiv:hep-th/0008001].

[22] G. Papadopoulos and A. A. Tseytlin, “Complex geometry of conifolds and 5-brane wrapped on 2-sphere,” Class. Quant. Grav. **18** (2001) 1333 [arXiv:hep-th/0012034].

[23] M. Grana, R. Minasian, M. Petrini and A. Tomasiello, "Supersymmetric backgrounds from generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds," JHEP **0408** (2004) 046 [arXiv:hep-th/0406137].

A. Butti, M. Grana, R. Minasian, M. Petrini and A. Zaffaroni, "The baryonic branch of Klebanov-Strassler solution: A supersymmetric family of SU(3) structure backgrounds," arXiv:hep-th/0412187.

[24] K. Behrndt, M. Cvetic and P. Gao, "General type IIB fluxes with SU(3) structures," arXiv:hep-th/0502154.

[25] C. N. Gowdigere and N. P. Warner, "Holographic Coulomb Branch Flows with N=1 Supersymmetry," arXiv:hep-th/0505019.

[26] McKenzie Y. Wang, "Parallel spinors and parallel forms", Ann. Global Anal Geom. Vol 7, No 1 (1989), 59.

[27] H. Blaine Lawson and Marie-Louise Michelsohn, "Spin geometry," Princeton University Press (1989).

[28] F. R. Harvey, "Spinors and Calibrations," Academic Press, London (1990).